EXHIBIT C

	Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR	Document 1030-5	Filed 07/24/24	Page 2 of 436
1 2 3	[Counsel Listed on Signature P	ages]		
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	Ţ	JNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT	
10	NO	RTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA	
11				
12	IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADO			10.4 5 11.6D
13	ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJ PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITE	GATION	ase No. 4:22:MD-03	3047-YGR
14	THIS DOCUMENT DELATES		IDL No. 3047	
15	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES People of the State of California	a, et al.,	IETA DEFENDAN	
16	Case No.: 4:23-cv-05448	1	NTENT TO SERVI	E SUBPOENAS
17				
18				
19	Pursuant to Federal Ru	le of Civil Procedure	45(a)(4), Defendants	s Meta Platforms,
20	Inc. f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Face	book Holdings, LLC;	Facebook Operation	ns, LLC;
21	Facebook Payments, Inc.; Face	ebook Technologies, I	LLC; Instagram, LLC	C; and Siculus,
22	Inc. provide notice that they in	ntend to serve the attac	thed subpoenas to:	
23	Commission on Improve	ing the Status of Child	lren in Indiana;	
24	Indiana Department of I	Education;		
25	3. Indiana Department of I			
26	4. Louisiana Department o			
27	5. Louisiana Department o6. Arizona Department of	·		
28	7. Arizona Department of	-		

1 2 3	8. Arizona Department of Health9. Idaho Department of Education10. Idaho Health & Welfare Department	n;
4	Dated: July 24, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
5	J ,	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
6 7		
8		By: /s/ Ashley M. Simonsen Ashley M. Simonsen, SBN 275203
9		COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars
10		Los Angeles, CA 90067
11		Telephone: (424) 332-4800 Facsimile: + 1 (424) 332-4749
12		Email: asimonsen@cov.com
13		Phyllis A. Jones, <i>pro hac vice</i> Paul W. Schmidt, <i>pro hac vice</i>
14		COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
15		One City Center 850 Tenth Street, NW
16		Washington, DC 20001-4956 Telephone: + 1 (202) 662-6000
17		Facsimile: + 1 (202) 662-6291
		Email: pajones@cov.com Email: pschmidt@cov.com
18 19		Attorneys for Defendants Meta Platforms,
20		Inc. f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Facebook Holdings, LLC; Facebook Operations, LLC,
		Facebook Payments, Inc.; Facebook
21		Technologies, LLC; Instagram, LLC; Siculus, Inc.
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 I hereby certify that on July 24, 2024, a true and correct copy of the Notice of Intent to Serve 3 Subpoena, was served upon the following by email: 4 Laura Dilweg Consumer Protection Section Chief Counsel 5 Nathan Whelihan, pro hac vice Assistant Attorney General 6 Arizona Attorney General's Office 2005 North Central Avenue 7 Phoenix, AZ 85004 Phone: (602) 542-3725 8 Fax: (602) 542-4377 Laura.Dilweg@azag.gov 9 Nathan. Whelihan@azag.gov 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Arizona, ex rel. Kristin K. Mayes, Attorney General 11 12 Nicklas A. Akers Senior Assistant Attorney General 13 Bernard Eskandari Supervising Deputy Attorney General 14 Megan O'Neill Joshua Olszewski-Jubelirer 15 Marissa Roy Deputy Attorneys General 16 California Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General 17 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 18 Phone: (415) 510-4400 Fax: (415) 703-5480 19 nicklas.akers@doj.ca.gov bernard.eskandari@doj.ca.gov 20 megan.oneill@doj.ca.gov joshua.olszewskijubelirer@doj.ca.gov 21 marissa.roy@doj.ca.gov 22 Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State of California 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	Bianca E. Miyata Senior Assistant Attorney General
2	Lauren M. Dickey
	First Assistant Attorney General Megan Paris Rundlet
3	Senior Assistant Solicitor General Elizabeth Orem
4	Assistant Attorney General Colorado Department of Law
5	Ralph L. Carr Judicial Center
6	Consumer Protection Section 1300 Broadway, 7th Floor
7	Denver, CO 80203 Phone: (720) 508-6651
8	bianca.miyata@coag.gov lauren.dickey@coag.gov
9	megan.rundlet@coag.gov beth.orem@coag.gov
10	Attorneys for the State of Colorado
11	
12	Lauren H. Bidra Special Counsel for Media and Technology
13	Krislyn M. Launer Ashley H. Meskill
14	Assistant Attorneys General Connecticut Office of the Attorney General 165 Capitol Avenue
15	Hartford, Connecticut 06106 Phone: 860-808-5306
16	Fax: 860-808-5593
17	Lauren.Bidra@ct.gov Krislyn.Launer@ct.gov
	Ashley.Meskill@ct.gov
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Connecticut
19	Marion Quirk
20	Director of Consumer Protection
21	Dashiell Radosti Deputy Attorney General
22	Delaware Department of Justice 820 N. French Street, 5th Floor
23	Wilmington, DE 19801 Phone: (302) 683-8800
24	Marion.quirk@delaware.gov Dashiell.Radosti@delaware.gov
25	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Delaware
26	11.01.11.05 Joi 1 tuling State of Detarrate
27	
28	
20	

1 2 3	Victoria Ann Butler Director of Consumer Protection Litigation 3507 E. Frontage Road, Suite 325 Tampa, FL 33607 Telephone: (813) 287-7950 Victoria.butler@myfloridalegal.com	John M. Guard Chief Deputy Attorney General PL-01 The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399 John.guard@myfloridalegal.com Donna Cecilia Valin
4	Nicholas J. Weilhammer Associate Deputy Attorney General for	Special Counsel, Assistant Attorney General 135 West Central Blvd.
5	Enforcement PL-01 The Capitol	Orlando, FL 32801 Telephone: (407) 316-4840
6 7	Tallahassee, FL 32399 Telephone: (850) 414-3861 Nicholas.weilhammer@myfloridalegal.com	<u>Donna.valin@myfloridalegal.com</u> □
8	Karen E. Berger	
9	Special Counsel, Assistant Attorney General 110 SE 6th Street, 10th Floor	
10	Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Telephone: (954) 712-4600	
11	Karen.berger@myfloridalegal.com	
12	Attorneys for Office of the Attorney General, State of Florida, Department of Legal Affairs	
13		
14	Melissa M. Devine Assistant Attorney General	
15	Office of the Attorney General of the State of Geo 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive, SE, Ste. 356	rgia
16	Atlanta, GA 30334 Phone: (404) 458-3765	
17	Fax: (404) 651-9108 mdevine@law.ga.gov	
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Georgia	
19	D. C.V.	
20	Bryan C. Yee Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
21	Christopher T. Han Deputy Attorney General	
22	Department of the Attorney General Commerce and Economic Development Division	
23	425 Queen Street Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813	
24	Phone: (808) 586-1180 Bryan.c.yee@hawaii.gov Christophor there have it gov	
25	Christopher.t.han@hawaii.gov	
26	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Hawaii	
27		
28		

1 2 3 4 5 6	Nathan H. Nielson Stephanie N. Guyon Deputy Attorneys General Attorney General's Office P.O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0010 (208) 334-2424 nathan.nielson@ag.idaho.gov stephanie.guyon@ag.idaho.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Idaho
7 8	Susan Ellis Chief, Consumer Protection Division
	Greg Grzeskiewicz Chief, Consumer Fraud Bureau
9	Jacob Gilbert
10	Deputy Chief, Consumer Fraud Bureau Daniel Edelstein
11	Supervising Attorney, Consumer Fraud Bureau
	Kevin Whelan Supervising Attorney, Consumer Fraud Bureau
12	Matthew Davies
13	Assistant Attorney General, Consumer Fraud Bureau Adam Sokol
14	Senior Assistant Attorney General, Consumer Fraud Bureau Emily María Migliore, Assistant Attorney General, Consumer Fraud Bureau
	Office of the Illinois Attorney General
15	115 S. LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60603
16	312-814-8554
17	Susan.Ellis@ilag.gov Greg.Grzeskiewicz@ilag.gov
18	Jacob.Gilbert@ilag.gov
	<u>Daniel.Edelstein@ilag.gov</u> Kevin.Whelan@ilag.gov
19	Adam.Sokol@ilag.gov
20	Emily.Migliore@ilag.gov
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State of Illinois
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Scott L. Barnhart Chief Counsel and Director of Consumer Protection
2	Corinne Gilchrist Section Chief, Consumer Litigation
3	Mark M. Snodgrass
	Deputy Attorney General Office of the Indiana Attorney General
4	Indiana Government Center South
5	302 West Washington St., 5th Floor Indianapolis, IN 46203
6	Telephone: (317) 232-6309
	Scott.Barnhart@atg.in.gov Corinne.Gilchrist@atg.in.gov
7	Mark.Snodgrass@atg.in.gov
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Indiana
9	
10	Sarah M. Dietz Assistant Attorney General
11	Office of the Attorney General
11	120 SW 10th Avenue, 2nd Floor Topeka, Kansas 66612
12	Telephone: (785) 296-3751
13	Fax: (785) 296-3131 sarah.dietz@ag.ks.gov
14	Attorney for Plaintiff State of Kansas
15	
16	J. Christian Lewis
	Philip Heleringer Zachary Richards
17	Daniel I. Keiser Assistant Attorneys General
18	1024 Capital Center Drive, Ste. 200
19	Frankfort, KY 40601 Christian.Lewis@ky.gov
	Philip.Heleringer@ky.gov
20	Zach.Richards@ky.gov Daniel.Keiser@ky.gov
21	Phone: (502) 696-5300
22	Fax: (502) 564-2698
23	Attorneys for Plaintiff the Commonwealth of Kentucky
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 l	

1	Arham Mughal L. Christopher Styron
2	Assistant Attorneys General Louisiana Department of Justice
3	Office of the Attorney General Public Protection Division
4	Consumer Protection Section
5	1885 N 3rd Street, 4th Floor Baton Rouge, LA 70802
6	Tel: (225) 326-6438 MughalA@ag.louisiana.gov StyronL@ag.louisiana.gov
7	Attorneys for State of Louisiana
8	
9	Michael Devine Laura Lee Barry Wommack
10	Assistant Attorneys General Office of the Maine Attorney General
11	6 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333
12	(207) 626-8800 michael.devine@maine.gov
13	lauralee.barrywommack@maine.gov
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Maine
15	Philip D. Ziperman
16	Deputy Chief, Consumer Protection Division Elizabeth J. Stern
17	Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General of Maryland
18	200 St. Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202
19	Phone: (410) 576-6417 (Mr. Ziperman) Phone: (410) 576-7226 (Ms. Stern)
20	Fax: (410) 576-6566 pziperman@oag.state.md.us
21	estern@oag.state.md.us
22	Attorneys for Plaintiff Office of the Attorney General of Maryland
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Daniel J. Ping
2	Assistant Attorney General
3	Michigan Department of Attorney General Corporate Oversight Division
	P.O. Box 30736 Lansing, MI 48909
4	517-335-7632 PingD@michigan.gov
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Michigan
6	
7	Caitlin M. Micko Assistant Attorney General
8	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Minnesota Attorney General
9	445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1200
10	St. Paul, MN 55101-2130 Tel: (651) 724-9180
11	caitlin.micko@ag.state.mn.us
12	Attorney for Plaintiff State of Minnesota, by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison
13	
14	Michael Schwalbert Assistant Attorney General
15	Consumer Protection Section Missouri Attorney General's Office
16	815 Olive Street Suite 200 Saint Louis, Missouri 63101
17	michael.schwalbert@ago.mo.gov
18	Phone: 314-340-7888 Fax: 314-340-7981
	Attorney for Plaintiff State of Missouri, ex rel.
19	Andrew Bailey, Attorney General
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	I

1	Anna Schneider Office of Consumer Protection Department Of Justice P.O. Box 200151	David H. Thompson Cooper & Kirk PLLC 1523 New Hampshire Avenue Nw
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	Helena, MT 59620-0151 406-444-5791 Anna.Schneider@mt.Gov	Washington, DC 20036 (202) 220-9600 Fax: (202) 220-9601 <u>Dthompson@cooperkirk.Com</u>
4	Athanasia Livas	Megan Marie Wold
5	Cooper & Kirk, PLLC 1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.	Cooper & Kirk, PLLC 1523 New Hampshire Ave., Nw
6	Washington, DĈ 20036 202-220-9600	Washington, DĈ 20036 202-220-9650
7	Alivas@cooperkirk.Com	Mwold@cooperkirk.Com
8	Brian W. Barnes Cooper and Kirk, PLLC	Michael W. Kirk ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
9	1523 New Hampshire, Nw Washington, DC 20036	Cooper & Kirk, PLLC 1523 New Hampshire Avenue, Nw
10	202-220-9623 Bbarnes@cooperkirk.Com	Washington, Dc 2003 202.220.9600 mkirk@cooperkirk.com □
11	Attornaya fon Dlaintiff State Montana	mkirk(@cooperkirk.com □
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff State Montana	
13	Colin P. Snider	
14	Assistant Attorney General Nebraska Attorney General's Office	
15	2115 State Capitol Building Lincoln, NE 68509	
16	Phone: (402) 471-2682 colin.snider@nebraska.gov	
17	Attorney for Plaintiff State of Nebraska	
18	Thiorney for I turning State of Iveorasia	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
۷۵ ا		

1	Kashif T. Chand Section Chief, Deputy Attorney General
2	Thomas Huynh Assistant Section Chief, Deputy Attorney General
3	Gina F. Pittore Verna J. Pradaxay
4	Mandy K. Wang
	Deputy Attorneys General New Jersey Office of the Attorney General, Division of Law
5	124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor Newark, NJ 07101
6	Tel: (973) 648-2052
7	Kashif.Chand@law.njoag.gov Thomas.Huynh@law.njoag.gov
8	Gina.Pittore@law.njoag.gov Verna.Pradaxay@law.njoag.gov
9	Mandy.Wang@law.njoag.gov
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs State of New Jersey and the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs
11	
12	Christopher D'Angelo Chief Deputy Atterney General
13	Chief Deputy Attorney General, Economic Justice Division
	Clark Russell
14	Deputy Chief, Bureau of Internet and Technology Nathaniel Kosslyn
15	Assistant Attorney General
16	New York State Office of the Attorney General 28 Liberty Street
17	New York, NY 10005
18	(212) 416-8262 Christopher.D'Angelo@ag.ny.gov
	Clark.Russell@ag.ny.gov
19	Nathaniel.Kosslyn@ag.ny.gov
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State
21	of New York
22	Kevin Anderson
23	Senior Counsel N.C. Department of Justice
24	Post Office Box 629
25	Raleigh, North Carolina 27602 Telephone: (919) 716-6006
	Facsimile: (919) 716-6050 <u>kander@ncdoj.gov</u>
26 27	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of North Carolina
28	
	Elin S. Alm

1	Assistant Attorney General Christopher G. Lindblad
2	Assistant Attorney General
	Consumer Protection and Antitrust Division
3	Office of Attorney General
4	1720 Burlington Drive, Suite C
7	Bismarck, ND 58504-7736 Telephone (701) 328-5570
5	ealm@nd.gov
6	clindblad@nd.gov
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of North Dakota,
8	ex rel. Drew H. Wrigley, Attorney General
9	Melissa G. Wright
10	Section Chief, Consumer Protection Section
	Melissa S. Smith Asst. Section Chief, Consumer Protection
11	Section Section
12	Michael S. Ziegler
12	Principal Assistant Attorney General
13	Kevin R. Walsh, pro hac vice
14	Senior Assistant Attorney General
1	30 East Broad Street, 14 th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215
15	Tel: 614-466-1031
16	Melissa.Wright@ohioago.gov
17	Melissa.S.Smith@ohioago.gov
17	Michael.Ziegler@ohioago.gov
18	Kevin.Walsh@ohioago.gov
19	Attorneys for State of Ohio, ex rel. Attorney General Dave Yost
20	
21	Jordan M. Roberts
22	Assistant Attorney General
22	Oregon Department of Justice Consumer Protection Section
23	Consumer Protection Section 100 SW Market Street
24	Portland, Oregon 97201
<i>2</i> 4	Telephone: (971) 673-1880
25	Facsimile: (971) 673-1884
26	
27	

1	jordan.m.roberts@doj.state.or.us
2	Attorneys for State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General
3	for the State of Oregon
4	Timothy R. Murphy
5	Senior Deputy Attorney General Jonathan R. Burns
6	Deputy Attorney General Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General
7	Strawberry Square, 14 th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17120
8	Tel: 717.787.4530 tmurphy@attorneygeneral.gov
9	jburns@attorneygeneral.gov
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
11	
12	Stephen N. Provazza Special Assistant Attorney General
13	Rhode Island Office of the Attorney General 150 South Main St.
14	Providence, RI 02903 Phone: 401-274-4400
15	SProvazza@riag.ri.gov
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Rhode Island
17	
18	Jared Q. Libet
19	Assistant Deputy Attorney General Anna C. Smith
20	Assistant Attorney General Clark C. Kirkland, Jr.
21	Assistant Attorney General P.O. Box 11549
22	Columbia, South Carolina 29211 Tel: (803) 734-0536
23	jlibet@scag.gov annasmith@scag.gov
24	ckirkland@scag.gov
25	Attorneys for Plaintiff the State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan M. Wilson, in His
26	Official Capacity as Attorney General of the State of South Carolina
27	
28	

1 2 3	Jessica M. LaMie Assistant Attorney General 1302 East Highway 14, Suite 1 Pierre, SD 57501-8501 Telephone: (605) 773-3215 Jessica.LaMie@state.sd.us
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of South Dakota
5	
6	Joelle E. Gotwals Assistant Attorney General
7	Office of the Attorney General of Virginia Consumer Protection Section
8	202 N. 9th Street Richmond, Virginia 23219
9	Telephone: (804) 786-8789 Facsimile: (804) 786-0122
10	jgotwals@oag.state.va.us
11	Attorneys for the Plaintiff Commonwealth of Virginia ex rel. Jason S. Miyares,
12	Attorney General
13	Joseph Kanada
14	Alexandra Kory Alexia M. Diorio
15	Assistant Attorneys General Washington State Office of the Attorney General
16	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104
17	(206) 389-3843 Joe.Kanada@atg.wa.gov
18	Alexandra.kory@atg.wa.gov Alexia.diorio@atg.wa.gov
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington
20	
21	R. Duane Harlow Assistant Attorney General
22	Wisconsin Department of Justice
23	Post Office Box 7857 Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7857
24	(608) 266-2950 harlowrd@doj.state.wi.us
25	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Wisconsin
26	
27	Laurel K. Lackey Assistant Attorney General
28	Office of the Attorney General
	1.4

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Consumer Protection & Antitrust Division Eastern Panhandle Office 269 Aikens Center Martinsburg, West Virginia 25404 (304) 267-0239 laurel.k.lackey@wvago.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrisey, Attorney General		
9		Б	/ / / 11
0		By:	<u>/s/ Ashley M. Simonsen</u> Ashley M. Simonsen
11			
12			
13			
15			
16			
17			
18			
9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		15	

Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR Document 1030-5 Filed 07/24/24 Page 16 of 436

COVINGTON

BEIJING BOSTON BRUSSELS DUBAI FRANKFURT
JOHANNESBURG LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK
PALO ALTO SAN FRANCISCO SEOUL SHANGHAI WASHINGTON

Covington & Burling LLP Salesforce Tower 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533 T +1 415 591 6000

July 24, 2024

DELIVERED VIA PROCESS SERVER

Arizona Department of Child Safety Phoenix Corporate Center 3003 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85012

Re: People of the State of California v. Meta Platforms, Inc., 4:23-cv-05448

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find a subpoena being served upon you in connection with the above-captioned litigation currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. I represent Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc. and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, the "Meta Defendants") in this matter.

The document subpoena requests the production of specific documents identified in the attached subpoena (see Attachment A to the subpoena), which are requested to be produced by 10:00 a.m. on August 23, 2024. We are willing to work with you on the time, date, location, method and manner of document production, as well as answer any questions or concerns you may have regarding subpoena compliance. It is sufficient compliance with this subpoena if you electronically transmit a copy of the requested documents to the undersigned. As such, please contact me at kpatchen@cov.com and 415-591-6031, to discuss document production and subpoena compliance, unless you are already represented by counsel in connection with this matter.

The Court is currently considering whether the Arizona Attorney General's Office has control over Arizona Department of Child Safety's information for the purposes of discovery in this action. If the Court rules that the Arizona Attorney General's Office has control over the Arizona Department of Child Safety's information, the Arizona Attorney General has pending discovery requests that seek this agency's documents. By serving this subpoena, Meta does not waive its position in the state agency dispute, nor does Meta in any way waive rights to compel the Arizona Attorney General to produce Arizona Department of Child Safety information as part of discovery in this action. However, to avoid further delay in the production of information from the Arizona Department of Child Safety, Meta is serving the attached subpoena to request the timely production of this information.

Your anticipated time and assistance with this matter is greatly appreciated.

COVINGTON

Page 2

Best regards,

/s/ Kate Patchen

Kate Patchen

Enclosed: Subpoena, Attachment A, and Attachment B

United States District Court

for the

Northern District of California

	Northern	District of	Camorma	
IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADO	LESCENT ADDICTION/PERSO	NAL		
INJURY PRODUCTS LIABI	LITY LITIGATION)		
		_		
THIS DOCUMENT RELATE	S TO:	í	Civil Action No.	4:22-MD-03047-YGR
People of the State of California	rnia, et al. v. Meta Platforms,	í		MDL No. 3047
Inc. et al., Case No.: 4:23-cv	-05448)		
		- ′		
		,		
	OENA TO PRODUCE DOOR TO PERMIT INSPECTIO			
A				
To: Arizona Departmer		ъ.	47.05040	
Phoenix Corporate	Center, 3003 N. Central Ave.			
	(Name of perso	n to whom th	is subpoena is directed)	
	stored information, or object			ace set forth below the following pying, testing, or sampling of the
Place:			Date and Time:	
			August 23, 202	4 10:00 a m
			7 Tugust 25, 202	1, 10.00 a.m.
other property possessed		ne, date, ai	nd location set forth	e designated premises, land, or below, so that the requesting party ated object or operation on it.
Rule 45(d), relating to yo		ject to a sul	opoena; and Rule 45	ting to the place of compliance; (e) and (g), relating to your duty to
	CLERK OF COURT			
			OR	
			011	/s/ Ashley Simonsen
	Signature of Clerk or Dep	uty Clerk		Attorney's signature
	J J			
Meta Platforms Technologies,	-		who issues	or requests this subpoena, are:
Ashley Simonsen, Covingto	n & Burling LLP 1999 Avenue of	the Stars 1	os Angeles, CA 9006	7 424-332-4782 asimonsen@cov.com

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 4:23-cv-05448

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

(date)	bpoena for (name of individual and title, if an					
☐ I served the su	☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows:					
		on (date) ;	or			
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:					
tendered to the w		States, or one of its officers or agents, I e, and the mileage allowed by law, in the				
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
I declare under p	enalty of perjury that this information i	s true.				
»:		Server's signature				
		Printed name and title				
		1 vinea name and me				
		Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
 - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
 - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) *Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.* These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS TO ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

- 1. The term "communication" means the transmittal of information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise).
- 2. "Document" or "documents" shall have the broadest meaning ascribed to it by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and includes, without limitation electronically stored information ("ESI") (including, without limitation, electronic databases and the data therein, all electronic messages or communications, electronic word processing documents, electronically stored voicemail, webpages, and social media posts) in accordance with any order in this case governing ESI at the time of production. Different versions of the same documents, including, but not limited to, drafts or documents with handwritten notations or marks not found in the original or on other copies are different documents.
 - 3. "You", "you", "Your" and "your" means the Arizona Department of Child Safety.
- 4. "Relating" or "related to" means and includes referring to, concerning, referencing, mentioning, associated with, constituting, discussing, containing, embodying, recording, reflecting, identifying, summarizing, incorporating, and/or dealing with or pertaining to in any way.
 - 5. "And" includes "or", and vice versa.
 - 6. The singular form of a word or term includes the plural, and vice versa.
 - 7. The present tense of a verb includes the past tense, and vice versa.
- 8. The use of the article "the" shall not be construed as limiting the scope of any request.
 - 9. "Including" means "including without limitation."

- 10. "Any" includes "all", and vice versa.
- 11. The capitalized version of a word or term includes the lower case version of a word or term, and vice versa.
- 12. "Social Media Platforms" or "Platforms" means a digital service that facilitates interactions between two or more distinct but interdependent sets of users, including but not limited to Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, YouTube, Twitter (or "X"), Pinterest, LinkedIn, BeReal, Lapse, Reddit, Threads, VSCO, Goodreads, Quora, Discord, Twitch, and Tumblr.
- 13. "Young Users" means users of Social Platforms who are under 18 years of age when using the Platform(s).

All words, terms, and phrases not specifically defined herein are to be given their normal and customary meaning in the context in which they are used in these Requests.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. These Requests are intended to cover any and all documents in your possession, custody, or control, to the extent not privileged. Documents held by any office, department, board, commission, or instrumentality of the Arizona Department of Child Safety are within your possession, custody, or control.
- 2. In accordance with ESI Protocol attached hereto as Attachment B, if you object to furnishing any of the documents or information requested by these Requests on the grounds of attorney-client privilege, work product, other privilege, or otherwise then, with respect to each such document:
 - a. state the nature of the privilege claimed;
 - b. state the date of each communication supporting the privilege claim;
 - c. identify all participants in the supporting communication;
 - d. state the specific grounds on which the objection is based; and

- e. identify the specific request calling for such information;
- 2. If you object to any Request on the grounds that it is vague or ambiguous, state: (i) the portions or terms of such Request that you claim to be vague or ambiguous; and (ii) the interpretation of the Request pursuant to which you provide a response.
- 3. Each request shall be deemed continuing, so as to require supplemental or mandatory responses should you obtain additional responsive information subsequent to your initial responses.
- 4. If you cannot fully respond to a particular Request after exercising due diligence to make inquiry and to secure the necessary information, provide a response to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and providing whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the portion not responded to.
- 5. Each page of a produced document shall have a legible, unique page identifier ("Bates Number") and confidentiality legend (where applicable) on the face of the image at a location that does not obliterate, conceal, or interfere with any information from the source document. Redactions should be clearly marked or stamped on the page in such a way that it is clear from review that a portion of the image has been redacted.
- 6. All responsive and non-privileged electronic documents shall be produced in the format ordered by the Court in its ESI order.
- 7. All responsive electronic documents shall be produced in a format agreed upon by the parties, to include at least native versions of Excel spreadsheets and presentation files, with a Bates-stamped placeholder image.
- 8. Unless otherwise specified, the time period for these Requests is January 1, 2012 to the date of production of the Documents.

ATTACHMENT A

- 1. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of persons ages 13 to 18.
- 2. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms, including the importance of Social Media Platforms and the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users and the potential benefits and harms created by the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 3. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users, including those related to the effects of:
 - a. Social Media Platforms usage;
 - b. Video games usage;
 - c. Cell phone usage;
 - d. Messaging usage;
 - e. Usage of other electronics apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - f. COVID-19 and/or remote learning;
 - g. Use of drugs or alcohol;
 - h. The opioid and fentanyl epidemic;
 - i. Poverty, homelessness, lack of health insurance, and/or food insecurity;
 - j. Physical health including nutrition, exercise, weight management, sleeping habits, and sexual activity;
 - k. Family trauma or deaths;
 - 1. Academic pressure;
 - m. Violence, including school violence, domestic violence, gun violence, gun control and/or mass shootings;
 - n. Bullying or verbal abuse apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - o. Political polarization;
 - p. Natural disasters;
 - q. Climate change;
 - r. Discrimination and inequity;
 - s. Global warfare and conflict; and
 - t. Any other potential causes of harms to the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 4. Youth Risk Behavior Surveys conducted by the Arizona Department of Child Safety or on its behalf.
- 5. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to:
 - a. Features of Social Media Platforms that are psychologically or physically harmful to Young Users;
 - b. Features of Social Media Platforms that promote compulsive, prolonged, or unhealthy use by Young Users;
 - c. The impact of features of Social Media Platforms, including:

- (1) Algorithmic recommendation and sequencing;
- (2) Image filters;
- (3) Use of multiple user accounts;
- (4) Infinite scroll;
- (5) Ephemeral content features;
- (6) Autoplay;
- (7) Quantification and display of likes; or
- (8) Audiovisual and haptic alerts.
- 6. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Arizona Department of Child Safety to address Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms, including any program, initiative, effort, or action to prevent, limit, encourage, or promote the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users.
- 7. Policies proposed, recommended, or enacted by the Arizona Department of Child Safety regarding screen time and acceptable use of cell phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices by Young Users.
- 8. Complaints to the Arizona Department of Child Safety by teachers or school districts regarding social media or cell phone use by Young Users and/or the need for acceptable use or other policies to address Young Users' use of social media or cell phones.
- 9. Complaints to the Arizona Department of Child Safety by teachers or school districts regarding budget crises from inflation, underfunding, unfunded mandates, and other causes.
- 10. Documents related to state assessments in Arizona, including reports and analyses regarding the history of K-12 state assessment or standardized testing scores, performance by schools and/or school districts, and any other measures of school performance.
- 11. Legislation or policies proposed by, proposed on behalf of, or testified on by the Arizona Department of Child Safety, regardless of whether such legislation or policies were enacted, regarding Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 12. Mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health services provided by the Arizona Department of Child Safety to Young Users during the Relevant Period, including:
 - a. Counseling or therapy;
 - b. Psychiatric services;
 - c. Crisis intervention;
 - d. Inpatient short-term and long-term programs;
 - e. Resource centers; and
 - f. Services for Young Users dealing with substance abuse or addiction issues.
- 13. Any and all Arizona Department of Child Safety grants to address Young Users' mental health, including information about when, where, why, and how any disbursements were

- paid, any schedules or plans for future disbursements, policies governing evaluation or approval of such disbursements, records of how the disbursements were used, and all agendas, minutes, notes, or recordings from meetings related to such grant.
- 14. Public or non-public meetings held by the Arizona Department of Child Safety related to Social Media Platforms and use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users, including but not limited to, notices, meeting notes, PowerPoint presentations, internal memoranda, summaries, personal notes, or attendee lists.
- 15. Policies, procedures, and practices related to the Arizona Department of Child Safety's use of Social Media Platforms, including communication of information or promotion of Arizona Department of Child Safety's programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions on Social Media Platforms.
- 16. Arizona Department of Child Safety's communications and/or collaboration with any Social Media Platform on any program, initiative or other action related to, or directed at, Young Users.
- 17. Arizona Department of Child Safety's communications with any third party related to Young Users and/or Social Media Platforms.
- 18. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Arizona Department of Child Safety during the Relevant Period related to Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 19. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Arizona Department of Child Safety during the Relevant Period related to treatment of teen mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health issues.
- 20. Policies, procedures, and practices applicable to the Arizona Department of Child Safety and Arizona Department of Child Safety employees regarding the use of Social Media Platforms, including any changes to these policies during the Relevant Period.
- 21. Studies, programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Arizona Department of Child Safety that sought to provide or promote internet access or phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices to Young Users.
- 22. Arizona Department of Child Safety's budget and actual expenditures during the Relevant Period, including expenditures on:
 - a. Digital advertisements by the Arizona Department of Child Safety on Social Media Platforms;
 - b. Digital advertisements by the Arizona Department of Child Safety purchased through Social Media Platforms.

ATTACHMENT B

Ca	aseC4x262-4m2220300407310457RYGB001D000emmb4.0136950	Filiteld037124224 Patgaegte 21930 f 436			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	IN THE UNITED STATI FOR THE NORTHERN DIS				
8	IN RE: Social Media Adolescent	MDL No. 3047			
9	Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability	Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR			
10	Litigation	Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers			
11	This document relates to: ALL ACTIONS	Honorable Peter H. Kang			
12	ALLACTIONS	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]			
13		ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF			
14		ELECTRONICALLY STORED			
15		INFORMATION AND HARD COPY DOCUMENTS			
16					
17	1. PURPOSE				
18	This Order Governing the Production of Electronically Stored Information and Hard Copy				
19	Documents ("ESI Order") will govern discovery of electronically stored information and any hard				
20	copy documents in this Litigation as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this				
21	District's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other				
22	applicable orders and rules. "This Litigation" includes all actions currently in MDL No. 3047, <i>In</i>				
23	Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability, or hereafter added or				
24	transferred to MDL No. 3047, and all actions later				

2. **DEFINITIONS**

25

26

27

28

a) "Document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and shall include Hard-Copy Documents and ESI.

2.1

- b) "Electronically stored information" or "ESI," as used herein has the same meaning as in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34.
- c) "Hard-Copy Document" means Documents existing in paper form at the time of collection.
- d) "Searchable Text" means the native text extracted from an Electronic Document and any Optical Character Recognition text ("OCR text") generated from a Hard-Copy Document or electronic image.

3. COOPERATION

The Parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout this Litigation consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI and this Court's Rules of Professional Conduct. The Parties will endeavor to cooperate in good faith and be reasonably transparent in all aspects of the discovery process.

4. LIAISON

The Parties will identify Discovery Liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Any Party is free to change their designated Discovery Liaison by providing written notice to the other Parties. Each Party's Discovery Liaison must: (a) be prepared to meet and confer on discovery-related matters and to participate in discovery dispute resolution; (b) be knowledgeable about the Party's discovery efforts; (c) be, or have reasonable access to those who are, familiar with the Party's electronic systems and capabilities in order to explain those systems and answer relevant questions; and (d) be, or have reasonable access to those who are knowledgeable about the technical aspects of discovery, including electronic document storage, organization, and format issues, and relevant information retrieval technology, including search methodology.

5. IDENTIFICATION OF CUSTODIANS AND DATA SOURCES

The parties will disclose information about custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources likely to possess relevant or responsive information in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and this District's ESI Guidelines. The Parties will participate in Rule 26(f) discussions guided by this District's Checklist for Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer Regarding Electronically

Stored Information. After responses to Requests for Production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 have been served, the parties will meet and confer regarding those custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources from which Documents and ESI will be collected for search and review for potential production in this litigation. The custodian and data source exchanges will include brief explanations of the rationale for their selections; for example, for custodians, their current job titles and descriptions of their work, and for data sources, location information and description.

6. INACCESSIBLE OR UNUSABLE ESI

2.1

If a Producing Party asserts that certain requested ESI is inaccessible or not "reasonably accessible," otherwise unnecessary, or if the Receiving Party asserts that, following production, certain ESI is not reasonably useable, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss resolving such assertions. The parties will exchange sufficient information to enable the parties to confer in good faith. If the parties cannot resolve any such disputes after such a meet and confer has taken place, the issue shall be presented to the Court for resolution.

7. KNOWN RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS

Documents or ESI identified in a custodial or non-custodial file, or in a discrete folder or collection, that are known to a Producing Party through reasonable investigation to be responsive to a discovery request shall be collected for review without regard to whether the responsive content was located via any search methodology developed in accordance with this Order, and nothing about such review shall prevent the Producing Party from redacting or withholding and logging such documents for applicable privileges.

8. SEARCH METHODOLOGIES

The Parties shall adopt reasonable and proportionate methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce ESI as required under applicable legal standards. The Parties recognize and agree that each Party may use one or more methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-privileged ESI, including the use of keyword search terms and/or the use of technology assisted review ("TAR") as discussed further herein. The Parties further recognize that different data sets may implicate different methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-

privileged ESI. The Parties therefore agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding any potential disputes over their respective ESI productions.

9. HIT REPORTS AND SEARCH TERMS

ESI, the Producing Party shall disclose the search terms to the Requesting Party. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over the disclosed search terms. In the event that a Producing Party claims burden with respect to modified and/or additional search terms proposed by a Requesting Party, the Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the terms at issue using industry-standard processing tools, such as NUIX or other similar tools. The Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the document collection where the terms were applied, including the following with respect to each proposed or modified search term in the collection:

- a) The number of documents with hits for that term; and
- b) The number of unique documents, i.e., documents which do not have hits for any other term.

If, after reviewing a hit report from a Producing Party, a Requesting Party so chooses, it may reasonably request a further hit report which includes:

- c) The number of family members, including the documents with hits, of the documents with hits for that term; and
- d) The number of unique family members of the documents with hits for that term.

If the ESI tool for the Producing Party is capable without undue burden of providing the number of family members and unique family members, then the Producing Party shall provide such further hit report. The Parties (including the person most knowledgeable about the capabilities of the Producing Party's ESI tool and the Requesting Party's person most knowledgeable about technical issues from its ESI service provider) shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over whether the Producing Party's ESI tool has the capability or not to provider either number of family members or number of unique family members.

The Parties shall meet and confer to resolve disagreements over the search terms or their application. To the extent the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the application of, or

procedures for, any search or filtering processes, the Parties shall fully comply with the provisions of this Court's Discovery Standing Order regarding the procedure for raising discovery disputes with the Court, including the meet and confer and certification requirements therein.

10. TECHNOLOGY ASSISTED REVIEW (TAR)

A Producing Party may use TAR (technology-assisted review) during the culling and review process of ESI, which may be applied in addition to search terms. If a Producing Party uses TAR to cull, filter out, or exclude documents from that Party's production, that Producing Party shall do the following:

- If a Producing Party chooses to apply both search terms and TAR to a review set, the Producing Party will disclose the search terms to all other Party/Parties.
- Disclose the name of the TAR tool or service used to all other Party/Parties.

11. VALIDATION

Each Producing Party shall take reasonable steps to validate its review process (i.e., using quality control measures to determine whether its production is missing relevant ESI or contains substantial amounts of irrelevant ESI) and make any necessary adjustments or corrections to its process. If, after reviewing a Producing Party's production, a Requesting Party reasonably requests additional information regarding the validation method(s) used by the Producing Party, the Producing Party will disclose the level of end-to-end recall (the percentage of responsive Documents in the collection which were identified as responsive by that Producing Party's methodology). If there remain disputes between the Parties regarding validation, the Parties shall meet and confer to resolve such disputes in good faith, including a reasonable discussion regarding the tool used and the parameters used to obtain or calculate the level of recall.

12. UNSEARCHABLE DOCUMENTS

The Producing Party shall use reasonable efforts to identify and review potentially responsive documents for which text-based search technologies are fundamentally ineffective.

13. SYSTEM FILES

Each Party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application executable files using the national software reference library ("NSRL") NIST hash set list. The

Parties also may filter out stand-alone files identified as zero bytes in size. Additional culling of file types based on file header information may be applied to the following, provided these files are not known to be otherwise attached, embedded in, or included with an otherwise responsive document, or are not themselves reasonably known to contain information responsive or contain responsive data or are used to interface with users or interact with or access individual or aggregated user data: Application Package File, Backup Files, Batch Files, Binary Disc Image, C++ File Formats, Cascading Style Sheet, Configuration File, Database File, Dictionary Files, Dynamic Link Library, Event Log Files, Executable Files, Hypertext Cascading Stylesheet, Java Archive Files, JavaScript Files, JavaScript Source Code and Class Files, Macintosh Resource Fork Files, Package Manager Files, Program Files, Program Installers, Python Script Files, Shell Script Files, System or Temporary Files, Thumbnail Cache Files, Troff Files, Truetype Font Files, Windows Cabinet File, Windows Command Files, Windows File Shortcut, Windows Help Files, Windows Metafiles and Enhanced Metafiles, Windows Spool Files, Windows System File.

14. **DEDUPLICATION**

2.1

Each Producing Party shall make reasonable efforts to globally deduplicate exact duplicate Documents within that Producing Party's ESI data set across all custodial and non-custodial sources at the family level using either MD5 hash values or SHA hash values or any other agreed-upon (and disclosed) industry-standard deduplication technology. The Parties shall reach agreement on such other deduplication technology and shall reach agreement on how their deduplication tools shall identify exact duplicates of documents in a manner that is consistent with the disclosed tools and technologies a Producing Party is using. The Parties shall reach agreement on how to identify exact duplicates of emails using industry-standard commercially available software tools or services, which may for example calculate hash values of emails based on concatenated values of agreed-upon email fields and/or hash values of attachments, or which may use any other method the Parties agree upon. Having further met and conferred in keeping with the previous sentence, the Parties shall calculate deduplication hash values for emails on the concatenated values set forth in and in accordance with the documentation specifications of the disclosed deduplication tools to be used in this Litigation (i.e., RelativityOne, Relativity Server,

and Nuix); however, if Nuix is used, the Producing Party shall select the optional field "Include Bcc" as an additional field to add to the default ones.

The Parties shall not withhold from production near-duplicates without meeting and conferring on this issue.

The names of all custodians who were either identified as custodians for purposes of collection for this matter (or otherwise known by the Producing Party to have been in possession or custody of a document prior to deduplication) will be populated in the ALL CUSTODIANS metadata field for the produced version of a document that has duplicates removed from production. The original file paths (if any exist) of a document prior to deduplication will be populated in the ALL FILE PATHS metadata field of the produced document.

15. EMAIL THREADING

2.1

The Parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and shall produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members. Where multiple email messages are part of a single chain or "thread," a Party is only required to produce the most inclusive copy of an email message(s) ("Last In Time Email(s)") and need not produce earlier, lesser inclusive email messages or "thread members," provided that the tool or software service being used to perform threading is disclosed. A Producing Party may exclude from production lesser inclusive copies of the most inclusive email message in the thread, where the entire body of each of those lesser inclusive copies is included within the Last in Time Email. The Producing Party will honor reasonable requests to produce lesser inclusive copies of a Last In Time Email or other earlier chains of emails otherwise excluded by email thread suppression.

The Parties shall treat new or different email chains or threads pursuant to further agreement on safeguards or guidelines for defining emails threads which reasonably take into account the capabilities of the email threading/dethreading/hyperthreading tools used by each Defendant (which shall be disclosed to Plaintiffs).

16. SOURCE CODE

The Parties will meet and confer to address the production and/or inspection of source code and entering into a separate order governing the same if needed.

17. PRODUCTION FORMATS

2.1

The Parties agree to produce documents and data in the formats described in **Appendix 1** to this ESI Order. If particular documents or categories of documents identified in response to document requests warrant a different format, the Parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The Parties further agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

In addition, the Parties agree that the production and production format of social media and/or user account information is not covered by this agreement and to meet and confer on the production and production format, including metadata, for social media and/or user account information.

18. PHASING

Once the Parties begin propounding discovery requests pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the Parties agree to meet and confer regarding appropriate phasing for the production of ESI.

19. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- a) Production of Plaintiffs ESI and Case-Specific Materials. Subject to any further agreement among the Parties or Order of the Court, the Parties shall produce case-specific documents (i.e., documents specific to the claim of a given Plaintiff, produced by Plaintiffs or Defendants) for any Plaintiff in discovery pools or other selections designed to inform bellwether selection, including those selected for a bellwether trial, in accordance with the production format specified herein, provided, however, that the Producing Party may elect to produce such materials in their native format. To the extent production of case-specific documents for any Plaintiff selected for a bellwether trial presents an issue for any Party, the Parties shall reasonably confer, and may present any disputes to the Court or its designee. The Parties shall further agree to confer concerning the production format and associated matters (e.g., hosting platform) for case-specific documents produced in the cases of other Plaintiffs.

 Nothing herein shall limit Defendant's right to seek discovery from any Plaintiff.
- b) Translations Of Produced Materials. The Producing Party has no obligation to

create a translation of the Documents or any portion thereof. For any foreign-language documents responsive to document requests that a Party reasonably knows as the result of a reasonable investigation have been translated into the English language using human translators or through machine translation for its own purposes, except to the extent such translation is protected by attorney-client or work-product privileges, the Producing Party shall produce the translation of the original document with the original. The parties will meet and confer as necessary concerning procedures for using translations at depositions and at trial. In the event the Parties cannot reach agreement, the matter may be submitted to the Court for determination.

- c) Third-Party Documents. A Party that issues a Non-Party subpoena ("Issuing Party") shall include a copy of this Order with the subpoena and state that (1) the subpoenaed Non-Party should produce Documents in response to the subpoena to all Parties; and (2) the Parties to this Litigation have requested that Third Parties produce Documents in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. If the subpoenaed Non-Party produces Documents to the Issuing Party but does not produce those Documents to other Parties, the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to those other Parties within 14 days of receiving the Documents, except where the Documents are to be used in a deposition, in which case the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to all other Parties no later than three (3) days prior to the deposition, or as soon as reasonably practicable if such production occurs thereafter. Nothing in this Order is intended or may be interpreted to narrow, expand, or otherwise affect the rights of the Parties or Third Parties to object to a subpoena. If the Non-Party production is not Bates-stamped, the Parties will meet and confer to agree upon a format for designating the documents with a unique Bates Number prefix.
- d) <u>Documents Produced by Parties Presumption of Authenticity</u>. In order to reduce the number of requests for admission, this Order establishes a rebuttable presumption that documents produced by the Parties are authentic, if said documents were either created or authored by the producing Party, or any of its employees, agents, or

contractors, so long as the employees', agents', or contractors' work was performed in connection with a project or assignment sponsored by the producing Party. No further evidence to establish authenticity need be provided. Nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to waive any other evidentiary objection a party may have, including but not limited to hearsay, foundation/personal knowledge, or relevance.

- e) **Re-productions.** Notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary, re-production of discrete sets of documents from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter may be re-produced in the same manner and form as originally produced in the other matter, provided however that a party will re-produce documents in a different format for good cause shown. This provision does not waive the right of a party to object to any requests for reproduction of production files from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter.
- f) <u>Modification</u>. This ESI Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown.
- g) Good Faith. The Parties will act in good faith as required by law and use these procedures to identify and reduce the potential for disputes.
- h) <u>Continuing Obligations</u>. The Parties recognize that discovery shall be an iterative and cooperative process. The Parties will continue to meet and confer regarding any issues as reasonably necessary and appropriate. This Order does not address or resolve any objections to the Parties' respective discovery requests.
- i) <u>Reservation of Rights</u>. The Parties agree that any topic not addressed herein is neither a waiver nor acknowledgement of agreement by either Party.
- IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

1	DATED: March 15, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		<u>/s/ Lexi J. Hazam</u> LEXI J. HAZAM
3		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
4		275 BATTERY STREET, 29тн FLOOR
5		SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: 415-956-1000
6		lhazam@lchb.com
7		
8		PREVIN WARREN MOTLEY RICE LLC
9		401 9th Street NW Suite 630
9		Washington DC 20004
10		T: 202-386-9610
11		pwarren@motleyrice.com
12		Co-Lead Counsel
13		CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
14		SEEGER WEISS, LLP 55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6TH FLOOR
17		RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660
15		Telephone: 973-639-9100
16		Facsimile: 973-679-8656
10		cseeger@seegerweiss.com
17		Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel
18		JENNIE LEE ANDERSON
19		ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
20		155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900
21		SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 Telephone: 415-986-1400
		jennie@andrusanderson.com
22 23		Liaison Counsel
		MATTHEW BERGMAN
24		GLENN DRAPER SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER
25		821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100
26		SEATTLE, WA 98104
27		Telephone: 206-741-4862 matt@socialmediavictims.org
28		glenn@socialmediavictims.org

Case 45224n22-0304078047R/GRO Do Domente 10 3595 File 10 03/1/2/4/4 Pale a 45 30 436

Ca	as C 4 s 2 2 4 n 2 2 - 0 3 0 4 7 3 0 4 7 7 P C R D o Dorocente 1 0 3 9 4 5 Filipide 0 3 7 1 2 1 4 2 4 P a G a d 6 3 0 4 3 6
1	Attorneys for Defendant Snap Inc.
2	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
3	Professional Corporation
4	<u>By: /s/ Brian M. Willen</u> Brian M. Willen (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
4	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
5	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor
6	New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 999-5800
7	Facsimile: (212) 999-5899
,	Email: bwillen@wsgr.com
8	Lauren Gallo White
9	Samantha A. Machock
10	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
11	One Market Plaza, Spear Tower, Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94105
11	Telephone: (415) 947-2000
12	Facsimile: (415) 947-2099
13	Email: lwhite@wsgr.com Email: smachock@wsgr.com
14	
	Christopher Chiou
15	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 953 East Third Street, Suite 100
16	Los Angeles, CA 90013
17	Telephone: (323) 210-2900
	Facsimile: (866) 974-7329 Email: cchiou@wsgr.com
18	Zinani odnosej i ogricom
19	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC, Google
20	LLC, and Alphabet Inc.
	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
21	By: /s/ Joseph G. Petrosinelli Loganh G. Petrosinelli (nuo han viae)
22	Joseph G. Petrosinelli (<i>pro hac vice</i>) jpetrosinelli@wc.com
23	Ashley W. Hardin (pro hac vice)
24	ahardin@wc.com
	680 Maine Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20024
25	Telephone.: 202-434-5000
26	Fax: 202-434-5029
27	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC, Google
	LLC, and Alphabet Inc.
28	

Ca	Cas @4s224n22-0304730477 YGR20 Doronemte1103 0	945 Filie id 3/71/2/12/12/4 Palge 49 30 436
1	ı	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2	2	By: <u>/s/ Stephanie Schuster</u> Stephanie Schuster (pro hac vice)
3	3	stephanie.schuster@morganlewis.com
4	4	1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW NW Washington, DC 20004-2541
5		Tel.: 202.373.6595
6		Yardena R. Zwang-Weissman (SBN 247111) yardena.zwang-weissman@morganlewis.com
7	7	300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor
8	3	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132 Tel.: 213.612.7238
9)	Brian Ercole (pro hac vice)
10		brian.ercole@morganlewis.com 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600
11	1	Miami, FL 33131-3075
12		Tel.: 305.415.3416
13		Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC
14	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing A	A greement is approved
15		rgreement is approved.
16		
17	Dated: March 18, 2024	AACICTDATE HIDGE DETER H. WANG
18		MAGISTRATE JUDGE PETER H. KANG
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	7	

2.1

APPENDIX 1: PRODUCTION FORMAT

- 1) **Production Components.** Except as otherwise provided below, ESI must be produced in accordance with the following specifications:
 - a) an ASCII delimited data file (.DAT) using standard delimiters;
 - b) an image load file (.OPT) that can be loaded into commercially acceptable production software (e.g. Concordance);
 - c) single page black-and-white TIFF images, or JPEG images when color is specified herein, or native files with single page placeholder TIFF images depending on the applicable production format for each type of file;
 - d) and document level .TXT files for all documents containing extracted full text or OCR text.
 - e) Family relationships between emails and their attachments, embedded files and their source document, and connected hardcopy documents will be maintained in production. Attachments should be consecutively produced with their parent.
 - f) If a particular document warrants a different production format, the Parties will cooperate in good faith to arrange for a mutually acceptable production format.
- 2) Production Media and Access Controls. Productions must be encrypted and produced through secure electronic means, such as secure file sharing methods (e.g. FTP), or on CD, DVD, flash drive or external hard drive ("Production Media"). Each piece of Production Media must identify a production number corresponding to the production volume (e.g. "VOL001"). Each piece of Production Media must also identify: (a) the case caption; (b) the following label: "This media contains material subject to Court Ordered security measures"; (c) the Producing Party's name; (d) the production date; (e) the Bates Number range of the materials contained on the Production Media.

Nothing in this ESI Order will preclude or impair any and all protections provided the Parties by any Protective Order(s) agreed and entered into by the Parties. Parties will use best efforts to avoid the unnecessary copying or transmittal of produced documents. If questions arise, the Parties will meet and confer to ensure security concerns are addressed prior to the exchange of

any documents.

- aproduction sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents in the production sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents in the production sets. The Bates numbers in the data load file must match the corresponding documents' beginning Bates numbers in the data load file. The total number of documents in a production should match the total number of records in the data load file. Load files must not vary in format or structure within a production, or from one production to another except by agreement of the Parties.
- 4) Metadata Fields. Each of the metadata and coding fields set forth below that can be extracted should be produced for each document, except that if the field contains privileged information, that privileged information may be redacted. However, to the extent that metadata does not exist, is not available for any documents produced, this provision shall not be read to require any Party to extract, capture, collect, manually populate, or produce such metadata, with the exception of the following: (a) BEGBATES, (b) ENDBATES, (c) BEGATTACH, (d) ENDATTACH, (e) PRODVOL, (f) ALL CUSTODIANS, (g) CONFIDENTIALITY, (h) REDACTIONS, (i) REDACTION TYPE, (j) HASHVALUE, (k) NATIVEFILEPATH, and (l) TEXTFILEPATH, which should be populated by the Party or the Party's vendor. The Parties will make reasonable efforts to ensure that metadata fields automatically extracted from the documents correspond directly to the information that exists in the original documents.

Field Name	Field Description
BEGBATES	Beginning Bates number for a particular document as stamped on the first production image for that document
ENDBATES	Ending Bates number as stamped on the last

Field Name	Field Description
	production image for a particular document
BEGATTACH	First production Bates number of the first document in a family
ENDATTACH	Last production Bates number of the last document in a family
ATTACHCOUNT	Number of attachments to an e-mail or embedded parent, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
ATTACHNAMES	Names of each individual Attachment, separated by semicolons.
PRODVOL	Production volume
ALLCUSTODIANS	List of all custodians associated with Document, i.e. "Custodian" + "Other Custodian" values delimited by semicolon.
ALLFILEPATHS	All original path(s) to the individual source file(s) when available in the ordinary course of business to and processable by the parties and their ESI vendors of choice. Includes pa up to and including internal path of containers.
CONFIDENTIALITY	Confidentiality designation assigned to the document consistent with the Stipulated Protective Order governing this Litigation
NATIVEFILEPATH	Native File Link (Native Files only)
TEXTFILEPATH	Path to extracted text/OCR file for the document
HASHVALUE	MD5 hash value of the document
DOCUMENT TYPE	Descriptor for the type of document: "Edocument" for electronic documents not attached to e-mails; "E-mail" for all e-mails; "E-attachment" for files that were attachment to e-mails; and "Physical" for hard copy physical documents that have been scanned and converted to an electronic image.
AUTHOR	Any value populated in the Author field of t

Field Name	Field Description
	document properties
DOCDATE	Date the document was created according to filesystem information (format: MM/DD/YYYY)
DATELASTMODIFIED	Date when document was last modified according to filesystem information (forma MM/DD/YYYY)
LAST MODIFIED BY	Last person who modified (saved) a document, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
TRACK CHANGES	Y if a document with track changes value, otherwise N or empty, if available separate from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
COMMENTS	Y if a document with comments, otherwise or empty, if available separately from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
HASHIDDENDATA	Y if a document with hidden content value otherwise N or empty
FILENAME	Filename of an electronic document
TITLE	Any value populated in the Title field of th document properties
DOCEXT	File extension of document pulled from the document properties
FROM	The sender of the email
ТО	All recipients that were included on the "T line of the email
CC	All recipients that were included on the "C line of the email
BCC	All recipients that were included on the "BCC" line of the email
DATETIMERECEIVED	Date and time email was received (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)
DATETIMESENT	Date and time email was sent (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

Field Name	Field Description
TIMEZONE	The timezone used to process the document
EMAILSUBJECT	Subject line of email pulled from the document properties
THREADID	If a threading tool is used for emails, the thread id generated by the threading tool.
REDACTION TYPE ¹	General category of redaction reason as agreed to by the parties. For example, PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV. If more than one, separate reasons by semicolons.
REDACTIONS	Y if a document is redacted, otherwise N or empty

5) TIFFs. Unless excepted below, single page, black and white, Group IV TIFFs should be provided, at least 300 dots per inch (dpi) for all documents. Each TIFF image must be named according to a unique corresponding Bates number associated with the document. Each image must be branded according to the Bates number and the agreed upon confidentiality designation. Original document orientation should be maintained (i.e., portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape). Where the TIFF image is unreadable or has materially degraded the quality of the original, the Producing Party shall provide a higher quality TIFF image or the native or original file. In addition, the Parties shall take reasonable efforts to process word processing documents (e.g., MS Word) with track changes and/or comments unhidden on the TIFF image.

6) Color. Word processing documents containing tracked changes shall be produced in color, as single-page, 300 dpi JPG images with JPG compression and a high quality setting as to not degrade the original image. The Producing Party shall comply with good faith by the Requesting Party to provide replacement color images for documents originally produced in black and white. A Party making such a request shall make the request by individual Bates

27

28

²⁶

¹ The Parties will provide specific information on any privilege(s) asserted in their privilege logs, in an overlay, or the redaction boxes. The "Redaction Reason" field is informational only for redaction type and shall not in any way limit a party's right to assert attorney-client privilege, attorney-work product privilege, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

27

28

number(s) and shall limit requests made pursuant to this paragraph to a reasonable number of documents.

- **7**) **Text Files.** A single multi-page text file must be provided for each document, and the filename should match its respective TIFF filename for the first page of that document. Extracted text shall be generated with commercially acceptable technology set to include all comments, revisions, tracked changes, speaker's notes and text from documents with comments or tracked changes, and hidden and very hidden worksheets, slides, columns and rows. When possible, the text of native files should be extracted directly from the native file. Parties will perform optical character recognition ("OCR") on foreign language documents using the appropriate settings for languages reasonably anticipated to be in the production following a meet and confer with the Requesting Party to identify those languages. Text files will not contain the redacted portions of the documents. A commercially acceptable technology for OCR should be used for all scanned, hard copy documents and for documents with redactions other than Excel files and other spreadsheets which shall be redacted in native format. Text extracted from emails should include the following header information where available: (1) the individuals to whom the communication was directed ("To"), (2) the author of the email communication ("From"), (3) who was copied and blind copied on such email ("CC" and "BCC"), (4) the subject line of the email ("RE" or "Subject"), and (5) the date and time of the email. To the extent the text extraction technology the Parties are using can be configured to include the text of any URLs or links, the Parties shall utilize that setting.
- Native files. Spreadsheets (*e.g.* MS Excel), and un-redacted presentations (e.g. Microsoft PowerPoint,) will be produced in native format to the extent that they are produced in this Litigation, audio, video, and multi-media files will be produced in native format. The Parties will meet and confer on the production of other file types, such as proprietary files, etc. Native files will be produced with a link in the NATIVEFILEPATH field, along with extracted text (where extracted text is available) and applicable metadata fields set forth in paragraph 4 above. A Bates numbered TIFF placeholder indicating that the document was provided in native format must accompany every native file. Where redaction makes production of native-format

files other than spreadsheets or presentations infeasible, the Parties will confer to determine a reasonably usable form for the production, but spreadsheets shall presumptively be redacted in native, and presentations presumptively redacted in image form, in these cases without the need for further conferring.

- hardcopy will be scanned to *.tiff image format and produced in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. Hard copy documents that are not text-searchable shall be made searchable by OCR prior to production. In scanning paper documents, distinct documents should not be merged into a single record, and single documents should not be split into multiple records (i.e., paper documents should be logically unitized). In the case of an organized compilation of separate documents (for example, a binder containing several separate documents behind numbered tabs), the document behind each tab should be scanned separately, but the relationship among the documents in the compilation should be reflected in the proper coding of the beginning and ending document and attachment fields. The Parties will make their best efforts to unitize the documents correctly. If relevant documents are maintained in a file, folder, envelope, binder, notebook or similar container used to store documents, all contents therein shall be reviewed for production and privilege. Document pages which have affixed notes, such as Post-it notes, should be imaged with and without the note attached.
- **10)** Confidentiality Designation. All images will be stamped with the appropriate confidentiality designations in accordance with the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this Litigation. Each document produced in native format will have its confidentiality designation identified in the filename of the native file and indicated on its corresponding TIFF placeholder.
- 11) Bates Numbering. Bates numbering should be a consistent length across the production, contain no special characters, and be numerically sequential within a given document. If a Bates number or set of Bates numbers is skipped, the skipped number or set of numbers should be noted with a placeholder. Attachments to documents will be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents to which they were attached.

In addition, wherever possible, each image will have its assigned Bates number electronically "burned" onto the image.

- information is stored in a database, or database management system, or proprietary system or application which has is directed to data storage as one of its primary functions, the Producing Party will identify the database and platform to the Requesting Party. The Producing Party shall produce exports and reports about such responsive information stored in such database, where such exports and reports shall be in a reasonably usable form, and information may be produced in CSV format, tab-delimited text format, Microsoft Excel format, or Microsoft Access format. If there are future disputes over the production of information from a database, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to reach any further agreements (if needed) on the data to be produced and the format and scope of the production. The Producing Party will provide reasonable amounts of information about the databases to facilitate that discussion.
- inside a responsive document (including hyperlinked inside emails) within a Producing Party's custody, possession, or control, do not need to be produced in the first instance as part of the same family group as the Document residing at the location to which that hyperlink points. If there are particular hyperlinks identified by the Requesting Party in produced documents, the Requesting Party may submit a list of hyperlinks to a particular Producing Party for potentially relevant documents by identifying the Bates number and URL or link text for each requested link to the Producing Party, and the Producing Party will engage in reasonable efforts to locate the hyperlinked document at that location and either identify it by Bates number or provide any responsive, non-produced, and non-privileged documents. The number of hyperlinks a Requesting Party may identify to a Producing Party shall not be excessive and shall be reasonable, proportional to the needs of the case, and not unduly burdensome.
- **14) Embedded Objects.** The Parties agree non-substantive embedded objects, including, but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons, and footers, may be culled from a document set (but not a document) and need not be produced as separate documents by a

Producing Party (i.e., such embedded objects will be produced within the document itself, rather than as separate documents). Embedded files, except for images (including but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons), are to be produced as family groups. Embedded files should be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents within which they are embedded.

- **15) Production of Family Groups and Relationships**. If any member of a family group is produced, all members of that group must also be produced or else logged as privileged, and no such member shall be withheld from production as a duplicate.
- **16) Dynamic Fields**. Documents with dynamic fields for file names, dates, and times will be imaged to show the field code (e.g., "[FILENAME]") where possible, rather than the values for such fields existing at the time the file is processed.
- 17) Time Zone. The time zone used to process a document, including its metadata, shall be disclosed in the TIMEZONE metadata field consistent with Appendix 1, and shall be standardized for each Defendant across productions.

18) Redactions.

- a) A Producing Party may redact (i) information subject to the attorney client privilege or the work product protection (PRIV); (ii) information that cannot be disclosed pursuant to the Stored Communications Act (SCA); (iii) source code subject to separate agreement applicable to production of source code (CODE); (iv) personal identifying information (PII) including phone numbers, personal addresses, personal email addresses, the month and day of birth, driver's license numbers, and other PII agreed to by the Parties (for example, the Parties shall complete their meet and confer on whether or not to redact users' ages and years of birth). In any event, there shall be no redaction of illnesses, injuries, and medical diagnoses. To the extent a document or pleading contains PII, the Parties shall designate such documents at the appropriate Confidentiality level under the Protective Order and shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 with regard to filings with the Court.
- b) No redactions for relevance may be made within a produced document or ESI item. If, during the course of discovery, the Parties identify other kinds of information that any STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF ESI & HARD COPY DOCUMENTS 9 3:22-MD-03047-YGR; MDL NO. 3047

Party has a reasonable basis for redacting, the Parties will meet and confer on a case-by-case basis regarding that information before such redactions are made. If the Parties cannot agree, they may seek resolution from the Court.

- c) The Producing Party will indicate, on the face of the redaction, the asserted reason(s) for the redaction (PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV) and the REDACTION TYPE metadata field shall indicate that the document contains redactions and the reason(s) for the redaction.
- d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, this provision shall not be read to prohibit redactions permitted under any applicable U.S. law or Protective Order.
- e) Where a responsive document contains both redacted and non-redacted content, the Parties shall produce the non-redacted portions of the document and the OCR text corresponding to the non-redacted portions.
- f) Native Redactions. Spreadsheet files requiring redaction, including without limitation Microsoft Excel files, shall be redacted and produced natively (unless the Parties agree to production in some other format). In addition, a Producing Party may natively redact other files that cannot be properly imaged for redaction.
- g) All images of redacted files shall be processed to show all comments, revision marks, speaker notes, marks made in track changes, or other user-entered data which are visible in a normal view of the document in its native application, unless such material is redacted and marked as redacted in accordance with this section. Where possible, any occurrences of date/time auto-field items, including in headers and footers, will be removed and replaced with the term AUTODATE to prevent the current date from being printed. Email header information (e.g., date and/or subject line) shall not be redacted unless it is independently privileged. The Parties shall honor reasonable requests for the production of particular redacted documents in other formats where the image is not reasonably usable.
- h) Color. Redacted versions of documents that would have been produced in color in their un-redacted form shall be produced in color as detailed herein.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

19) **Exception Files**. The Parties will use reasonable efforts to address processing exceptions.

data that can reasonably be extracted and produced in the formats described herein is identified on a mobile or handheld device, that data shall be produced in accordance with the generic provisions of this protocol. To the extent that responsive data identified on a mobile or handheld device is not susceptible to normal production protocols, the Parties will meet and confer to address the identification, production, and production format of any responsive documents and data contained on any mobile or handheld device.

COVINGTON

BEIJING BOSTON BRUSSELS DUBAI FRANKFURT
JOHANNESBURG LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK
PALO ALTO SAN FRANCISCO SEOUL SHANGHAI WASHINGTON

Covington & Burling LLP Salesforce Tower 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533 T +1 415 591 6000

July 24, 2024

DELIVERED VIA PROCESS SERVER

Arizona Department of Education 1535 W. Jefferson Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: People of the State of California v. Meta Platforms, Inc., 4:23-cv-05448

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find a subpoena being served upon you in connection with the above-captioned litigation currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. I represent Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc. and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, the "Meta Defendants") in this matter.

The document subpoena requests the production of specific documents identified in the attached subpoena (see Attachment A to the subpoena), which are requested to be produced by 10:00 a.m. on August 23, 2024. We are willing to work with you on the time, date, location, method and manner of document production, as well as answer any questions or concerns you may have regarding subpoena compliance. It is sufficient compliance with this subpoena if you electronically transmit a copy of the requested documents to the undersigned. As such, please contact me at kpatchen@cov.com and 415-591-6031, to discuss document production and subpoena compliance, unless you are already represented by counsel in connection with this matter.

The Court is currently considering whether the Arizona Attorney General's Office has control over Arizona Department of Education's information for the purposes of discovery in this action. If the Court rules that the Arizona Attorney General's Office has control over the Arizona Department of Education's information, the Arizona Attorney General has pending discovery requests that seek this agency's documents. By serving this subpoena, Meta does not waive its position in the state agency dispute, nor does Meta in any way waive rights to compel the Arizona Attorney General to produce Arizona Department of Education information as part of discovery in this action. However, to avoid further delay in the production of information from the Arizona Department of Education, Meta is serving the attached subpoena to request the timely production of this information.

Your anticipated time and assistance with this matter is greatly appreciated.

COVINGTON

Page 2

Best regards,

/s/ Kate Patchen

Kate Patchen

Enclosed: Subpoena, Attachment A, and Attachment B

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

United States District Court

for the

Northern District of California

Northern Distri	ct of Camornia	
IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION		
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: People of the State of California, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al., Case No.: 4:23-cv-05448	() () Civil Action No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR () MDL No. 3047 ()	
SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMI OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF	ENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS F PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION	
To: Arizona Department of Education 1535 W. Jefferson Street, Phoenix, AZ 85007		
(Name of person to wh	nom this subpoena is directed)	
documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and material: See Attachment A.	e at the time, date, and place set forth below the followin I to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of th	e
Place:	Date and Time: August 23, 2024, 10:00 a.m.	
☐ Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANDE other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, da may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the Place:		rty
The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are a Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of Date:07/24/2024		
CLERK OF COURT		
	OR	
	/s/ Ashley Simonsen	
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Cle	rk Attorney's signature	
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Paymen Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC,	E the attorney representing (name of party) ts, Inc. and who issues or requests this subpoena, are:	
Ashley Simonsen, Covington & Burling LLP, 1999 Avenue of the S	tars, Los Angeles, CA 90067, 424-332-4782, asimonsen@cov.o	com

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 4:23-cv-05448

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

	abpoena for (name of individual and title, if a	ny)	
date)	·		
☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows: on (date) ; o			
tendered to the v		States, or one of its officers or agents, I e, and the mileage allowed by law, in the	
\$	·		
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under p	penalty of perjury that this information i	is true.	
e:		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
 - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
 - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) *Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.* These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS TO ATTACHMENT A

Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR

DEFINITIONS

- 1. The term "communication" means the transmittal of information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise).
- 2. "Document" or "documents" shall have the broadest meaning ascribed to it by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and includes, without limitation electronically stored information ("ESI") (including, without limitation, electronic databases and the data therein, all electronic messages or communications, electronic word processing documents, electronically stored voicemail, webpages, and social media posts) in accordance with any order in this case governing ESI at the time of production. Different versions of the same documents, including, but not limited to, drafts or documents with handwritten notations or marks not found in the original or on other copies are different documents.
 - 3. "You", "you", "Your" and "your" means the Arizona Department of Education.
- 4. "Relating" or "related to" means and includes referring to, concerning, referencing, mentioning, associated with, constituting, discussing, containing, embodying, recording, reflecting, identifying, summarizing, incorporating, and/or dealing with or pertaining to in any way.
 - 5. "And" includes "or", and vice versa.
 - 6. The singular form of a word or term includes the plural, and vice versa.
 - 7. The present tense of a verb includes the past tense, and vice versa.
- 8. The use of the article "the" shall not be construed as limiting the scope of any request.
 - 9. "Including" means "including without limitation."

- 10. "Any" includes "all", and vice versa.
- 11. The capitalized version of a word or term includes the lower case version of a word or term, and vice versa.
- 12. "Social Media Platforms" or "Platforms" means a digital service that facilitates interactions between two or more distinct but interdependent sets of users, including but not limited to Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, YouTube, Twitter (or "X"), Pinterest, LinkedIn, BeReal, Lapse, Reddit, Threads, VSCO, Goodreads, Quora, Discord, Twitch, and Tumblr.
- 13. "Young Users" means users of Social Platforms who are under 18 years of age when using the Platform(s).

All words, terms, and phrases not specifically defined herein are to be given their normal and customary meaning in the context in which they are used in these Requests.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. These Requests are intended to cover any and all documents in your possession, custody, or control, to the extent not privileged. Documents held by any office, department, board, commission, or instrumentality of the Arizona Department of Education are within your possession, custody, or control.
- 2. In accordance with ESI Protocol attached hereto as Attachment B, if you object to furnishing any of the documents or information requested by these Requests on the grounds of attorney-client privilege, work product, other privilege, or otherwise then, with respect to each such document:
 - a. state the nature of the privilege claimed;
 - b. state the date of each communication supporting the privilege claim;
 - c. identify all participants in the supporting communication;
 - d. state the specific grounds on which the objection is based; and

- e. identify the specific request calling for such information;
- 2. If you object to any Request on the grounds that it is vague or ambiguous, state: (i) the portions or terms of such Request that you claim to be vague or ambiguous; and (ii) the interpretation of the Request pursuant to which you provide a response.
- 3. Each request shall be deemed continuing, so as to require supplemental or mandatory responses should you obtain additional responsive information subsequent to your initial responses.
- 4. If you cannot fully respond to a particular Request after exercising due diligence to make inquiry and to secure the necessary information, provide a response to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and providing whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the portion not responded to.
- 5. Each page of a produced document shall have a legible, unique page identifier ("Bates Number") and confidentiality legend (where applicable) on the face of the image at a location that does not obliterate, conceal, or interfere with any information from the source document. Redactions should be clearly marked or stamped on the page in such a way that it is clear from review that a portion of the image has been redacted.
- 6. All responsive and non-privileged electronic documents shall be produced in the format ordered by the Court in its ESI order.
- 7. All responsive electronic documents shall be produced in a format agreed upon by the parties, to include at least native versions of Excel spreadsheets and presentation files, with a Bates-stamped placeholder image.
- 8. Unless otherwise specified, the time period for these Requests is January 1, 2012 to the date of production of the Documents.

ATTACHMENT A

- 1. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of persons ages 13 to 18.
- 2. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Arizona Department of Education to encourage or promote the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 3. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Arizona Department of Education to address Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms, including any program, initiative, effort, or action to prevent, limit, encourage, or promote the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users.
- 4. Studies, programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Arizona Department of Education that sought to provide or promote internet access or phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices to Young Users.
- 5. Policies proposed, recommended, or enacted by the Arizona Department of Education regarding screen time and acceptable use of cell phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices by Young Users.
- 6. Complaints to the Arizona Department of Education by teachers regarding social media or cell phone use by Young Users and/or the need for acceptable use or other policies to address Young Users' use of social media or cell phones.
- 7. Complaints to the Arizona Department of Education by teachers or school districts regarding budget crises from inflation, underfunding, unfunded mandates, and other causes.
- 8. Documents related to state assessments in Arizona, including reports and analyses regarding the history of K-12 state assessment or standardized testing scores, performance by schools and/or school districts, and any other measures of school performance.
- 9. Arizona Department of Education's communications and/or collaboration with any Social Media Platform on any program, initiative or other action related to, or directed at, Young Users.
- 10. Arizona Department of Education's communications with any third party related to Young Users and/or Social Media Platforms.
- 11. Policies, procedures, and practices applicable to Arizona Department of Education and Arizona Department of Education employees regarding the use of Social Media Platforms, including any changes to these policies during the Relevant Period.

- 12. Legislation or policies proposed by, proposed on behalf of, or testified on by the Arizona Department of Education, regardless of whether such legislation or policies were enacted, regarding Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 13. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms, including the importance of Social Media Platforms and the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users and the potential benefits and harms created by the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 14. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms regarding the impact of social media on the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 15. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to:
 - a. Features of Social Media Platforms that are psychologically or physically harmful to Young Users;
 - b. Features of Social Media Platforms that promote compulsive, prolonged, or unhealthy use by Young Users;
 - c. The impact of features of Social Media Platforms, including:
 - (1) Algorithmic recommendation and sequencing;
 - (2) Image filters;
 - (3) Use of multiple user accounts;
 - (4) Infinite scroll;
 - (5) Ephemeral content features;
 - (6) Autoplay;
 - (7) Quantification and display of likes; or
 - (8) Audiovisual and haptic alerts.
- 16. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users, including those related to the effects of:
 - a. Social Media Platforms usage;
 - b. Video games usage;
 - c. Cell phone usage;
 - d. Messaging usage;
 - e. Usage of other electronics apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - f. COVID-19 and/or remote learning;
 - g. Use of drugs or alcohol;
 - h. The opioid and fentanyl epidemic;
 - i. Poverty, homelessness, lack of health insurance, and/or food insecurity;
 - j. Physical health including nutrition, exercise, weight management, sleeping habits, and sexual activity;
 - k. Family trauma or deaths;
 - 1. Academic pressure;
 - m. Violence, including school violence, domestic violence, gun violence, gun control and/or mass shootings;

- n. Bullying or verbal abuse apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
- o. Political polarization;
- p. Natural disasters;
- q. Climate change;
- r. Discrimination and inequity;
- s. Global warfare and conflict; and
- t. Any other potential causes of harms to the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 17. Youth Risk Behavior Surveys conducted by the Arizona Department of Education or on its behalf.
- 18. Public or non-public meetings held by the Arizona Department of Education related to Social Media Platforms and use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users, including notices, meeting notes, PowerPoint presentations, internal memoranda, summaries, personal notes, or attendee lists.
- 19. Mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health services provided by the Arizona Department of Education to Young Users during the Relevant Period.
- 20. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Arizona Department of Education during the Relevant Period related to Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 21. Policies, procedures, and practices related to the Arizona Department of Education's use of Social Media Platforms, including communication of information or promotion of Arizona Department of Education programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions on Social Media Platforms.
- 22. Arizona Department of Education's budget and actual expenditures during the Relevant Period, including expenditures on:
 - a. Digital advertisements by the Arizona Department of Education on Social Media Platforms
 - b. Digital advertisements by the Arizona Department of Education purchased through Social Media Platforms.

ATTACHMENT B

Cá	aseC4a 22-4a2203004737457 RYGB0dDoroemb4.013 695	Fifitield037112442214 Patgregte 7f130f436	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	IN THE UNITED STATE		
	IN RE: Social Media Adolescent	MDL No. 3047	
9	Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability Litigation	Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR	
10	This document relates to:	Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers Honorable Peter H. Kang	
11	ALL ACTIONS		
12 13		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF	
14		ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND HARD COPY	
15		DOCUMENTS	
16			
17	1. PURPOSE		
18	This Order Governing the Production of E	lectronically Stored Information and Hard Copy	
19	Documents ("ESI Order") will govern discovery of electronically stored information and any hard		
20	copy documents in this Litigation as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this		
21	District's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other		
22	applicable orders and rules. "This Litigation" includes all actions currently in MDL No. 3047, <i>In</i>		
23	Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability, or hereafter added or		
24	transferred to MDL No. 3047, and all actions later remanded to their respective transferor courts.		

2. **DEFINITIONS**

25

26

27

28

a) "Document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and shall include Hard-Copy Documents and ESI.

- b) "Electronically stored information" or "ESI," as used herein has the same meaning as in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34.
- c) "Hard-Copy Document" means Documents existing in paper form at the time of collection.
- d) "Searchable Text" means the native text extracted from an Electronic Document and any Optical Character Recognition text ("OCR text") generated from a Hard-Copy Document or electronic image.

3. COOPERATION

2.1

The Parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout this Litigation consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI and this Court's Rules of Professional Conduct. The Parties will endeavor to cooperate in good faith and be reasonably transparent in all aspects of the discovery process.

4. LIAISON

The Parties will identify Discovery Liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Any Party is free to change their designated Discovery Liaison by providing written notice to the other Parties. Each Party's Discovery Liaison must: (a) be prepared to meet and confer on discovery-related matters and to participate in discovery dispute resolution; (b) be knowledgeable about the Party's discovery efforts; (c) be, or have reasonable access to those who are, familiar with the Party's electronic systems and capabilities in order to explain those systems and answer relevant questions; and (d) be, or have reasonable access to those who are knowledgeable about the technical aspects of discovery, including electronic document storage, organization, and format issues, and relevant information retrieval technology, including search methodology.

5. IDENTIFICATION OF CUSTODIANS AND DATA SOURCES

The parties will disclose information about custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources likely to possess relevant or responsive information in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and this District's ESI Guidelines. The Parties will participate in Rule 26(f) discussions guided by this District's Checklist for Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer Regarding Electronically

Stored Information. After responses to Requests for Production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 have been served, the parties will meet and confer regarding those custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources from which Documents and ESI will be collected for search and review for potential production in this litigation. The custodian and data source exchanges will include brief explanations of the rationale for their selections; for example, for custodians, their current job titles and descriptions of their work, and for data sources, location information and description.

6. INACCESSIBLE OR UNUSABLE ESI

2.1

If a Producing Party asserts that certain requested ESI is inaccessible or not "reasonably accessible," otherwise unnecessary, or if the Receiving Party asserts that, following production, certain ESI is not reasonably useable, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss resolving such assertions. The parties will exchange sufficient information to enable the parties to confer in good faith. If the parties cannot resolve any such disputes after such a meet and confer has taken place, the issue shall be presented to the Court for resolution.

7. KNOWN RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS

Documents or ESI identified in a custodial or non-custodial file, or in a discrete folder or collection, that are known to a Producing Party through reasonable investigation to be responsive to a discovery request shall be collected for review without regard to whether the responsive content was located via any search methodology developed in accordance with this Order, and nothing about such review shall prevent the Producing Party from redacting or withholding and logging such documents for applicable privileges.

8. SEARCH METHODOLOGIES

The Parties shall adopt reasonable and proportionate methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce ESI as required under applicable legal standards. The Parties recognize and agree that each Party may use one or more methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-privileged ESI, including the use of keyword search terms and/or the use of technology assisted review ("TAR") as discussed further herein. The Parties further recognize that different data sets may implicate different methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-

privileged ESI. The Parties therefore agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding any potential disputes over their respective ESI productions.

9. HIT REPORTS AND SEARCH TERMS

ESI, the Producing Party shall disclose the search terms to the Requesting Party. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over the disclosed search terms. In the event that a Producing Party claims burden with respect to modified and/or additional search terms proposed by a Requesting Party, the Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the terms at issue using industry-standard processing tools, such as NUIX or other similar tools. The Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the document collection where the terms were applied, including the following with respect to each proposed or modified search term in the collection:

- a) The number of documents with hits for that term; and
- b) The number of unique documents, i.e., documents which do not have hits for any other term.

If, after reviewing a hit report from a Producing Party, a Requesting Party so chooses, it may reasonably request a further hit report which includes:

- c) The number of family members, including the documents with hits, of the documents with hits for that term; and
- d) The number of unique family members of the documents with hits for that term.

If the ESI tool for the Producing Party is capable without undue burden of providing the number of family members and unique family members, then the Producing Party shall provide such further hit report. The Parties (including the person most knowledgeable about the capabilities of the Producing Party's ESI tool and the Requesting Party's person most knowledgeable about technical issues from its ESI service provider) shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over whether the Producing Party's ESI tool has the capability or not to provider either number of family members or number of unique family members.

The Parties shall meet and confer to resolve disagreements over the search terms or their application. To the extent the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the application of, or

procedures for, any search or filtering processes, the Parties shall fully comply with the provisions of this Court's Discovery Standing Order regarding the procedure for raising discovery disputes with the Court, including the meet and confer and certification requirements therein.

10. TECHNOLOGY ASSISTED REVIEW (TAR)

A Producing Party may use TAR (technology-assisted review) during the culling and review process of ESI, which may be applied in addition to search terms. If a Producing Party uses TAR to cull, filter out, or exclude documents from that Party's production, that Producing Party shall do the following:

- If a Producing Party chooses to apply both search terms and TAR to a review set, the Producing Party will disclose the search terms to all other Party/Parties.
- Disclose the name of the TAR tool or service used to all other Party/Parties.

11. VALIDATION

Each Producing Party shall take reasonable steps to validate its review process (i.e., using quality control measures to determine whether its production is missing relevant ESI or contains substantial amounts of irrelevant ESI) and make any necessary adjustments or corrections to its process. If, after reviewing a Producing Party's production, a Requesting Party reasonably requests additional information regarding the validation method(s) used by the Producing Party, the Producing Party will disclose the level of end-to-end recall (the percentage of responsive Documents in the collection which were identified as responsive by that Producing Party's methodology). If there remain disputes between the Parties regarding validation, the Parties shall meet and confer to resolve such disputes in good faith, including a reasonable discussion regarding the tool used and the parameters used to obtain or calculate the level of recall.

12. UNSEARCHABLE DOCUMENTS

The Producing Party shall use reasonable efforts to identify and review potentially responsive documents for which text-based search technologies are fundamentally ineffective.

13. SYSTEM FILES

Each Party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application executable files using the national software reference library ("NSRL") NIST hash set list. The

Parties also may filter out stand-alone files identified as zero bytes in size. Additional culling of file types based on file header information may be applied to the following, provided these files are not known to be otherwise attached, embedded in, or included with an otherwise responsive document, or are not themselves reasonably known to contain information responsive or contain responsive data or are used to interface with users or interact with or access individual or aggregated user data: Application Package File, Backup Files, Batch Files, Binary Disc Image, C++ File Formats, Cascading Style Sheet, Configuration File, Database File, Dictionary Files, Dynamic Link Library, Event Log Files, Executable Files, Hypertext Cascading Stylesheet, Java Archive Files, JavaScript Files, JavaScript Source Code and Class Files, Macintosh Resource Fork Files, Package Manager Files, Program Files, Program Installers, Python Script Files, Shell Script Files, System or Temporary Files, Thumbnail Cache Files, Troff Files, Truetype Font Files, Windows Cabinet File, Windows Command Files, Windows File Shortcut, Windows Help Files, Windows Metafiles and Enhanced Metafiles, Windows Spool Files, Windows System File.

14. **DEDUPLICATION**

2.1

Each Producing Party shall make reasonable efforts to globally deduplicate exact duplicate Documents within that Producing Party's ESI data set across all custodial and non-custodial sources at the family level using either MD5 hash values or SHA hash values or any other agreed-upon (and disclosed) industry-standard deduplication technology. The Parties shall reach agreement on such other deduplication technology and shall reach agreement on how their deduplication tools shall identify exact duplicates of documents in a manner that is consistent with the disclosed tools and technologies a Producing Party is using. The Parties shall reach agreement on how to identify exact duplicates of emails using industry-standard commercially available software tools or services, which may for example calculate hash values of emails based on concatenated values of agreed-upon email fields and/or hash values of attachments, or which may use any other method the Parties agree upon. Having further met and conferred in keeping with the previous sentence, the Parties shall calculate deduplication hash values for emails on the concatenated values set forth in and in accordance with the documentation specifications of the disclosed deduplication tools to be used in this Litigation (i.e., RelativityOne, Relativity Server,

and Nuix); however, if Nuix is used, the Producing Party shall select the optional field "Include Bcc" as an additional field to add to the default ones.

The Parties shall not withhold from production near-duplicates without meeting and conferring on this issue.

The names of all custodians who were either identified as custodians for purposes of collection for this matter (or otherwise known by the Producing Party to have been in possession or custody of a document prior to deduplication) will be populated in the ALL CUSTODIANS metadata field for the produced version of a document that has duplicates removed from production. The original file paths (if any exist) of a document prior to deduplication will be populated in the ALL FILE PATHS metadata field of the produced document.

15. EMAIL THREADING

2.1

The Parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and shall produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members. Where multiple email messages are part of a single chain or "thread," a Party is only required to produce the most inclusive copy of an email message(s) ("Last In Time Email(s)") and need not produce earlier, lesser inclusive email messages or "thread members," provided that the tool or software service being used to perform threading is disclosed. A Producing Party may exclude from production lesser inclusive copies of the most inclusive email message in the thread, where the entire body of each of those lesser inclusive copies is included within the Last in Time Email. The Producing Party will honor reasonable requests to produce lesser inclusive copies of a Last In Time Email or other earlier chains of emails otherwise excluded by email thread suppression.

The Parties shall treat new or different email chains or threads pursuant to further agreement on safeguards or guidelines for defining emails threads which reasonably take into account the capabilities of the email threading/dethreading/hyperthreading tools used by each Defendant (which shall be disclosed to Plaintiffs).

16. SOURCE CODE

The Parties will meet and confer to address the production and/or inspection of source code and entering into a separate order governing the same if needed.

17. PRODUCTION FORMATS

2.1

The Parties agree to produce documents and data in the formats described in **Appendix 1** to this ESI Order. If particular documents or categories of documents identified in response to document requests warrant a different format, the Parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The Parties further agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

In addition, the Parties agree that the production and production format of social media and/or user account information is not covered by this agreement and to meet and confer on the production and production format, including metadata, for social media and/or user account information.

18. PHASING

Once the Parties begin propounding discovery requests pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the Parties agree to meet and confer regarding appropriate phasing for the production of ESI.

19. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- a) Production of Plaintiffs ESI and Case-Specific Materials. Subject to any further agreement among the Parties or Order of the Court, the Parties shall produce case-specific documents (i.e., documents specific to the claim of a given Plaintiff, produced by Plaintiffs or Defendants) for any Plaintiff in discovery pools or other selections designed to inform bellwether selection, including those selected for a bellwether trial, in accordance with the production format specified herein, provided, however, that the Producing Party may elect to produce such materials in their native format. To the extent production of case-specific documents for any Plaintiff selected for a bellwether trial presents an issue for any Party, the Parties shall reasonably confer, and may present any disputes to the Court or its designee. The Parties shall further agree to confer concerning the production format and associated matters (e.g., hosting platform) for case-specific documents produced in the cases of other Plaintiffs.

 Nothing herein shall limit Defendant's right to seek discovery from any Plaintiff.
- b) Translations Of Produced Materials. The Producing Party has no obligation to

create a translation of the Documents or any portion thereof. For any foreign-language documents responsive to document requests that a Party reasonably knows as the result of a reasonable investigation have been translated into the English language using human translators or through machine translation for its own purposes, except to the extent such translation is protected by attorney-client or work-product privileges, the Producing Party shall produce the translation of the original document with the original. The parties will meet and confer as necessary concerning procedures for using translations at depositions and at trial. In the event the Parties cannot reach agreement, the matter may be submitted to the Court for determination.

- c) Third-Party Documents. A Party that issues a Non-Party subpoena ("Issuing Party") shall include a copy of this Order with the subpoena and state that (1) the subpoenaed Non-Party should produce Documents in response to the subpoena to all Parties; and (2) the Parties to this Litigation have requested that Third Parties produce Documents in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. If the subpoenaed Non-Party produces Documents to the Issuing Party but does not produce those Documents to other Parties, the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to those other Parties within 14 days of receiving the Documents, except where the Documents are to be used in a deposition, in which case the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to all other Parties no later than three (3) days prior to the deposition, or as soon as reasonably practicable if such production occurs thereafter. Nothing in this Order is intended or may be interpreted to narrow, expand, or otherwise affect the rights of the Parties or Third Parties to object to a subpoena. If the Non-Party production is not Bates-stamped, the Parties will meet and confer to agree upon a format for designating the documents with a unique Bates Number prefix.
- d) <u>Documents Produced by Parties Presumption of Authenticity</u>. In order to reduce the number of requests for admission, this Order establishes a rebuttable presumption that documents produced by the Parties are authentic, if said documents were either created or authored by the producing Party, or any of its employees, agents, or

contractors, so long as the employees', agents', or contractors' work was performed in connection with a project or assignment sponsored by the producing Party. No further evidence to establish authenticity need be provided. Nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to waive any other evidentiary objection a party may have, including but not limited to hearsay, foundation/personal knowledge, or relevance.

- e) **Re-productions.** Notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary, re-production of discrete sets of documents from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter may be re-produced in the same manner and form as originally produced in the other matter, provided however that a party will re-produce documents in a different format for good cause shown. This provision does not waive the right of a party to object to any requests for reproduction of production files from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter.
- f) <u>Modification</u>. This ESI Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown.
- g) Good Faith. The Parties will act in good faith as required by law and use these procedures to identify and reduce the potential for disputes.
- h) <u>Continuing Obligations</u>. The Parties recognize that discovery shall be an iterative and cooperative process. The Parties will continue to meet and confer regarding any issues as reasonably necessary and appropriate. This Order does not address or resolve any objections to the Parties' respective discovery requests.
- i) <u>Reservation of Rights</u>. The Parties agree that any topic not addressed herein is neither a waiver nor acknowledgement of agreement by either Party.
- IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

1	DATED: March 15, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		<u>/s/ Lexi J. Hazam</u> LEXI J. HAZAM
3		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
4		BERNSTEIN, LLP
5		275 BATTERY STREET, 29th FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339
3		Telephone: 415-956-1000
6		lhazam@lchb.com
7		
8		PREVIN WARREN MOTLEY RICE LLC
9		401 9th Street NW Suite 630
		Washington DC 20004
10		T: 202-386-9610
11		pwarren@motleyrice.com
12		Co-Lead Counsel
13		CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
		SEEGER WEISS, LLP
14		55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6TH FLOOR RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660
15		Telephone: 973-639-9100
		Facsimile: 973-679-8656
16		cseeger@seegerweiss.com
17		Commenter Co. Lord Comment
18		Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel
19		JENNIE LEE ANDERSON
19		ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
20		155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
21		Telephone: 415-986-1400
22		jennie@andrusanderson.com
23		Liaison Counsel
		MATTHEW BERGMAN
24		GLENN DRAPER
25		SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER 821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100
26		SEATTLE, WA 98104
27		Telephone: 206-741-4862 matt@socialmediavictims.org
28		glenn@socialmediavictims.org

Case 4s224n22-0304078047R/GRO Do Domente 10 3595 File 1e 0 3/1/2/4/4 4 Patra 07 30 436

Ce	13 C A 322 AI MA - NAMA A STATE OF AN CIDO COMO COM MICHINA AND IT IN CIDE OF AN MARKET PROGRAM OF AN A SO
1	Attorneys for Defendant Snap Inc.
2	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
3	Professional Corporation
4	By: /s/ Brian M. Willen Brian M. Willen (pro hac vice)
	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
5	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor New York, New York 10019
6	Telephone: (212) 999-5800
7	Facsimile: (212) 999-5899 Email: bwillen@wsgr.com
8	Eman. bwmen@wsgr.com
9	Lauren Gallo White Samantha A. Machock
	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
10	One Market Plaza, Spear Tower, Suite 3300
11	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 947-2000
12	Facsimile: (415) 947-2099
13	Email: lwhite@wsgr.com Email: smachock@wsgr.com
14	G G
	Christopher Chiou WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
15	953 East Third Street, Suite 100
16	Los Angeles, CA 90013
17	Telephone: (323) 210-2900 Facsimile: (866) 974-7329
18	Email: cchiou@wsgr.com
19	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC, Google
20	LLC, and Alphabet Inc.
	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
21	By: <u>/s/ Joseph G. Petrosinelli</u>
22	Joseph G. Petrosinelli (<i>pro hac vice</i>) jpetrosinelli@wc.com
23	Ashley W. Hardin (pro hac vice)
24	ahardin@wc.com 680 Maine Avenue, SW
	Washington, DC 20024
25	Telephone.: 202-434-5000 Fax: 202-434-5029
26	1 ax. 202-434-3027
27	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC, Google
28	LLC, and Alphabet Inc.
	ı

1		MODGAN LEWIS & DOCKHIS LLD
2		MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP By: <u>/s/ Stephanie Schuster</u>
3		Stephanie Schuster (pro hac vice) stephanie.schuster@morganlewis.com
4		1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW NW Washington, DC 20004-2541
5		Tel.: 202.373.6595
6		Yardena R. Zwang-Weissman (SBN 247111)
7		yardena.zwang-weissman@morganlewis.com 300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor
		Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
8		Tel.: 213.612.7238
9		Brian Ercole (<i>pro hac vice</i>) brian.ercole@morganlewis.com
10		600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600
11		Miami, FL 33131-3075 Tel.: 305.415.3416
12		Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and
13		Google LLC
		Google LLC
14		
14 15	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing	
	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing	
15	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Dated: March 18, 2024	
15 16	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Dated: March 18, 2024	g Agreement is approved.
15 16 17	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Dated: March 18, 2024	g Agreement is approved.
15 16 17 18	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Dated: March 18, 2024	g Agreement is approved.
15 16 17 18 19	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Dated: March 18, 2024	g Agreement is approved.
15 16 17 18 19 20	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Dated: March 18, 2024	g Agreement is approved.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Dated: March 18, 2024	g Agreement is approved.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Dated: March 18, 2024	g Agreement is approved.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Dated: March 18, 2024	g Agreement is approved.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Dated: March 18, 2024	g Agreement is approved.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Dated: March 18, 2024	g Agreement is approved.

Cas @ 45224n202-003040780407R/GRDo Doronemte11035945 Filfelte 0/38/71/28/42/4 Pagrade 849 350 436

2.1

APPENDIX 1: PRODUCTION FORMAT

- 1) **Production Components.** Except as otherwise provided below, ESI must be produced in accordance with the following specifications:
 - a) an ASCII delimited data file (.DAT) using standard delimiters;
 - b) an image load file (.OPT) that can be loaded into commercially acceptable production software (e.g. Concordance);
 - c) single page black-and-white TIFF images, or JPEG images when color is specified herein, or native files with single page placeholder TIFF images depending on the applicable production format for each type of file;
 - d) and document level .TXT files for all documents containing extracted full text or OCR text.
 - e) Family relationships between emails and their attachments, embedded files and their source document, and connected hardcopy documents will be maintained in production. Attachments should be consecutively produced with their parent.
 - f) If a particular document warrants a different production format, the Parties will cooperate in good faith to arrange for a mutually acceptable production format.
- 2) Production Media and Access Controls. Productions must be encrypted and produced through secure electronic means, such as secure file sharing methods (e.g. FTP), or on CD, DVD, flash drive or external hard drive ("Production Media"). Each piece of Production Media must identify a production number corresponding to the production volume (e.g. "VOL001"). Each piece of Production Media must also identify: (a) the case caption; (b) the following label: "This media contains material subject to Court Ordered security measures"; (c) the Producing Party's name; (d) the production date; (e) the Bates Number range of the materials contained on the Production Media.

Nothing in this ESI Order will preclude or impair any and all protections provided the Parties by any Protective Order(s) agreed and entered into by the Parties. Parties will use best efforts to avoid the unnecessary copying or transmittal of produced documents. If questions arise, the Parties will meet and confer to ensure security concerns are addressed prior to the exchange of

any documents.

- aproduction sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents in the image load file should match the image load file must match the corresponding of the production. The total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of TIFF files in the production. All images must be assigned a unique Bates number that is sequential within a given document and across the production sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents' beginning Bates numbers in the data load file. The total number of documents in a production should match the total number of records in the data load file. Load files must not vary in format or structure within a production, or from one production to another except by agreement of the Parties.
- 4) Metadata Fields. Each of the metadata and coding fields set forth below that can be extracted should be produced for each document, except that if the field contains privileged information, that privileged information may be redacted. However, to the extent that metadata does not exist, is not available for any documents produced, this provision shall not be read to require any Party to extract, capture, collect, manually populate, or produce such metadata, with the exception of the following: (a) BEGBATES, (b) ENDBATES, (c) BEGATTACH, (d) ENDATTACH, (e) PRODVOL, (f) ALL CUSTODIANS, (g) CONFIDENTIALITY, (h) REDACTIONS, (i) REDACTION TYPE, (j) HASHVALUE, (k) NATIVEFILEPATH, and (l) TEXTFILEPATH, which should be populated by the Party or the Party's vendor. The Parties will make reasonable efforts to ensure that metadata fields automatically extracted from the documents correspond directly to the information that exists in the original documents.

Field Name	Field Description
BEGBATES	Beginning Bates number for a particular document as stamped on the first production image for that document
ENDBATES	Ending Bates number as stamped on the last

Field Name	Field Description
	production image for a particular document
BEGATTACH	First production Bates number of the first document in a family
ENDATTACH	Last production Bates number of the last document in a family
ATTACHCOUNT	Number of attachments to an e-mail or embedded parent, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
ATTACHNAMES	Names of each individual Attachment, separated by semicolons.
PRODVOL	Production volume
ALLCUSTODIANS	List of all custodians associated with Document, i.e. "Custodian" + "Other Custodian" values delimited by semicolon.
ALLFILEPATHS	All original path(s) to the individual source file(s) when available in the ordinary course of business to and processable by the parties and their ESI vendors of choice. Includes paup to and including internal path of containers.
CONFIDENTIALITY	Confidentiality designation assigned to the document consistent with the Stipulated Protective Order governing this Litigation
NATIVEFILEPATH	Native File Link (Native Files only)
TEXTFILEPATH	Path to extracted text/OCR file for the document
HASHVALUE	MD5 hash value of the document
DOCUMENT TYPE	Descriptor for the type of document: "E-document" for electronic documents not attached to e-mails; "E-mail" for all e-mails: "E-attachment" for files that were attachment to e-mails; and "Physical" for hard copy physical documents that have been scanned and converted to an electronic image.
AUTHOR	Any value populated in the Author field of t

Field Name	Field Description
	document properties
DOCDATE	Date the document was created according to filesystem information (format: MM/DD/YYYY)
DATELASTMODIFIED	Date when document was last modified according to filesystem information (forma MM/DD/YYYY)
LAST MODIFIED BY	Last person who modified (saved) a document, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
TRACK CHANGES	Y if a document with track changes value, otherwise N or empty, if available separate from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
COMMENTS	Y if a document with comments, otherwise or empty, if available separately from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
HASHIDDENDATA	Y if a document with hidden content value otherwise N or empty
FILENAME	Filename of an electronic document
TITLE	Any value populated in the Title field of th document properties
DOCEXT	File extension of document pulled from the document properties
FROM	The sender of the email
ТО	All recipients that were included on the "T line of the email
CC	All recipients that were included on the "C line of the email
BCC	All recipients that were included on the "BCC" line of the email
DATETIMERECEIVED	Date and time email was received (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)
DATETIMESENT	Date and time email was sent (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

Field Name	Field Description
TIMEZONE	The timezone used to process the document
EMAILSUBJECT	Subject line of email pulled from the document properties
THREADID	If a threading tool is used for emails, the thread id generated by the threading tool.
REDACTION TYPE ¹	General category of redaction reason as agreed to by the parties. For example, PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV. If more than one, separate reasons by semicolons.
REDACTIONS	Y if a document is redacted, otherwise N or empty

5) TIFFs. Unless excepted below, single page, black and white, Group IV TIFFs should be provided, at least 300 dots per inch (dpi) for all documents. Each TIFF image must be named according to a unique corresponding Bates number associated with the document. Each image must be branded according to the Bates number and the agreed upon confidentiality designation. Original document orientation should be maintained (i.e., portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape). Where the TIFF image is unreadable or has materially degraded the quality of the original, the Producing Party shall provide a higher quality TIFF image or the native or original file. In addition, the Parties shall take reasonable efforts to process word processing documents (e.g., MS Word) with track changes and/or comments unhidden on the TIFF image.

6) Color. Word processing documents containing tracked changes shall be produced in color, as single-page, 300 dpi JPG images with JPG compression and a high quality setting as to not degrade the original image. The Producing Party shall comply with good faith by the Requesting Party to provide replacement color images for documents originally produced in black and white. A Party making such a request shall make the request by individual Bates

27

28

²⁶

¹ The Parties will provide specific information on any privilege(s) asserted in their privilege logs, in an overlay, or the redaction boxes. The "Redaction Reason" field is informational only for redaction type and shall not in any way limit a party's right to assert attorney-client privilege, attorney-work product privilege, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

27

28

number(s) and shall limit requests made pursuant to this paragraph to a reasonable number of documents.

- **7**) **Text Files.** A single multi-page text file must be provided for each document, and the filename should match its respective TIFF filename for the first page of that document. Extracted text shall be generated with commercially acceptable technology set to include all comments, revisions, tracked changes, speaker's notes and text from documents with comments or tracked changes, and hidden and very hidden worksheets, slides, columns and rows. When possible, the text of native files should be extracted directly from the native file. Parties will perform optical character recognition ("OCR") on foreign language documents using the appropriate settings for languages reasonably anticipated to be in the production following a meet and confer with the Requesting Party to identify those languages. Text files will not contain the redacted portions of the documents. A commercially acceptable technology for OCR should be used for all scanned, hard copy documents and for documents with redactions other than Excel files and other spreadsheets which shall be redacted in native format. Text extracted from emails should include the following header information where available: (1) the individuals to whom the communication was directed ("To"), (2) the author of the email communication ("From"), (3) who was copied and blind copied on such email ("CC" and "BCC"), (4) the subject line of the email ("RE" or "Subject"), and (5) the date and time of the email. To the extent the text extraction technology the Parties are using can be configured to include the text of any URLs or links, the Parties shall utilize that setting.
- Native files. Spreadsheets (*e.g.* MS Excel), and un-redacted presentations (e.g. Microsoft PowerPoint,) will be produced in native format to the extent that they are produced in this Litigation, audio, video, and multi-media files will be produced in native format. The Parties will meet and confer on the production of other file types, such as proprietary files, etc. Native files will be produced with a link in the NATIVEFILEPATH field, along with extracted text (where extracted text is available) and applicable metadata fields set forth in paragraph 4 above. A Bates numbered TIFF placeholder indicating that the document was provided in native format must accompany every native file. Where redaction makes production of native-format

files other than spreadsheets or presentations infeasible, the Parties will confer to determine a reasonably usable form for the production, but spreadsheets shall presumptively be redacted in native, and presentations presumptively redacted in image form, in these cases without the need for further conferring.

- hardcopy will be scanned to *.tiff image format and produced in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. Hard copy documents that are not text-searchable shall be made searchable by OCR prior to production. In scanning paper documents, distinct documents should not be merged into a single record, and single documents should not be split into multiple records (i.e., paper documents should be logically unitized). In the case of an organized compilation of separate documents (for example, a binder containing several separate documents behind numbered tabs), the document behind each tab should be scanned separately, but the relationship among the documents in the compilation should be reflected in the proper coding of the beginning and ending document and attachment fields. The Parties will make their best efforts to unitize the documents correctly. If relevant documents are maintained in a file, folder, envelope, binder, notebook or similar container used to store documents, all contents therein shall be reviewed for production and privilege. Document pages which have affixed notes, such as Post-it notes, should be imaged with and without the note attached.
- **10)** Confidentiality Designation. All images will be stamped with the appropriate confidentiality designations in accordance with the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this Litigation. Each document produced in native format will have its confidentiality designation identified in the filename of the native file and indicated on its corresponding TIFF placeholder.
- 11) Bates Numbering. Bates numbering should be a consistent length across the production, contain no special characters, and be numerically sequential within a given document. If a Bates number or set of Bates numbers is skipped, the skipped number or set of numbers should be noted with a placeholder. Attachments to documents will be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents to which they were attached.

In addition, wherever possible, each image will have its assigned Bates number electronically "burned" onto the image.

- information is stored in a database, or database management system, or proprietary system or application which has is directed to data storage as one of its primary functions, the Producing Party will identify the database and platform to the Requesting Party. The Producing Party shall produce exports and reports about such responsive information stored in such database, where such exports and reports shall be in a reasonably usable form, and information may be produced in CSV format, tab-delimited text format, Microsoft Excel format, or Microsoft Access format. If there are future disputes over the production of information from a database, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to reach any further agreements (if needed) on the data to be produced and the format and scope of the production. The Producing Party will provide reasonable amounts of information about the databases to facilitate that discussion.
- inside a responsive document (including hyperlinked inside emails) within a Producing Party's custody, possession, or control, do not need to be produced in the first instance as part of the same family group as the Document residing at the location to which that hyperlink points. If there are particular hyperlinks identified by the Requesting Party in produced documents, the Requesting Party may submit a list of hyperlinks to a particular Producing Party for potentially relevant documents by identifying the Bates number and URL or link text for each requested link to the Producing Party, and the Producing Party will engage in reasonable efforts to locate the hyperlinked document at that location and either identify it by Bates number or provide any responsive, non-produced, and non-privileged documents. The number of hyperlinks a Requesting Party may identify to a Producing Party shall not be excessive and shall be reasonable, proportional to the needs of the case, and not unduly burdensome.
- **14) Embedded Objects.** The Parties agree non-substantive embedded objects, including, but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons, and footers, may be culled from a document set (but not a document) and need not be produced as separate documents by a

Producing Party (i.e., such embedded objects will be produced within the document itself, rather than as separate documents). Embedded files, except for images (including but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons), are to be produced as family groups. Embedded files should be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents within which they are embedded.

- **15) Production of Family Groups and Relationships**. If any member of a family group is produced, all members of that group must also be produced or else logged as privileged, and no such member shall be withheld from production as a duplicate.
- **16) Dynamic Fields**. Documents with dynamic fields for file names, dates, and times will be imaged to show the field code (e.g., "[FILENAME]") where possible, rather than the values for such fields existing at the time the file is processed.
- 17) Time Zone. The time zone used to process a document, including its metadata, shall be disclosed in the TIMEZONE metadata field consistent with Appendix 1, and shall be standardized for each Defendant across productions.

18) Redactions.

- a) A Producing Party may redact (i) information subject to the attorney client privilege or the work product protection (PRIV); (ii) information that cannot be disclosed pursuant to the Stored Communications Act (SCA); (iii) source code subject to separate agreement applicable to production of source code (CODE); (iv) personal identifying information (PII) including phone numbers, personal addresses, personal email addresses, the month and day of birth, driver's license numbers, and other PII agreed to by the Parties (for example, the Parties shall complete their meet and confer on whether or not to redact users' ages and years of birth). In any event, there shall be no redaction of illnesses, injuries, and medical diagnoses. To the extent a document or pleading contains PII, the Parties shall designate such documents at the appropriate Confidentiality level under the Protective Order and shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 with regard to filings with the Court.
- b) No redactions for relevance may be made within a produced document or ESI item. If, during the course of discovery, the Parties identify other kinds of information that any STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF ESI & HARD COPY DOCUMENTS 9 3:22-MD-03047-YGR; MDL NO. 3047

Party has a reasonable basis for redacting, the Parties will meet and confer on a case-by-case basis regarding that information before such redactions are made. If the Parties cannot agree, they may seek resolution from the Court.

- c) The Producing Party will indicate, on the face of the redaction, the asserted reason(s) for the redaction (PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV) and the REDACTION TYPE metadata field shall indicate that the document contains redactions and the reason(s) for the redaction.
- d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, this provision shall not be read to prohibit redactions permitted under any applicable U.S. law or Protective Order.
- e) Where a responsive document contains both redacted and non-redacted content, the Parties shall produce the non-redacted portions of the document and the OCR text corresponding to the non-redacted portions.
- f) Native Redactions. Spreadsheet files requiring redaction, including without limitation Microsoft Excel files, shall be redacted and produced natively (unless the Parties agree to production in some other format). In addition, a Producing Party may natively redact other files that cannot be properly imaged for redaction.
- g) All images of redacted files shall be processed to show all comments, revision marks, speaker notes, marks made in track changes, or other user-entered data which are visible in a normal view of the document in its native application, unless such material is redacted and marked as redacted in accordance with this section. Where possible, any occurrences of date/time auto-field items, including in headers and footers, will be removed and replaced with the term AUTODATE to prevent the current date from being printed. Email header information (e.g., date and/or subject line) shall not be redacted unless it is independently privileged. The Parties shall honor reasonable requests for the production of particular redacted documents in other formats where the image is not reasonably usable.
- h) Color. Redacted versions of documents that would have been produced in color in their un-redacted form shall be produced in color as detailed herein.

) **Exception Files**. The Parties will use reasonable efforts to address processing exceptions.

data that can reasonably be extracted and produced in the formats described herein is identified on a mobile or handheld device, that data shall be produced in accordance with the generic provisions of this protocol. To the extent that responsive data identified on a mobile or handheld device is not susceptible to normal production protocols, the Parties will meet and confer to address the identification, production, and production format of any responsive documents and data contained on any mobile or handheld device.

COVINGTON

BEIJING BOSTON BRUSSELS DUBAI FRANKFURT JOHANNESBURG LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK PALO ALTO SAN FRANCISCO SEOUL SHANGHAI WASHINGTON Covington & Burling LLP Salesforce Tower 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533 T +1 415 591 6000

July 24, 2024

DELIVERED VIA PROCESS SERVER

Arizona Department of Health Services 150 N. 18th Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re: People of the State of California v. Meta Platforms, Inc., 4:23-cv-05448

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find a subpoena being served upon you in connection with the above-captioned litigation currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. I represent Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc. and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, the "Meta Defendants") in this matter.

The document subpoena requests the production of specific documents identified in the attached subpoena (see Attachment A to the subpoena), which are requested to be produced by 10:00 a.m. on August 23, 2024. We are willing to work with you on the time, date, location, method and manner of document production, as well as answer any questions or concerns you may have regarding subpoena compliance. It is sufficient compliance with this subpoena if you electronically transmit a copy of the requested documents to the undersigned. As such, please contact me at kpatchen@cov.com and 415-591-6031, to discuss document production and subpoena compliance, unless you are already represented by counsel in connection with this matter.

The Court is currently considering whether the Arizona Attorney General's Office has control over Arizona Department of Health Services' information for the purposes of discovery in this action. If the Court rules that the Arizona Attorney General's Office has control over the Arizona Department of Health Services' information, the Arizona Attorney General has pending discovery requests that seek this agency's documents. By serving this subpoena, Meta does not waive its position in the state agency dispute, nor does Meta in any way waive rights to compel the Arizona Attorney General to produce Arizona Department of Health Services information as part of discovery in this action. However, to avoid further delay in the production of information from the Arizona Department of Health Services, Meta is serving the attached subpoena to request the timely production of this information.

Your anticipated time and assistance with this matter is greatly appreciated.

COVINGTON

Page 2

Best regards,

/s/ Kate Patchen

Kate Patchen

Enclosed: Subpoena, Attachment A, and Attachment B

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

United States District Court

for the

Northern District	of California	
IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL		
INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION)		
)		
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	Civil Action No.	4:22-MD-03047-YGR
People of the State of California, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc.	ervir rection rvo.	MDL No. 3047
et al., Case No.: 4:23-cv-05448		
,		
SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMEN OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF P		
To. Arizona Department of Health Services		
To: Arizona Department of Health Services 150 N. 18th Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85007		
	n this subpoena is directed)	
Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce a documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to material: See Attachment A.	permit inspection, co	pying, testing, or sampling of the
Place:	Date and Time:	
	August 23, 202	4 10·00 a m
	August 25, 202	4, 10.00 a.m.
Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANDED other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the police:	, and location set forth	below, so that the requesting party
The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are atta Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not Date:	subpoena; and Rule 45	
CLERK OF COURT		
	OR	
		/s/ Ashley Simonsen
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments,	ne attorney representing	g (name of party)
Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC, Meta Payments,	who issues	or requests this subpoena, are:
Ashley Simonsen, Covington & Burling LLP, 1999 Avenue of the Stars		

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 4:23-cv-05448

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

date)	·				
☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows:					
		on (date) ;	or		
☐ I returned the s	subpoena unexecuted because:				
		States, or one of its officers or agents, I e, and the mileage allowed by law, in the			
\$	·				
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
* 1 1 1					
i deciare under pe	enalty of perjury that this information	is true.			
·					
		Server's signature			
		Printed name and title			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action(Page 3)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
 - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
 - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) *Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.* These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (**D**) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS TO ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

- 1. The term "communication" means the transmittal of information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise).
- 2. "Document" or "documents" shall have the broadest meaning ascribed to it by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and includes, without limitation electronically stored information ("ESI") (including, without limitation, electronic databases and the data therein, all electronic messages or communications, electronic word processing documents, electronically stored voicemail, webpages, and social media posts) in accordance with any order in this case governing ESI at the time of production. Different versions of the same documents, including, but not limited to, drafts or documents with handwritten notations or marks not found in the original or on other copies are different documents.
- 3. "You", "you", "Your" and "your" means the Arizona Department of Health Services.
- 4. "Relating" or "related to" means and includes referring to, concerning, referencing, mentioning, associated with, constituting, discussing, containing, embodying, recording, reflecting, identifying, summarizing, incorporating, and/or dealing with or pertaining to in any way.
 - 5. "And" includes "or", and vice versa.
 - 6. The singular form of a word or term includes the plural, and vice versa.
 - 7. The present tense of a verb includes the past tense, and vice versa.
- 8. The use of the article "the" shall not be construed as limiting the scope of any request.

- 9. "Including" means "including without limitation."
- 10. "Any" includes "all", and vice versa.
- 11. The capitalized version of a word or term includes the lower case version of a word or term, and vice versa.
- 12. "Social Media Platforms" or "Platforms" means a digital service that facilitates interactions between two or more distinct but interdependent sets of users, including but not limited to Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, YouTube, Twitter (or "X"), Pinterest, LinkedIn, BeReal, Lapse, Reddit, Threads, VSCO, Goodreads, Quora, Discord, Twitch, and Tumblr.
- 13. "Young Users" means users of Social Platforms who are under 18 years of age when using the Platform(s).

All words, terms, and phrases not specifically defined herein are to be given their normal and customary meaning in the context in which they are used in these Requests.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. These Requests are intended to cover any and all documents in your possession, custody, or control, to the extent not privileged. Documents held by any office, department, board, commission, or instrumentality of the Arizona Department of Health Services are within your possession, custody, or control.
- 2. In accordance with ESI Protocol attached hereto as Attachment B, if you object to furnishing any of the documents or information requested by these Requests on the grounds of attorney-client privilege, work product, other privilege, or otherwise then, with respect to each such document:
 - a. state the nature of the privilege claimed;
 - b. state the date of each communication supporting the privilege claim;

- c. identify all participants in the supporting communication;
- d. state the specific grounds on which the objection is based; and
- e. identify the specific request calling for such information;
- 2. If you object to any Request on the grounds that it is vague or ambiguous, state: (i) the portions or terms of such Request that you claim to be vague or ambiguous; and (ii) the interpretation of the Request pursuant to which you provide a response.
- 3. Each request shall be deemed continuing, so as to require supplemental or mandatory responses should you obtain additional responsive information subsequent to your initial responses.
- 4. If you cannot fully respond to a particular Request after exercising due diligence to make inquiry and to secure the necessary information, provide a response to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and providing whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the portion not responded to.
- Each page of a produced document shall have a legible, unique page identifier 5. ("Bates Number") and confidentiality legend (where applicable) on the face of the image at a location that does not obliterate, conceal, or interfere with any information from the source document. Redactions should be clearly marked or stamped on the page in such a way that it is clear from review that a portion of the image has been redacted.
- 6. All responsive and non-privileged electronic documents shall be produced in the format ordered by the Court in its ESI order.
- 7. All responsive electronic documents shall be produced in a format agreed upon by the parties, to include at least native versions of Excel spreadsheets and presentation files, with a Bates-stamped placeholder image.
- 8. Unless otherwise specified, the time period for these Requests is January 1, 2012 to the date of production of the Documents.

ATTACHMENT A

- 1. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of persons ages 13 to 18.
- 2. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms, including the importance of Social Media Platforms and the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users and the potential benefits and harms created by the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 3. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users, including those related to the effects of:
 - a. Social Media Platforms usage;
 - b. Video games usage;
 - c. Cell phone usage;
 - d. Messaging usage;
 - e. Usage of other electronics apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - f. COVID-19 and/or remote learning;
 - g. Use of drugs or alcohol;
 - h. The opioid and fentanyl epidemic;
 - i. Poverty, homelessness, lack of health insurance, and/or food insecurity;
 - j. Physical health including nutrition, exercise, weight management, sleeping habits, and sexual activity;
 - k. Family trauma or deaths;
 - 1. Academic pressure;
 - m. Violence, including school violence, domestic violence, gun violence, gun control and/or mass shootings;
 - n. Bullying or verbal abuse apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - o. Political polarization;
 - p. Natural disasters;
 - q. Climate change;
 - r. Discrimination and inequity;
 - s. Global warfare and conflict; and
 - t. Any other potential causes of harms to the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 4. Youth Risk Behavior Surveys conducted by the Arizona Department of Health Services or on its behalf.
- 5. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to:
 - a. Features of Social Media Platforms that are psychologically or physically harmful to Young Users;
 - b. Features of Social Media Platforms that promote compulsive, prolonged, or unhealthy use by Young Users;
 - c. The impact of features of Social Media Platforms, including:

- (1) Algorithmic recommendation and sequencing;
- (2) Image filters;
- (3) Use of multiple user accounts;
- (4) Infinite scroll;
- (5) Ephemeral content features;
- (6) Autoplay;
- (7) Quantification and display of likes; or
- (8) Audiovisual and haptic alerts.
- 6. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Arizona Department of Health Services to address Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms, including any program, initiative, effort, or action to prevent, limit, encourage, or promote the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users.
- 7. Policies proposed, recommended, or enacted by the Arizona Department of Health Services regarding screen time and acceptable use of cell phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices by Young Users.
- 8. Complaints to the Arizona Department of Health Services by teachers or school districts regarding social media or cell phone use by Young Users and/or the need for acceptable use or other policies to address Young Users' use of social media or cell phones.
- Complaints to the Arizona Department of Health Services by teachers or school districts regarding budget crises from inflation, underfunding, unfunded mandates, and other causes.
- 10. Documents related to state assessments in Arizona, including reports and analyses regarding the history of K-12 state assessment or standardized testing scores, performance by schools and/or school districts, and any other measures of school performance.
- 11. Legislation or policies proposed by, proposed on behalf of, or testified on by the Arizona Department of Health Services, regardless of whether such legislation or policies were enacted, regarding Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 12. Mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health services provided by the Arizona Department of Health Services to Young Users during the Relevant Period, including:
 - a. Counseling or therapy;
 - b. Psychiatric services;
 - c. Crisis intervention;
 - d. Inpatient short-term and long-term programs;
 - e. Resource centers; and
 - f. Services for Young Users dealing with substance abuse or addiction issues.
- 13. Any and all Arizona Department of Health Services grants to address Young Users' mental health, including information about when, where, why, and how any disbursements were

- paid, any schedules or plans for future disbursements, policies governing evaluation or approval of such disbursements, records of how the disbursements were used, and all agendas, minutes, notes, or recordings from meetings related to such grant.
- 14. Public or non-public meetings held by the Arizona Department of Health Services related to Social Media Platforms and use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users, including but not limited to, notices, meeting notes, PowerPoint presentations, internal memoranda, summaries, personal notes, or attendee lists.
- 15. Policies, procedures, and practices related to the Arizona Department of Health Services' use of Social Media Platforms, including communication of information or promotion of Arizona Department of Health Services' programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions on Social Media Platforms.
- 16. Arizona Department of Health Services' communications and/or collaboration with any Social Media Platform on any program, initiative or other action related to, or directed at, Young Users.
- 17. Arizona Department of Health Services' communications with any third party related to Young Users and/or Social Media Platforms.
- 18. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Arizona Department of Health Services during the Relevant Period related to Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 19. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Arizona Department of Health Services during the Relevant Period related to treatment of teen mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health issues.
- 20. Policies, procedures, and practices applicable to the Arizona Department of Health Services and Arizona Department of Health Services employees regarding the use of Social Media Platforms, including any changes to these policies during the Relevant Period.
- 21. Studies, programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Arizona Department of Health Services that sought to provide or promote internet access or phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices to Young Users.
- 22. Arizona Department of Health Services' budget and actual expenditures during the Relevant Period, including expenditures on:
 - a. Digital advertisements by the Arizona Department of Health Services on Social Media Platforms;
 - b. Digital advertisements by the Arizona Department of Health Services purchased through Social Media Platforms.

ATTACHMENT B

Ca	se 4:22:4:10:4:10:3:10:4:10:3:10:4: Y GP oc Droeunt 1::0:8:16:5: 0	Filed 03/1/28/1/24 Page 4 1013 of 436	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE NORTHERN DIST		
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	IN RE: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability Litigation This document relates to: ALL ACTIONS	MDL No. 3047 Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers Honorable Peter H. Kang STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND HARD COPY DOCUMENTS	
17 18	PURPOSE This Order Governing the Production of Ele	ectronically Stored Information and Hard Copy	
19	Documents ("ESI Order") will govern discovery of electronically stored information and any ha		
20	copy documents in this Litigation as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this		
21	District's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electron	ically Stored Information, and any other	
22	applicable orders and rules. "This Litigation" inclu	des all actions currently in MDL No. 3047, In	
23	Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal In	njury Products Liability, or hereafter added or	
24	transferred to MDL No. 3047, and all actions later	remanded to their respective transferor courts.	
25	A DEDINITIONS		

2. **DEFINITIONS**

25

26

27

28

a) "Document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and shall include Hard-Copy Documents and ESI.

- 1
- 3
- 56
- 7
- 8
- 10
- 11 12
- 13

- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 2021
- 22
- 23
- 2425
- 26
- 27
- 28

- b) "Electronically stored information" or "ESI," as used herein has the same meaning as in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34.
- c) "Hard-Copy Document" means Documents existing in paper form at the time of collection.
- d) "Searchable Text" means the native text extracted from an Electronic Document and any Optical Character Recognition text ("OCR text") generated from a Hard-Copy Document or electronic image.

3. COOPERATION

The Parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout this Litigation consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI and this Court's Rules of Professional Conduct. The Parties will endeavor to cooperate in good faith and be reasonably transparent in all aspects of the discovery process.

4. LIAISON

The Parties will identify Discovery Liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Any Party is free to change their designated Discovery Liaison by providing written notice to the other Parties. Each Party's Discovery Liaison must: (a) be prepared to meet and confer on discovery-related matters and to participate in discovery dispute resolution; (b) be knowledgeable about the Party's discovery efforts; (c) be, or have reasonable access to those who are, familiar with the Party's electronic systems and capabilities in order to explain those systems and answer relevant questions; and (d) be, or have reasonable access to those who are knowledgeable about the technical aspects of discovery, including electronic document storage, organization, and format issues, and relevant information retrieval technology, including search methodology.

5. IDENTIFICATION OF CUSTODIANS AND DATA SOURCES

The parties will disclose information about custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources likely to possess relevant or responsive information in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and this District's ESI Guidelines. The Parties will participate in Rule 26(f) discussions guided by this District's Checklist for Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer Regarding Electronically

Stored Information. After responses to Requests for Production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 have been served, the parties will meet and confer regarding those custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources from which Documents and ESI will be collected for search and review for potential production in this litigation. The custodian and data source exchanges will include brief explanations of the rationale for their selections; for example, for custodians, their current job titles and descriptions of their work, and for data sources, location information and description.

6. INACCESSIBLE OR UNUSABLE ESI

2.1

If a Producing Party asserts that certain requested ESI is inaccessible or not "reasonably accessible," otherwise unnecessary, or if the Receiving Party asserts that, following production, certain ESI is not reasonably useable, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss resolving such assertions. The parties will exchange sufficient information to enable the parties to confer in good faith. If the parties cannot resolve any such disputes after such a meet and confer has taken place, the issue shall be presented to the Court for resolution.

7. KNOWN RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS

Documents or ESI identified in a custodial or non-custodial file, or in a discrete folder or collection, that are known to a Producing Party through reasonable investigation to be responsive to a discovery request shall be collected for review without regard to whether the responsive content was located via any search methodology developed in accordance with this Order, and nothing about such review shall prevent the Producing Party from redacting or withholding and logging such documents for applicable privileges.

8. SEARCH METHODOLOGIES

The Parties shall adopt reasonable and proportionate methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce ESI as required under applicable legal standards. The Parties recognize and agree that each Party may use one or more methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-privileged ESI, including the use of keyword search terms and/or the use of technology assisted review ("TAR") as discussed further herein. The Parties further recognize that different data sets may implicate different methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-

2.1

privileged ESI. The Parties therefore agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding any potential disputes over their respective ESI productions.

9. HIT REPORTS AND SEARCH TERMS

ESI, the Producing Party shall disclose the search terms to the Requesting Party. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over the disclosed search terms. In the event that a Producing Party claims burden with respect to modified and/or additional search terms proposed by a Requesting Party, the Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the terms at issue using industry-standard processing tools, such as NUIX or other similar tools. The Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the document collection where the terms were applied, including the following with respect to each proposed or modified search term in the collection:

- a) The number of documents with hits for that term; and
- b) The number of unique documents, i.e., documents which do not have hits for any other term.

If, after reviewing a hit report from a Producing Party, a Requesting Party so chooses, it may reasonably request a further hit report which includes:

- c) The number of family members, including the documents with hits, of the documents with hits for that term; and
- d) The number of unique family members of the documents with hits for that term.

If the ESI tool for the Producing Party is capable without undue burden of providing the number of family members and unique family members, then the Producing Party shall provide such further hit report. The Parties (including the person most knowledgeable about the capabilities of the Producing Party's ESI tool and the Requesting Party's person most knowledgeable about technical issues from its ESI service provider) shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over whether the Producing Party's ESI tool has the capability or not to provider either number of family members or number of unique family members.

The Parties shall meet and confer to resolve disagreements over the search terms or their application. To the extent the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the application of, or

2.1

procedures for, any search or filtering processes, the Parties shall fully comply with the provisions of this Court's Discovery Standing Order regarding the procedure for raising discovery disputes with the Court, including the meet and confer and certification requirements therein.

10. TECHNOLOGY ASSISTED REVIEW (TAR)

A Producing Party may use TAR (technology-assisted review) during the culling and review process of ESI, which may be applied in addition to search terms. If a Producing Party uses TAR to cull, filter out, or exclude documents from that Party's production, that Producing Party shall do the following:

- If a Producing Party chooses to apply both search terms and TAR to a review set, the Producing Party will disclose the search terms to all other Party/Parties.
- Disclose the name of the TAR tool or service used to all other Party/Parties.

11. VALIDATION

Each Producing Party shall take reasonable steps to validate its review process (i.e., using quality control measures to determine whether its production is missing relevant ESI or contains substantial amounts of irrelevant ESI) and make any necessary adjustments or corrections to its process. If, after reviewing a Producing Party's production, a Requesting Party reasonably requests additional information regarding the validation method(s) used by the Producing Party, the Producing Party will disclose the level of end-to-end recall (the percentage of responsive Documents in the collection which were identified as responsive by that Producing Party's methodology). If there remain disputes between the Parties regarding validation, the Parties shall meet and confer to resolve such disputes in good faith, including a reasonable discussion regarding the tool used and the parameters used to obtain or calculate the level of recall.

12. UNSEARCHABLE DOCUMENTS

The Producing Party shall use reasonable efforts to identify and review potentially responsive documents for which text-based search technologies are fundamentally ineffective.

13. SYSTEM FILES

Each Party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application executable files using the national software reference library ("NSRL") NIST hash set list. The

Parties also may filter out stand-alone files identified as zero bytes in size. Additional culling of file types based on file header information may be applied to the following, provided these files are not known to be otherwise attached, embedded in, or included with an otherwise responsive document, or are not themselves reasonably known to contain information responsive or contain responsive data or are used to interface with users or interact with or access individual or aggregated user data: Application Package File, Backup Files, Batch Files, Binary Disc Image, C++ File Formats, Cascading Style Sheet, Configuration File, Database File, Dictionary Files, Dynamic Link Library, Event Log Files, Executable Files, Hypertext Cascading Stylesheet, Java Archive Files, JavaScript Files, JavaScript Source Code and Class Files, Macintosh Resource Fork Files, Package Manager Files, Program Files, Program Installers, Python Script Files, Shell Script Files, System or Temporary Files, Thumbnail Cache Files, Troff Files, Truetype Font Files, Windows Cabinet File, Windows Command Files, Windows File Shortcut, Windows Help Files, Windows Metafiles and Enhanced Metafiles, Windows Spool Files, Windows System File.

14. **DEDUPLICATION**

2.1

Each Producing Party shall make reasonable efforts to globally deduplicate exact duplicate Documents within that Producing Party's ESI data set across all custodial and non-custodial sources at the family level using either MD5 hash values or SHA hash values or any other agreed-upon (and disclosed) industry-standard deduplication technology. The Parties shall reach agreement on such other deduplication technology and shall reach agreement on how their deduplication tools shall identify exact duplicates of documents in a manner that is consistent with the disclosed tools and technologies a Producing Party is using. The Parties shall reach agreement on how to identify exact duplicates of emails using industry-standard commercially available software tools or services, which may for example calculate hash values of emails based on concatenated values of agreed-upon email fields and/or hash values of attachments, or which may use any other method the Parties agree upon. Having further met and conferred in keeping with the previous sentence, the Parties shall calculate deduplication hash values for emails on the concatenated values set forth in and in accordance with the documentation specifications of the disclosed deduplication tools to be used in this Litigation (i.e., RelativityOne, Relativity Server,

and Nuix); however, if Nuix is used, the Producing Party shall select the optional field "Include Bcc" as an additional field to add to the default ones.

The Parties shall not withhold from production near-duplicates without meeting and conferring on this issue.

The names of all custodians who were either identified as custodians for purposes of collection for this matter (or otherwise known by the Producing Party to have been in possession or custody of a document prior to deduplication) will be populated in the ALL CUSTODIANS metadata field for the produced version of a document that has duplicates removed from production. The original file paths (if any exist) of a document prior to deduplication will be populated in the ALL FILE PATHS metadata field of the produced document.

15. EMAIL THREADING

2.1

The Parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and shall produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members. Where multiple email messages are part of a single chain or "thread," a Party is only required to produce the most inclusive copy of an email message(s) ("Last In Time Email(s)") and need not produce earlier, lesser inclusive email messages or "thread members," provided that the tool or software service being used to perform threading is disclosed. A Producing Party may exclude from production lesser inclusive copies of the most inclusive email message in the thread, where the entire body of each of those lesser inclusive copies is included within the Last in Time Email. The Producing Party will honor reasonable requests to produce lesser inclusive copies of a Last In Time Email or other earlier chains of emails otherwise excluded by email thread suppression.

The Parties shall treat new or different email chains or threads pursuant to further agreement on safeguards or guidelines for defining emails threads which reasonably take into account the capabilities of the email threading/dethreading/hyperthreading tools used by each Defendant (which shall be disclosed to Plaintiffs).

16. SOURCE CODE

The Parties will meet and confer to address the production and/or inspection of source code and entering into a separate order governing the same if needed.

17. PRODUCTION FORMATS

2.1

The Parties agree to produce documents and data in the formats described in **Appendix 1** to this ESI Order. If particular documents or categories of documents identified in response to document requests warrant a different format, the Parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The Parties further agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

In addition, the Parties agree that the production and production format of social media and/or user account information is not covered by this agreement and to meet and confer on the production and production format, including metadata, for social media and/or user account information.

18. PHASING

Once the Parties begin propounding discovery requests pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the Parties agree to meet and confer regarding appropriate phasing for the production of ESI.

19. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- a) Production of Plaintiffs ESI and Case-Specific Materials. Subject to any further agreement among the Parties or Order of the Court, the Parties shall produce case-specific documents (i.e., documents specific to the claim of a given Plaintiff, produced by Plaintiffs or Defendants) for any Plaintiff in discovery pools or other selections designed to inform bellwether selection, including those selected for a bellwether trial, in accordance with the production format specified herein, provided, however, that the Producing Party may elect to produce such materials in their native format. To the extent production of case-specific documents for any Plaintiff selected for a bellwether trial presents an issue for any Party, the Parties shall reasonably confer, and may present any disputes to the Court or its designee. The Parties shall further agree to confer concerning the production format and associated matters (e.g., hosting platform) for case-specific documents produced in the cases of other Plaintiffs.

 Nothing herein shall limit Defendant's right to seek discovery from any Plaintiff.
- b) Translations Of Produced Materials. The Producing Party has no obligation to

create a translation of the Documents or any portion thereof. For any foreign-language documents responsive to document requests that a Party reasonably knows as the result of a reasonable investigation have been translated into the English language using human translators or through machine translation for its own purposes, except to the extent such translation is protected by attorney-client or work-product privileges, the Producing Party shall produce the translation of the original document with the original. The parties will meet and confer as necessary concerning procedures for using translations at depositions and at trial. In the event the Parties cannot reach agreement, the matter may be submitted to the Court for determination.

- c) Third-Party Documents. A Party that issues a Non-Party subpoena ("Issuing Party") shall include a copy of this Order with the subpoena and state that (1) the subpoenaed Non-Party should produce Documents in response to the subpoena to all Parties; and (2) the Parties to this Litigation have requested that Third Parties produce Documents in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. If the subpoenaed Non-Party produces Documents to the Issuing Party but does not produce those Documents to other Parties, the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to those other Parties within 14 days of receiving the Documents, except where the Documents are to be used in a deposition, in which case the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to all other Parties no later than three (3) days prior to the deposition, or as soon as reasonably practicable if such production occurs thereafter. Nothing in this Order is intended or may be interpreted to narrow, expand, or otherwise affect the rights of the Parties or Third Parties to object to a subpoena. If the Non-Party production is not Bates-stamped, the Parties will meet and confer to agree upon a format for designating the documents with a unique Bates Number prefix.
- d) <u>Documents Produced by Parties Presumption of Authenticity</u>. In order to reduce the number of requests for admission, this Order establishes a rebuttable presumption that documents produced by the Parties are authentic, if said documents were either created or authored by the producing Party, or any of its employees, agents, or

contractors, so long as the employees', agents', or contractors' work was performed in connection with a project or assignment sponsored by the producing Party. No further evidence to establish authenticity need be provided. Nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to waive any other evidentiary objection a party may have, including but not limited to hearsay, foundation/personal knowledge, or relevance.

- e) **Re-productions.** Notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary, re-production of discrete sets of documents from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter may be re-produced in the same manner and form as originally produced in the other matter, provided however that a party will re-produce documents in a different format for good cause shown. This provision does not waive the right of a party to object to any requests for reproduction of production files from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter.
- f) <u>Modification</u>. This ESI Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown.
- g) Good Faith. The Parties will act in good faith as required by law and use these procedures to identify and reduce the potential for disputes.
- h) <u>Continuing Obligations</u>. The Parties recognize that discovery shall be an iterative and cooperative process. The Parties will continue to meet and confer regarding any issues as reasonably necessary and appropriate. This Order does not address or resolve any objections to the Parties' respective discovery requests.
- i) <u>Reservation of Rights</u>. The Parties agree that any topic not addressed herein is neither a waiver nor acknowledgement of agreement by either Party.
- IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

1	DATED: March 15, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		<u>/s/ Lexi J. Hazam</u> LEXI J. HAZAM
3		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
4		BERNSTEIN, LLP
5		275 BATTERY STREET, 29th FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339
3		Telephone: 415-956-1000
6		lhazam@lchb.com
7		
8		PREVIN WARREN MOTLEY RICE LLC
9		401 9th Street NW Suite 630
		Washington DC 20004
10		T: 202-386-9610
11		pwarren@motleyrice.com
12		Co-Lead Counsel
13		CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
		SEEGER WEISS, LLP
14		55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6TH FLOOR RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660
15		Telephone: 973-639-9100
		Facsimile: 973-679-8656
16		cseeger@seegerweiss.com
17		Commenter Co. Lord Comment
18		Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel
19		JENNIE LEE ANDERSON
19		ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
20		155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
21		Telephone: 415-986-1400
22		jennie@andrusanderson.com
23		Liaison Counsel
		MATTHEW BERGMAN
24		GLENN DRAPER
25		SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER 821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100
26		SEATTLE, WA 98104
27		Telephone: 206-741-4862 matt@socialmediavictims.org
28		glenn@socialmediavictims.org

CaseCatale-atrileon300407300457RYGBodDuoroemin4.003695 Fifteeld0371284224 Patemate7121930f436

1	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP		
2	By: <u>/s/ Stephanie Schuster</u> Stephanie Schuster (pro hac vice)		
3	stephanie.schuster@morganlewis.com		
4	1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW NW Washington, DC 20004-2541		
5	Tel.: 202.373.6595		
6	Yardena R. Zwang-Weissman (SBN 247111)		
7	yardena.zwang-weissman@morganlewis.com 300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor		
8	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132 Tel.: 213.612.7238		
9	Brian Ercole (pro hac vice)		
10	brian.ercole@morganlewis.com		
11	600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600 Miami, FL 33131-3075		
	Tel.: 305.415.3416		
12 13	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and Google LLC		
14			
	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Agreement is approved.		
15			
15 16			
16	Dated: March 18, 2024		
16 17			
16 17 18	Dated: March 18, 2024		
16 17	Dated: March 18, 2024		
16 17 18 19	Dated: March 18, 2024		
16 17 18 19 20 21	Dated: March 18, 2024		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dated: March 18, 2024		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: March 18, 2024		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated: March 18, 2024		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated: March 18, 2024		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024		

CaseCat22-4rt2203101407310457RYGRodDorocenno 4.003695 Fffi electro 371284224 Patgrague 91.0313.06 436

APPENDIX 1: PRODUCTION FORMAT

- 1) **Production Components.** Except as otherwise provided below, ESI must be produced in accordance with the following specifications:
 - a) an ASCII delimited data file (.DAT) using standard delimiters;
 - b) an image load file (.OPT) that can be loaded into commercially acceptable production software (e.g. Concordance);
 - c) single page black-and-white TIFF images, or JPEG images when color is specified herein, or native files with single page placeholder TIFF images depending on the applicable production format for each type of file;
 - d) and document level .TXT files for all documents containing extracted full text or OCR text.
 - e) Family relationships between emails and their attachments, embedded files and their source document, and connected hardcopy documents will be maintained in production. Attachments should be consecutively produced with their parent.
 - f) If a particular document warrants a different production format, the Parties will cooperate in good faith to arrange for a mutually acceptable production format.
- 2) Production Media and Access Controls. Productions must be encrypted and produced through secure electronic means, such as secure file sharing methods (e.g. FTP), or on CD, DVD, flash drive or external hard drive ("Production Media"). Each piece of Production Media must identify a production number corresponding to the production volume (e.g. "VOL001"). Each piece of Production Media must also identify: (a) the case caption; (b) the following label: "This media contains material subject to Court Ordered security measures"; (c) the Producing Party's name; (d) the production date; (e) the Bates Number range of the materials contained on the Production Media.

Nothing in this ESI Order will preclude or impair any and all protections provided the Parties by any Protective Order(s) agreed and entered into by the Parties. Parties will use best efforts to avoid the unnecessary copying or transmittal of produced documents. If questions arise, the Parties will meet and confer to ensure security concerns are addressed prior to the exchange of

any documents.

- aproduction sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents in the image load file should match the image load file must match the corresponding of the production. The total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of TIFF files in the production. All images must be assigned a unique Bates number that is sequential within a given document and across the production sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents' beginning Bates numbers in the data load file. The total number of documents in a production should match the total number of records in the data load file. Load files must not vary in format or structure within a production, or from one production to another except by agreement of the Parties.
- 4) Metadata Fields. Each of the metadata and coding fields set forth below that can be extracted should be produced for each document, except that if the field contains privileged information, that privileged information may be redacted. However, to the extent that metadata does not exist, is not available for any documents produced, this provision shall not be read to require any Party to extract, capture, collect, manually populate, or produce such metadata, with the exception of the following: (a) BEGBATES, (b) ENDBATES, (c) BEGATTACH, (d) ENDATTACH, (e) PRODVOL, (f) ALL CUSTODIANS, (g) CONFIDENTIALITY, (h) REDACTIONS, (i) REDACTION TYPE, (j) HASHVALUE, (k) NATIVEFILEPATH, and (l) TEXTFILEPATH, which should be populated by the Party or the Party's vendor. The Parties will make reasonable efforts to ensure that metadata fields automatically extracted from the documents correspond directly to the information that exists in the original documents.

Field Name	Field Description
BEGBATES	Beginning Bates number for a particular document as stamped on the first production image for that document
ENDBATES	Ending Bates number as stamped on the last

Field Name	Field Description
	production image for a particular document
BEGATTACH	First production Bates number of the first document in a family
ENDATTACH	Last production Bates number of the last document in a family
ATTACHCOUNT	Number of attachments to an e-mail or embedded parent, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
ATTACHNAMES	Names of each individual Attachment, separated by semicolons.
PRODVOL	Production volume
ALLCUSTODIANS	List of all custodians associated with Document, i.e. "Custodian" + "Other Custodian" values delimited by semicolon.
ALLFILEPATHS	All original path(s) to the individual source file(s) when available in the ordinary course of business to and processable by the parties and their ESI vendors of choice. Includes path up to and including internal path of containers.
CONFIDENTIALITY	Confidentiality designation assigned to the document consistent with the Stipulated Protective Order governing this Litigation
NATIVEFILEPATH	Native File Link (Native Files only)
TEXTFILEPATH	Path to extracted text/OCR file for the document
HASHVALUE	MD5 hash value of the document
DOCUMENT TYPE	Descriptor for the type of document: "E-document" for electronic documents not attached to e-mails; "E-mail" for all e-mails; "E-attachment" for files that were attachments to e-mails; and "Physical" for hard copy physical documents that have been scanned and converted to an electronic image.
AUTHOR	Any value populated in the Author field of the

Field Name	Field Description
	document properties
DOCDATE	Date the document was created according to filesystem information (format: MM/DD/YYYY)
DATELASTMODIFIED	Date when document was last modified according to filesystem information (forma MM/DD/YYYY)
LAST MODIFIED BY	Last person who modified (saved) a document, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
TRACK CHANGES	Y if a document with track changes value, otherwise N or empty, if available separate from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
COMMENTS	Y if a document with comments, otherwise or empty, if available separately from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
HASHIDDENDATA	Y if a document with hidden content value otherwise N or empty
FILENAME	Filename of an electronic document
TITLE	Any value populated in the Title field of th document properties
DOCEXT	File extension of document pulled from the document properties
FROM	The sender of the email
ТО	All recipients that were included on the "Te line of the email
CC	All recipients that were included on the "C line of the email
BCC	All recipients that were included on the "BCC" line of the email
DATETIMERECEIVED	Date and time email was received (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)
DATETIMESENT	Date and time email was sent (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)

Field Name	Field Description
TIMEZONE	The timezone used to process the document
EMAILSUBJECT	Subject line of email pulled from the document properties
THREADID	If a threading tool is used for emails, the thread id generated by the threading tool.
REDACTION TYPE ¹	General category of redaction reason as agreed to by the parties. For example, PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV. If more than one, separate reasons by semicolons.
REDACTIONS	Y if a document is redacted, otherwise N or empty

- 5) TIFFs. Unless excepted below, single page, black and white, Group IV TIFFs should be provided, at least 300 dots per inch (dpi) for all documents. Each TIFF image must be named according to a unique corresponding Bates number associated with the document. Each image must be branded according to the Bates number and the agreed upon confidentiality designation. Original document orientation should be maintained (i.e., portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape). Where the TIFF image is unreadable or has materially degraded the quality of the original, the Producing Party shall provide a higher quality TIFF image or the native or original file. In addition, the Parties shall take reasonable efforts to process word processing documents (e.g., MS Word) with track changes and/or comments unhidden on the TIFF image.
- 6) Color. Word processing documents containing tracked changes shall be produced in color, as single-page, 300 dpi JPG images with JPG compression and a high quality setting as to not degrade the original image. The Producing Party shall comply with good faith by the Requesting Party to provide replacement color images for documents originally produced in black and white. A Party making such a request shall make the request by individual Bates

¹ The Parties will provide specific information on any privilege(s) asserted in their privilege logs, in an overlay, or the redaction boxes. The "Redaction Reason" field is informational only for redaction type and shall not in any way limit a party's right to assert attorney-client privilege, attorney-work product privilege, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

23

24

25

26

27

28

number(s) and shall limit requests made pursuant to this paragraph to a reasonable number of documents.

- **7**) **Text Files.** A single multi-page text file must be provided for each document, and the filename should match its respective TIFF filename for the first page of that document. Extracted text shall be generated with commercially acceptable technology set to include all comments, revisions, tracked changes, speaker's notes and text from documents with comments or tracked changes, and hidden and very hidden worksheets, slides, columns and rows. When possible, the text of native files should be extracted directly from the native file. Parties will perform optical character recognition ("OCR") on foreign language documents using the appropriate settings for languages reasonably anticipated to be in the production following a meet and confer with the Requesting Party to identify those languages. Text files will not contain the redacted portions of the documents. A commercially acceptable technology for OCR should be used for all scanned, hard copy documents and for documents with redactions other than Excel files and other spreadsheets which shall be redacted in native format. Text extracted from emails should include the following header information where available: (1) the individuals to whom the communication was directed ("To"), (2) the author of the email communication ("From"), (3) who was copied and blind copied on such email ("CC" and "BCC"), (4) the subject line of the email ("RE" or "Subject"), and (5) the date and time of the email. To the extent the text extraction technology the Parties are using can be configured to include the text of any URLs or links, the Parties shall utilize that setting.
- Native files. Spreadsheets (*e.g.* MS Excel), and un-redacted presentations (e.g. Microsoft PowerPoint,) will be produced in native format to the extent that they are produced in this Litigation, audio, video, and multi-media files will be produced in native format. The Parties will meet and confer on the production of other file types, such as proprietary files, etc. Native files will be produced with a link in the NATIVEFILEPATH field, along with extracted text (where extracted text is available) and applicable metadata fields set forth in paragraph 4 above. A Bates numbered TIFF placeholder indicating that the document was provided in native format must accompany every native file. Where redaction makes production of native-format

files other than spreadsheets or presentations infeasible, the Parties will confer to determine a reasonably usable form for the production, but spreadsheets shall presumptively be redacted in native, and presentations presumptively redacted in image form, in these cases without the need for further conferring.

- hardcopy will be scanned to *.tiff image format and produced in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. Hard copy documents that are not text-searchable shall be made searchable by OCR prior to production. In scanning paper documents, distinct documents should not be merged into a single record, and single documents should not be split into multiple records (i.e., paper documents should be logically unitized). In the case of an organized compilation of separate documents (for example, a binder containing several separate documents behind numbered tabs), the document behind each tab should be scanned separately, but the relationship among the documents in the compilation should be reflected in the proper coding of the beginning and ending document and attachment fields. The Parties will make their best efforts to unitize the documents correctly. If relevant documents are maintained in a file, folder, envelope, binder, notebook or similar container used to store documents, all contents therein shall be reviewed for production and privilege. Document pages which have affixed notes, such as Post-it notes, should be imaged with and without the note attached.
- **10)** Confidentiality Designation. All images will be stamped with the appropriate confidentiality designations in accordance with the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this Litigation. Each document produced in native format will have its confidentiality designation identified in the filename of the native file and indicated on its corresponding TIFF placeholder.
- 11) Bates Numbering. Bates numbering should be a consistent length across the production, contain no special characters, and be numerically sequential within a given document. If a Bates number or set of Bates numbers is skipped, the skipped number or set of numbers should be noted with a placeholder. Attachments to documents will be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents to which they were attached.

In addition, wherever possible, each image will have its assigned Bates number electronically "burned" onto the image.

- information is stored in a database, or database management system, or proprietary system or application which has is directed to data storage as one of its primary functions, the Producing Party will identify the database and platform to the Requesting Party. The Producing Party shall produce exports and reports about such responsive information stored in such database, where such exports and reports shall be in a reasonably usable form, and information may be produced in CSV format, tab-delimited text format, Microsoft Excel format, or Microsoft Access format. If there are future disputes over the production of information from a database, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to reach any further agreements (if needed) on the data to be produced and the format and scope of the production. The Producing Party will provide reasonable amounts of information about the databases to facilitate that discussion.
- inside a responsive document (including hyperlinked inside emails) within a Producing Party's custody, possession, or control, do not need to be produced in the first instance as part of the same family group as the Document residing at the location to which that hyperlink points. If there are particular hyperlinks identified by the Requesting Party in produced documents, the Requesting Party may submit a list of hyperlinks to a particular Producing Party for potentially relevant documents by identifying the Bates number and URL or link text for each requested link to the Producing Party, and the Producing Party will engage in reasonable efforts to locate the hyperlinked document at that location and either identify it by Bates number or provide any responsive, non-produced, and non-privileged documents. The number of hyperlinks a Requesting Party may identify to a Producing Party shall not be excessive and shall be reasonable, proportional to the needs of the case, and not unduly burdensome.
- **14) Embedded Objects.** The Parties agree non-substantive embedded objects, including, but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons, and footers, may be culled from a document set (but not a document) and need not be produced as separate documents by a

Producing Party (i.e., such embedded objects will be produced within the document itself, rather than as separate documents). Embedded files, except for images (including but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons), are to be produced as family groups. Embedded files should be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents within which they are embedded.

- **15) Production of Family Groups and Relationships**. If any member of a family group is produced, all members of that group must also be produced or else logged as privileged, and no such member shall be withheld from production as a duplicate.
- **16) Dynamic Fields**. Documents with dynamic fields for file names, dates, and times will be imaged to show the field code (e.g., "[FILENAME]") where possible, rather than the values for such fields existing at the time the file is processed.
- 17) Time Zone. The time zone used to process a document, including its metadata, shall be disclosed in the TIMEZONE metadata field consistent with Appendix 1, and shall be standardized for each Defendant across productions.

18) Redactions.

- a) A Producing Party may redact (i) information subject to the attorney client privilege or the work product protection (PRIV); (ii) information that cannot be disclosed pursuant to the Stored Communications Act (SCA); (iii) source code subject to separate agreement applicable to production of source code (CODE); (iv) personal identifying information (PII) including phone numbers, personal addresses, personal email addresses, the month and day of birth, driver's license numbers, and other PII agreed to by the Parties (for example, the Parties shall complete their meet and confer on whether or not to redact users' ages and years of birth). In any event, there shall be no redaction of illnesses, injuries, and medical diagnoses. To the extent a document or pleading contains PII, the Parties shall designate such documents at the appropriate Confidentiality level under the Protective Order and shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 with regard to filings with the Court.
- b) No redactions for relevance may be made within a produced document or ESI item. If, during the course of discovery, the Parties identify other kinds of information that any STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF ESI & HARD COPY DOCUMENTS 9 3:22-MD-03047-YGR; MDL NO. 3047

Party has a reasonable basis for redacting, the Parties will meet and confer on a case-by-case basis regarding that information before such redactions are made. If the Parties cannot agree, they may seek resolution from the Court.

- c) The Producing Party will indicate, on the face of the redaction, the asserted reason(s) for the redaction (PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV) and the REDACTION TYPE metadata field shall indicate that the document contains redactions and the reason(s) for the redaction.
- d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, this provision shall not be read to prohibit redactions permitted under any applicable U.S. law or Protective Order.
- e) Where a responsive document contains both redacted and non-redacted content, the Parties shall produce the non-redacted portions of the document and the OCR text corresponding to the non-redacted portions.
- f) Native Redactions. Spreadsheet files requiring redaction, including without limitation Microsoft Excel files, shall be redacted and produced natively (unless the Parties agree to production in some other format). In addition, a Producing Party may natively redact other files that cannot be properly imaged for redaction.
- g) All images of redacted files shall be processed to show all comments, revision marks, speaker notes, marks made in track changes, or other user-entered data which are visible in a normal view of the document in its native application, unless such material is redacted and marked as redacted in accordance with this section. Where possible, any occurrences of date/time auto-field items, including in headers and footers, will be removed and replaced with the term AUTODATE to prevent the current date from being printed. Email header information (e.g., date and/or subject line) shall not be redacted unless it is independently privileged. The Parties shall honor reasonable requests for the production of particular redacted documents in other formats where the image is not reasonably usable.
- h) Color. Redacted versions of documents that would have been produced in color in their un-redacted form shall be produced in color as detailed herein.

-) **Exception Files**. The Parties will use reasonable efforts to address processing exceptions.
- data that can reasonably be extracted and produced in the formats described herein is identified on a mobile or handheld device, that data shall be produced in accordance with the generic provisions of this protocol. To the extent that responsive data identified on a mobile or handheld device is not susceptible to normal production protocols, the Parties will meet and confer to address the identification, production, and production format of any responsive documents and data contained on any mobile or handheld device.

COVINGTON

BEIJING BOSTON BRUSSELS DUBAI FRANKFURT
JOHANNESBURG LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK
PALO ALTO SAN FRANCISCO SEOUL SHANGHAI WASHINGTON

Covington & Burling LLP Salesforce Tower 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533 T +1 415 591 6000

July 24, 2024

DELIVERED VIA PROCESS SERVER

Idaho Department of Education 450 W. State Street Boise, ID 83702

Re: People of the State of California v. Meta Platforms, Inc., 4:23-cv-05448

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find a subpoena being served upon you in connection with the above-captioned litigation currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. I represent Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc. and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, the "Meta Defendants") in this matter.

The document subpoena requests the production of specific documents identified in the attached subpoena (see Attachment A to the subpoena), which are requested to be produced by 10:00 a.m. on August 23, 2024. We are willing to work with you on the time, date, location, method and manner of document production, as well as answer any questions or concerns you may have regarding subpoena compliance. It is sufficient compliance with this subpoena if you electronically transmit a copy of the requested documents to the undersigned. As such, please contact me at kpatchen@cov.com and 415-591-6031, to discuss document production and subpoena compliance, unless you are already represented by counsel in connection with this matter.

The Court is currently considering whether the Idaho Attorney General's Office has control over Idaho Education Department's information for the purposes of discovery in this action. If the Court rules that the Idaho Attorney General's Office has control over Idaho Education Department's information, the Idaho Attorney General has pending discovery requests that seek this agency's documents. By serving this subpoena, Meta does not waive its position in the state agency dispute, nor does Meta in any way waive rights to compel the Idaho Attorney General to produce Idaho Education Department information as part of discovery in this action. However, to avoid further delay in the production of information from the Idaho Education Department, Meta is serving the attached subpoena to request the timely production of this information.

Your anticipated time and assistance with this matter is greatly appreciated.

COVINGTON

Page 2

Best regards,

/s/ Kate Patchen

Kate Patchen

Enclosed: Subpoena, Attachment A, and Attachment B

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

United States District Court

for the

Northern Dis	strict of California	
IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONA	L	
INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION		
INJUNE TRODUCTO EIABIEITT EITIOATION)	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:) Civil Action No.	4:22-MD-03047-YGR
People of the State of California, et al. v. Meta Platforms,) CIVII / Redoit 140.	MDL No. 3047
Inc. et al., Case No.: 4:23-cv-05448)	WIDE 140. 3047
)	
)	
SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTO PERMIT INSPECTION	•	
To: Idaho Department of Education		
650 West State Street, Boise, ID 83702		
	whom this subpoena is directed)	
Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to production, or objects, a material: See Attachment A.	and to permit inspection, co	pying, testing, or sampling of the
Place:	Date and Time:	
	August 23, 2024	1 10:00 a m
	August 25, 202-	r, 10.00 a.m.
☐ Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANI other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample Place:	date, and location set forth	below, so that the requesting party
The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of Date:	to a subpoena; and Rule 45	
CLERK OF COURT		
	OR	
		/s/ Ashley Simonsen
Signature of Clerk or Deputy	Clerk	Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payn	r of the attorney representing	g (name of party)
Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC,	who issues	or requests this subpoena, are:
Ashley Simonsen, Covington & Burling LLP, 1999 Avenue of the	e Stars, Los Angeles, CA 9006	7, 424-332-4782, asimonsen@cov.com

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 4:23-cv-05448

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

(date)	bpoena for (name of individual and title, if and title).		
☐ I served the su	ubpoena by delivering a copy to the nar	med person as follows:	
		on (date) ;	or
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:		
tendered to the w		States, or one of its officers or agents, I e, and the mileage allowed by law, in the	
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under p	enalty of perjury that this information i	is true.	
»:		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action(Page 3)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
 - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
 - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) **Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.** These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) *Documents*. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS TO ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

- 1. The term "communication" means the transmittal of information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise).
- 2. "Document" or "documents" shall have the broadest meaning ascribed to it by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and includes, without limitation electronically stored information ("ESI") (including, without limitation, electronic databases and the data therein, all electronic messages or communications, electronic word processing documents, electronically stored voicemail, webpages, and social media posts) in accordance with any order in this case governing ESI at the time of production. Different versions of the same documents, including, but not limited to, drafts or documents with handwritten notations or marks not found in the original or on other copies are different documents.
 - 3. "You", "you", "Your" and "your" means the Idaho Department of Education.
- 4. "Relating" or "related to" means and includes referring to, concerning, referencing, mentioning, associated with, constituting, discussing, containing, embodying, recording, reflecting, identifying, summarizing, incorporating, and/or dealing with or pertaining to in any way.
 - 5. "And" includes "or", and vice versa.
 - 6. The singular form of a word or term includes the plural, and vice versa.
 - 7. The present tense of a verb includes the past tense, and vice versa.
- 8. The use of the article "the" shall not be construed as limiting the scope of any request.
 - 9. "Including" means "including without limitation."

- 10. "Any" includes "all", and vice versa.
- 11. The capitalized version of a word or term includes the lower case version of a word or term, and vice versa.
- 12. "Social Media Platforms" or "Platforms" means a digital service that facilitates interactions between two or more distinct but interdependent sets of users, including but not limited to Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, YouTube, Twitter (or "X"), Pinterest, LinkedIn, BeReal, Lapse, Reddit, Threads, VSCO, Goodreads, Quora, Discord, Twitch, and Tumblr.
- 13. "Young Users" means users of Social Platforms who are under 18 years of age when using the Platform(s).

All words, terms, and phrases not specifically defined herein are to be given their normal and customary meaning in the context in which they are used in these Requests.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. These Requests are intended to cover any and all documents in your possession, custody, or control, to the extent not privileged. Documents held by any office, department, board, commission, or instrumentality of the Idaho Department of Education are within your possession, custody, or control.
- 2. In accordance with ESI Protocol attached hereto as Attachment B, if you object to furnishing any of the documents or information requested by these Requests on the grounds of attorney-client privilege, work product, other privilege, or otherwise then, with respect to each such document:
 - a. state the nature of the privilege claimed;
 - b. state the date of each communication supporting the privilege claim;
 - c. identify all participants in the supporting communication;
 - d. state the specific grounds on which the objection is based; and

- e. identify the specific request calling for such information;
- 2. If you object to any Request on the grounds that it is vague or ambiguous, state: (i) the portions or terms of such Request that you claim to be vague or ambiguous; and (ii) the interpretation of the Request pursuant to which you provide a response.
- 3. Each request shall be deemed continuing, so as to require supplemental or mandatory responses should you obtain additional responsive information subsequent to your initial responses.
- 4. If you cannot fully respond to a particular Request after exercising due diligence to make inquiry and to secure the necessary information, provide a response to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and providing whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the portion not responded to.
- 5. Each page of a produced document shall have a legible, unique page identifier ("Bates Number") and confidentiality legend (where applicable) on the face of the image at a location that does not obliterate, conceal, or interfere with any information from the source document. Redactions should be clearly marked or stamped on the page in such a way that it is clear from review that a portion of the image has been redacted.
- 6. All responsive and non-privileged electronic documents shall be produced in the format ordered by the Court in its ESI order.
- 7. All responsive electronic documents shall be produced in a format agreed upon by the parties, to include at least native versions of Excel spreadsheets and presentation files, with a Bates-stamped placeholder image.
- 8. Unless otherwise specified, the time period for these Requests is January 1, 2012 to the date of production of the Documents.

ATTACHMENT A

- 1. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of persons ages 13 to 18.
- 2. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Idaho Department of Education to encourage or promote the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 3. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Idaho Department of Education to address Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms, including any program, initiative, effort, or action to prevent, limit, encourage, or promote the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users.
- 4. Studies, programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Idaho Department of Education that sought to provide or promote internet access or phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices to Young Users.
- 5. Policies proposed, recommended, or enacted by the Idaho Department of Education regarding screen time and acceptable use of cell phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices by Young Users.
- 6. Complaints to the Idaho Department of Education by teachers or school districts regarding social media or cell phone use by Young Users and/or the need for acceptable use or other policies to address Young Users' use of social media or cell phones.
- 7. Complaints to the Idaho Department of Education by teachers or school districts regarding budget crises from inflation, underfunding, unfunded mandates, and other causes.
- 8. Documents related to state assessments in Idaho, including reports and analyses regarding the history of K-12 state assessment or standardized testing scores, performance by schools and/or school districts, and any other measures of school performance.
- 9. Idaho Department of Education's communications and/or collaboration with any Social Media Platform on any program, initiative or other action related to, or directed at, Young Users.
- 10. Idaho Department of Education's communications with any third party related to Young Users and/or Social Media Platforms.
- 11. Policies, procedures, and practices applicable to Idaho Department of Education and Idaho Department of Education employees regarding the use of Social Media Platforms, including any changes to these policies during the Relevant Period.

- 12. Legislation or policies proposed by, proposed on behalf of, or testified on by the Idaho Department of Education, regardless of whether such legislation or policies were enacted, regarding Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 13. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms, including the importance of Social Media Platforms and the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users and the potential benefits and harms created by the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 14. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms regarding the impact of social media on the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 15. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to:
 - a. Features of Social Media Platforms that are psychologically or physically harmful to Young Users;
 - b. Features of Social Media Platforms that promote compulsive, prolonged, or unhealthy use by Young Users;
 - c. The impact of features of Social Media Platforms, including:
 - (1) Algorithmic recommendation and sequencing;
 - (2) Image filters;
 - (3) Use of multiple user accounts;
 - (4) Infinite scroll;
 - (5) Ephemeral content features;
 - (6) Autoplay;
 - (7) Quantification and display of likes; or
 - (8) Audiovisual and haptic alerts.
- 16. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users, including those related to the effects of:
 - a. Social Media Platforms usage;
 - b. Video games usage;
 - c. Cell phone usage;
 - d. Messaging usage;
 - e. Usage of other electronics apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - f. COVID-19 and/or remote learning;
 - g. Use of drugs or alcohol;
 - h. The opioid and fentanyl epidemic;
 - i. Poverty, homelessness, lack of health insurance, and/or food insecurity;
 - j. Physical health including nutrition, exercise, weight management, sleeping habits, and sexual activity;
 - k. Family trauma or deaths;
 - 1. Academic pressure;
 - m. Violence, including school violence, domestic violence, gun violence, gun control and/or mass shootings;

- n. Bullying or verbal abuse apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
- o. Political polarization;
- p. Natural disasters;
- q. Climate change;
- r. Discrimination and inequity;
- s. Global warfare and conflict; and
- t. Any other potential causes of harms to the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 17. Youth Risk Behavior Surveys conducted by the Idaho Department of Education or on its behalf.
- 18. Public or non-public meetings held by the Idaho Department of Education related to Social Media Platforms and use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users, including notices, meeting notes, PowerPoint presentations, internal memoranda, summaries, personal notes, or attendee lists.
- 19. Mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health services provided by the Idaho Department of Education to Young Users during the Relevant Period.
- 20. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Idaho Department of Education during the Relevant Period related to Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 21. Policies, procedures, and practices related to the Idaho Department of Education's use of Social Media Platforms, including communication of information or promotion of Idaho Department of Education programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions on Social Media Platforms.
- 22. Idaho Department of Education's budget and actual expenditures during the Relevant Period, including expenditures on:
 - a. Digital advertisements by the Idaho Department of Education on Social Media Platforms
 - b. Digital advertisements by the Idaho Department of Education purchased through Social Media Platforms.

ATTACHMENT B

Ca	se 4a2e 141.02.030004-10.3004 R-Y CEP OC Droeuntne08.05-5 0	Filed 03/1/23/1/24 Pagae 4 1055 0 f 436	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	IN THE UNITED STATE		
8	IN RE: Social Media Adolescent	MDL No. 3047	
9	Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability	Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR	
10	Litigation	Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers	
11	This document relates to: ALL ACTIONS	Honorable Peter H. Kang	
12		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE	
13		PRODUCTION OF	
14		ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND HARD COPY	
15		DOCUMENTS	
16			
17	1. PURPOSE		
18	This Order Governing the Production of E	lectronically Stored Information and Hard Copy	
19	Documents ("ESI Order") will govern discovery of	of electronically stored information and any hard	
20	copy documents in this Litigation as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this		
21	District's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other		
22	applicable orders and rules. "This Litigation" incl	udes all actions currently in MDL No. 3047, In	
23	Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal	Injury Products Liability, or hereafter added or	
24	transferred to MDL No. 3047, and all actions later	remanded to their respective transferor courts.	

2. **DEFINITIONS**

25

26

27

28

a) "Document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and shall include Hard-Copy Documents and ESI.

- 1
- 3

- 5
- 7

8

- 9
- 1011
- 12
- 13

14

- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 2122
- 23
- 24
- 2526
- 27
- 28

- b) "Electronically stored information" or "ESI," as used herein has the same meaning as in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34.
- c) "Hard-Copy Document" means Documents existing in paper form at the time of collection.
- d) "Searchable Text" means the native text extracted from an Electronic Document and any Optical Character Recognition text ("OCR text") generated from a Hard-Copy Document or electronic image.

3. COOPERATION

The Parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout this Litigation consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI and this Court's Rules of Professional Conduct. The Parties will endeavor to cooperate in good faith and be reasonably transparent in all aspects of the discovery process.

4. LIAISON

The Parties will identify Discovery Liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Any Party is free to change their designated Discovery Liaison by providing written notice to the other Parties. Each Party's Discovery Liaison must: (a) be prepared to meet and confer on discovery-related matters and to participate in discovery dispute resolution; (b) be knowledgeable about the Party's discovery efforts; (c) be, or have reasonable access to those who are, familiar with the Party's electronic systems and capabilities in order to explain those systems and answer relevant questions; and (d) be, or have reasonable access to those who are knowledgeable about the technical aspects of discovery, including electronic document storage, organization, and format issues, and relevant information retrieval technology, including search methodology.

5. IDENTIFICATION OF CUSTODIANS AND DATA SOURCES

The parties will disclose information about custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources likely to possess relevant or responsive information in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and this District's ESI Guidelines. The Parties will participate in Rule 26(f) discussions guided by this District's Checklist for Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer Regarding Electronically

Stored Information. After responses to Requests for Production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 have been served, the parties will meet and confer regarding those custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources from which Documents and ESI will be collected for search and review for potential production in this litigation. The custodian and data source exchanges will include brief explanations of the rationale for their selections; for example, for custodians, their current job titles and descriptions of their work, and for data sources, location information and description.

6. INACCESSIBLE OR UNUSABLE ESI

2.1

If a Producing Party asserts that certain requested ESI is inaccessible or not "reasonably accessible," otherwise unnecessary, or if the Receiving Party asserts that, following production, certain ESI is not reasonably useable, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss resolving such assertions. The parties will exchange sufficient information to enable the parties to confer in good faith. If the parties cannot resolve any such disputes after such a meet and confer has taken place, the issue shall be presented to the Court for resolution.

7. KNOWN RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS

Documents or ESI identified in a custodial or non-custodial file, or in a discrete folder or collection, that are known to a Producing Party through reasonable investigation to be responsive to a discovery request shall be collected for review without regard to whether the responsive content was located via any search methodology developed in accordance with this Order, and nothing about such review shall prevent the Producing Party from redacting or withholding and logging such documents for applicable privileges.

8. SEARCH METHODOLOGIES

The Parties shall adopt reasonable and proportionate methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce ESI as required under applicable legal standards. The Parties recognize and agree that each Party may use one or more methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-privileged ESI, including the use of keyword search terms and/or the use of technology assisted review ("TAR") as discussed further herein. The Parties further recognize that different data sets may implicate different methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-

2.1

privileged ESI. The Parties therefore agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding any potential disputes over their respective ESI productions.

9. HIT REPORTS AND SEARCH TERMS

If a Producing Party uses search terms to identify, search, or cull potentially responsive ESI, the Producing Party shall disclose the search terms to the Requesting Party. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over the disclosed search terms. In the event that a Producing Party claims burden with respect to modified and/or additional search terms proposed by a Requesting Party, the Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the terms at issue using industry-standard processing tools, such as NUIX or other similar tools. The Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the document collection where the terms were applied, including the following with respect to each proposed or modified search term in the collection:

- a) The number of documents with hits for that term; and
- b) The number of unique documents, i.e., documents which do not have hits for any other term.

If, after reviewing a hit report from a Producing Party, a Requesting Party so chooses, it may reasonably request a further hit report which includes:

- c) The number of family members, including the documents with hits, of the documents with hits for that term; and
- d) The number of unique family members of the documents with hits for that term.

If the ESI tool for the Producing Party is capable without undue burden of providing the number of family members and unique family members, then the Producing Party shall provide such further hit report. The Parties (including the person most knowledgeable about the capabilities of the Producing Party's ESI tool and the Requesting Party's person most knowledgeable about technical issues from its ESI service provider) shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over whether the Producing Party's ESI tool has the capability or not to provider either number of family members or number of unique family members.

The Parties shall meet and confer to resolve disagreements over the search terms or their application. To the extent the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the application of, or

2.1

procedures for, any search or filtering processes, the Parties shall fully comply with the provisions of this Court's Discovery Standing Order regarding the procedure for raising discovery disputes with the Court, including the meet and confer and certification requirements therein.

10. TECHNOLOGY ASSISTED REVIEW (TAR)

A Producing Party may use TAR (technology-assisted review) during the culling and review process of ESI, which may be applied in addition to search terms. If a Producing Party uses TAR to cull, filter out, or exclude documents from that Party's production, that Producing Party shall do the following:

- If a Producing Party chooses to apply both search terms and TAR to a review set, the Producing Party will disclose the search terms to all other Party/Parties.
- Disclose the name of the TAR tool or service used to all other Party/Parties.

11. VALIDATION

Each Producing Party shall take reasonable steps to validate its review process (i.e., using quality control measures to determine whether its production is missing relevant ESI or contains substantial amounts of irrelevant ESI) and make any necessary adjustments or corrections to its process. If, after reviewing a Producing Party's production, a Requesting Party reasonably requests additional information regarding the validation method(s) used by the Producing Party, the Producing Party will disclose the level of end-to-end recall (the percentage of responsive Documents in the collection which were identified as responsive by that Producing Party's methodology). If there remain disputes between the Parties regarding validation, the Parties shall meet and confer to resolve such disputes in good faith, including a reasonable discussion regarding the tool used and the parameters used to obtain or calculate the level of recall.

12. UNSEARCHABLE DOCUMENTS

The Producing Party shall use reasonable efforts to identify and review potentially responsive documents for which text-based search technologies are fundamentally ineffective.

13. SYSTEM FILES

Each Party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application executable files using the national software reference library ("NSRL") NIST hash set list. The

Parties also may filter out stand-alone files identified as zero bytes in size. Additional culling of file types based on file header information may be applied to the following, provided these files are not known to be otherwise attached, embedded in, or included with an otherwise responsive document, or are not themselves reasonably known to contain information responsive or contain responsive data or are used to interface with users or interact with or access individual or aggregated user data: Application Package File, Backup Files, Batch Files, Binary Disc Image, C++ File Formats, Cascading Style Sheet, Configuration File, Database File, Dictionary Files, Dynamic Link Library, Event Log Files, Executable Files, Hypertext Cascading Stylesheet, Java Archive Files, JavaScript Files, JavaScript Source Code and Class Files, Macintosh Resource Fork Files, Package Manager Files, Program Files, Program Installers, Python Script Files, Shell Script Files, System or Temporary Files, Thumbnail Cache Files, Troff Files, Truetype Font Files, Windows Cabinet File, Windows Command Files, Windows File Shortcut, Windows Help Files, Windows Metafiles and Enhanced Metafiles, Windows Spool Files, Windows System File.

14. **DEDUPLICATION**

2.1

Each Producing Party shall make reasonable efforts to globally deduplicate exact duplicate Documents within that Producing Party's ESI data set across all custodial and non-custodial sources at the family level using either MD5 hash values or SHA hash values or any other agreed-upon (and disclosed) industry-standard deduplication technology. The Parties shall reach agreement on such other deduplication technology and shall reach agreement on how their deduplication tools shall identify exact duplicates of documents in a manner that is consistent with the disclosed tools and technologies a Producing Party is using. The Parties shall reach agreement on how to identify exact duplicates of emails using industry-standard commercially available software tools or services, which may for example calculate hash values of emails based on concatenated values of agreed-upon email fields and/or hash values of attachments, or which may use any other method the Parties agree upon. Having further met and conferred in keeping with the previous sentence, the Parties shall calculate deduplication hash values for emails on the concatenated values set forth in and in accordance with the documentation specifications of the disclosed deduplication tools to be used in this Litigation (i.e., RelativityOne, Relativity Server,

and Nuix); however, if Nuix is used, the Producing Party shall select the optional field "Include Bcc" as an additional field to add to the default ones.

The Parties shall not withhold from production near-duplicates without meeting and conferring on this issue.

The names of all custodians who were either identified as custodians for purposes of collection for this matter (or otherwise known by the Producing Party to have been in possession or custody of a document prior to deduplication) will be populated in the ALL CUSTODIANS metadata field for the produced version of a document that has duplicates removed from production. The original file paths (if any exist) of a document prior to deduplication will be populated in the ALL FILE PATHS metadata field of the produced document.

15. EMAIL THREADING

2.1

The Parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and shall produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members. Where multiple email messages are part of a single chain or "thread," a Party is only required to produce the most inclusive copy of an email message(s) ("Last In Time Email(s)") and need not produce earlier, lesser inclusive email messages or "thread members," provided that the tool or software service being used to perform threading is disclosed. A Producing Party may exclude from production lesser inclusive copies of the most inclusive email message in the thread, where the entire body of each of those lesser inclusive copies is included within the Last in Time Email. The Producing Party will honor reasonable requests to produce lesser inclusive copies of a Last In Time Email or other earlier chains of emails otherwise excluded by email thread suppression.

The Parties shall treat new or different email chains or threads pursuant to further agreement on safeguards or guidelines for defining emails threads which reasonably take into account the capabilities of the email threading/dethreading/hyperthreading tools used by each Defendant (which shall be disclosed to Plaintiffs).

16. SOURCE CODE

The Parties will meet and confer to address the production and/or inspection of source code and entering into a separate order governing the same if needed.

17. PRODUCTION FORMATS

2.1

The Parties agree to produce documents and data in the formats described in **Appendix 1** to this ESI Order. If particular documents or categories of documents identified in response to document requests warrant a different format, the Parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The Parties further agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

In addition, the Parties agree that the production and production format of social media and/or user account information is not covered by this agreement and to meet and confer on the production and production format, including metadata, for social media and/or user account information.

18. PHASING

Once the Parties begin propounding discovery requests pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the Parties agree to meet and confer regarding appropriate phasing for the production of ESI.

19. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- a) Production of Plaintiffs ESI and Case-Specific Materials. Subject to any further agreement among the Parties or Order of the Court, the Parties shall produce case-specific documents (i.e., documents specific to the claim of a given Plaintiff, produced by Plaintiffs or Defendants) for any Plaintiff in discovery pools or other selections designed to inform bellwether selection, including those selected for a bellwether trial, in accordance with the production format specified herein, provided, however, that the Producing Party may elect to produce such materials in their native format. To the extent production of case-specific documents for any Plaintiff selected for a bellwether trial presents an issue for any Party, the Parties shall reasonably confer, and may present any disputes to the Court or its designee. The Parties shall further agree to confer concerning the production format and associated matters (e.g., hosting platform) for case-specific documents produced in the cases of other Plaintiffs.

 Nothing herein shall limit Defendant's right to seek discovery from any Plaintiff.
- b) Translations Of Produced Materials. The Producing Party has no obligation to

create a translation of the Documents or any portion thereof. For any foreign-language documents responsive to document requests that a Party reasonably knows as the result of a reasonable investigation have been translated into the English language using human translators or through machine translation for its own purposes, except to the extent such translation is protected by attorney-client or work-product privileges, the Producing Party shall produce the translation of the original document with the original. The parties will meet and confer as necessary concerning procedures for using translations at depositions and at trial. In the event the Parties cannot reach agreement, the matter may be submitted to the Court for determination.

- c) Third-Party Documents. A Party that issues a Non-Party subpoena ("Issuing Party") shall include a copy of this Order with the subpoena and state that (1) the subpoenaed Non-Party should produce Documents in response to the subpoena to all Parties; and (2) the Parties to this Litigation have requested that Third Parties produce Documents in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. If the subpoenaed Non-Party produces Documents to the Issuing Party but does not produce those Documents to other Parties, the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to those other Parties within 14 days of receiving the Documents, except where the Documents are to be used in a deposition, in which case the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to all other Parties no later than three (3) days prior to the deposition, or as soon as reasonably practicable if such production occurs thereafter. Nothing in this Order is intended or may be interpreted to narrow, expand, or otherwise affect the rights of the Parties or Third Parties to object to a subpoena. If the Non-Party production is not Bates-stamped, the Parties will meet and confer to agree upon a format for designating the documents with a unique Bates Number prefix.
- d) <u>Documents Produced by Parties Presumption of Authenticity</u>. In order to reduce the number of requests for admission, this Order establishes a rebuttable presumption that documents produced by the Parties are authentic, if said documents were either created or authored by the producing Party, or any of its employees, agents, or

contractors, so long as the employees', agents', or contractors' work was performed in connection with a project or assignment sponsored by the producing Party. No further evidence to establish authenticity need be provided. Nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to waive any other evidentiary objection a party may have, including but not limited to hearsay, foundation/personal knowledge, or relevance.

- e) **Re-productions.** Notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary, re-production of discrete sets of documents from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter may be re-produced in the same manner and form as originally produced in the other matter, provided however that a party will re-produce documents in a different format for good cause shown. This provision does not waive the right of a party to object to any requests for reproduction of production files from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter.
- f) <u>Modification</u>. This ESI Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown.
- g) <u>Good Faith</u>. The Parties will act in good faith as required by law and use these procedures to identify and reduce the potential for disputes.
- h) <u>Continuing Obligations</u>. The Parties recognize that discovery shall be an iterative and cooperative process. The Parties will continue to meet and confer regarding any issues as reasonably necessary and appropriate. This Order does not address or resolve any objections to the Parties' respective discovery requests.
- i) <u>Reservation of Rights</u>. The Parties agree that any topic not addressed herein is neither a waiver nor acknowledgement of agreement by either Party.
- IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

1	DATED: March 15, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		<u>/s/ Lexi J. Hazam</u> LEXI J. HAZAM
3		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
4		BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 BATTERY STREET, 29TH FLOOR
5		SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339
6		Telephone: 415-956-1000 lhazam@lchb.com
7		
8		PREVIN WARREN
		MOTLEY RICE LLC 401 9th Street NW Suite 630
9		Washington DC 20004
10		T: 202-386-9610
11		pwarren@motleyrice.com
12		Co-Lead Counsel
13		CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
1.4		SEEGER WEISS, LLP
14		55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6TH FLOOR RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660
15		Telephone: 973-639-9100
16		Facsimile: 973-679-8656
10		cseeger@seegerweiss.com
17		Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel
18		JENNIE I EE ANDERGON
19		JENNIE LEE ANDERSON ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
20		155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900
		SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
21		Telephone: 415-986-1400 jennie@andrusanderson.com
22		
23		Liaison Counsel
24		MATTHEW BERGMAN GLENN DRAPER
25		SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER
26		821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100 SEATTLE, WA 98104
27		Telephone: 206-741-4862
		matt@socialmediavictims.org glenn@socialmediavictims.org
28		

1	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2	By: <u>/s/ Stephanie Schuster</u> Stephanie Schuster (pro hac vice)
3	stephanie.schuster@morganlewis.com 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
4	NW Washington, DC 20004-2541
5	Tel.: 202.373.6595
6	Yardena R. Zwang-Weissman (SBN 247111) yardena.zwang-weissman@morganlewis.com
7	300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
8	Tel.: 213.612.7238
9	Brian Ercole (pro hac vice)
10	<u>brian.ercole@morganlewis.com</u> 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600
11	Miami, FL 33131-3075 Tel.: 305.415.3416
12	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and
13	Google LLC
14	
1.	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Agreement is approved.
15	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Agreement is approved.
	Dated: March 18, 2024
15	
15 16 17 18	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024

CaseCatale-4rtile0300407310457RYGRodDorocemto4.003695 Fffitheld03712842244 Patgragte91.071330f 436

APPENDIX 1: PRODUCTION FORMAT

- 1) **Production Components.** Except as otherwise provided below, ESI must be produced in accordance with the following specifications:
 - a) an ASCII delimited data file (.DAT) using standard delimiters;
 - b) an image load file (.OPT) that can be loaded into commercially acceptable production software (e.g. Concordance);
 - c) single page black-and-white TIFF images, or JPEG images when color is specified herein, or native files with single page placeholder TIFF images depending on the applicable production format for each type of file;
 - d) and document level .TXT files for all documents containing extracted full text or OCR text.
 - e) Family relationships between emails and their attachments, embedded files and their source document, and connected hardcopy documents will be maintained in production. Attachments should be consecutively produced with their parent.
 - f) If a particular document warrants a different production format, the Parties will cooperate in good faith to arrange for a mutually acceptable production format.
- 2) Production Media and Access Controls. Productions must be encrypted and produced through secure electronic means, such as secure file sharing methods (e.g. FTP), or on CD, DVD, flash drive or external hard drive ("Production Media"). Each piece of Production Media must identify a production number corresponding to the production volume (e.g. "VOL001"). Each piece of Production Media must also identify: (a) the case caption; (b) the following label: "This media contains material subject to Court Ordered security measures"; (c) the Producing Party's name; (d) the production date; (e) the Bates Number range of the materials contained on the Production Media.

Nothing in this ESI Order will preclude or impair any and all protections provided the Parties by any Protective Order(s) agreed and entered into by the Parties. Parties will use best efforts to avoid the unnecessary copying or transmittal of produced documents. If questions arise, the Parties will meet and confer to ensure security concerns are addressed prior to the exchange of

any documents.

- aproduction sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents in the image load file should match the image load file must match the corresponding of the production. The total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of TIFF files in the production. All images must be assigned a unique Bates number that is sequential within a given document and across the production sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents' beginning Bates numbers in the data load file. The total number of documents in a production should match the total number of records in the data load file. Load files must not vary in format or structure within a production, or from one production to another except by agreement of the Parties.
- 4) Metadata Fields. Each of the metadata and coding fields set forth below that can be extracted should be produced for each document, except that if the field contains privileged information, that privileged information may be redacted. However, to the extent that metadata does not exist, is not available for any documents produced, this provision shall not be read to require any Party to extract, capture, collect, manually populate, or produce such metadata, with the exception of the following: (a) BEGBATES, (b) ENDBATES, (c) BEGATTACH, (d) ENDATTACH, (e) PRODVOL, (f) ALL CUSTODIANS, (g) CONFIDENTIALITY, (h) REDACTIONS, (i) REDACTION TYPE, (j) HASHVALUE, (k) NATIVEFILEPATH, and (l) TEXTFILEPATH, which should be populated by the Party or the Party's vendor. The Parties will make reasonable efforts to ensure that metadata fields automatically extracted from the documents correspond directly to the information that exists in the original documents.

Field Name	Field Description
BEGBATES	Beginning Bates number for a particular document as stamped on the first production image for that document
ENDBATES	Ending Bates number as stamped on the last

Field Name	Field Description
	production image for a particular document
BEGATTACH	First production Bates number of the first document in a family
ENDATTACH	Last production Bates number of the last document in a family
ATTACHCOUNT	Number of attachments to an e-mail or embedded parent, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
ATTACHNAMES	Names of each individual Attachment, separated by semicolons.
PRODVOL	Production volume
ALLCUSTODIANS	List of all custodians associated with Document, i.e. "Custodian" + "Other Custodian" values delimited by semicolon.
ALLFILEPATHS	All original path(s) to the individual source file(s) when available in the ordinary course of business to and processable by the parties and their ESI vendors of choice. Includes path up to and including internal path of containers.
CONFIDENTIALITY	Confidentiality designation assigned to the document consistent with the Stipulated Protective Order governing this Litigation
NATIVEFILEPATH	Native File Link (Native Files only)
TEXTFILEPATH	Path to extracted text/OCR file for the document
HASHVALUE	MD5 hash value of the document
DOCUMENT TYPE	Descriptor for the type of document: "E-document" for electronic documents not attached to e-mails; "E-mail" for all e-mails; "E-attachment" for files that were attachments to e-mails; and "Physical" for hard copy physical documents that have been scanned and converted to an electronic image.
AUTHOR	Any value populated in the Author field of the

Field Name	Field Description
	document properties
DOCDATE	Date the document was created according filesystem information (format: MM/DD/YYYY)
DATELASTMODIFIED	Date when document was last modified according to filesystem information (forma MM/DD/YYYY)
LAST MODIFIED BY	Last person who modified (saved) a document, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
TRACK CHANGES	Y if a document with track changes value, otherwise N or empty, if available separate from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
COMMENTS	Y if a document with comments, otherwise or empty, if available separately from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
HASHIDDENDATA	Y if a document with hidden content value otherwise N or empty
FILENAME	Filename of an electronic document
TITLE	Any value populated in the Title field of the document properties
DOCEXT	File extension of document pulled from the document properties
FROM	The sender of the email
ТО	All recipients that were included on the "T line of the email
CC	All recipients that were included on the "C line of the email
BCC	All recipients that were included on the "BCC" line of the email
DATETIMERECEIVED	Date and time email was received (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)
DATETIMESENT	Date and time email was sent (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)

Field Name	Field Description
TIMEZONE	The timezone used to process the document
EMAILSUBJECT	Subject line of email pulled from the document properties
THREADID	If a threading tool is used for emails, the thread id generated by the threading tool.
REDACTION TYPE ¹	General category of redaction reason as agreed to by the parties. For example, PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV. If more than one, separate reasons by semicolons.
REDACTIONS	Y if a document is redacted, otherwise N or empty

- 5) TIFFs. Unless excepted below, single page, black and white, Group IV TIFFs should be provided, at least 300 dots per inch (dpi) for all documents. Each TIFF image must be named according to a unique corresponding Bates number associated with the document. Each image must be branded according to the Bates number and the agreed upon confidentiality designation. Original document orientation should be maintained (i.e., portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape). Where the TIFF image is unreadable or has materially degraded the quality of the original, the Producing Party shall provide a higher quality TIFF image or the native or original file. In addition, the Parties shall take reasonable efforts to process word processing documents (e.g., MS Word) with track changes and/or comments unhidden on the TIFF image.
- 6) Color. Word processing documents containing tracked changes shall be produced in color, as single-page, 300 dpi JPG images with JPG compression and a high quality setting as to not degrade the original image. The Producing Party shall comply with good faith by the Requesting Party to provide replacement color images for documents originally produced in black and white. A Party making such a request shall make the request by individual Bates

¹ The Parties will provide specific information on any privilege(s) asserted in their privilege logs, in an overlay, or the redaction boxes. The "Redaction Reason" field is informational only for redaction type and shall not in any way limit a party's right to assert attorney-client privilege, attorney-work product privilege, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

23

24

25

26

27

28

number(s) and shall limit requests made pursuant to this paragraph to a reasonable number of documents.

- **7**) **Text Files.** A single multi-page text file must be provided for each document, and the filename should match its respective TIFF filename for the first page of that document. Extracted text shall be generated with commercially acceptable technology set to include all comments, revisions, tracked changes, speaker's notes and text from documents with comments or tracked changes, and hidden and very hidden worksheets, slides, columns and rows. When possible, the text of native files should be extracted directly from the native file. Parties will perform optical character recognition ("OCR") on foreign language documents using the appropriate settings for languages reasonably anticipated to be in the production following a meet and confer with the Requesting Party to identify those languages. Text files will not contain the redacted portions of the documents. A commercially acceptable technology for OCR should be used for all scanned, hard copy documents and for documents with redactions other than Excel files and other spreadsheets which shall be redacted in native format. Text extracted from emails should include the following header information where available: (1) the individuals to whom the communication was directed ("To"), (2) the author of the email communication ("From"), (3) who was copied and blind copied on such email ("CC" and "BCC"), (4) the subject line of the email ("RE" or "Subject"), and (5) the date and time of the email. To the extent the text extraction technology the Parties are using can be configured to include the text of any URLs or links, the Parties shall utilize that setting.
- Native files. Spreadsheets (*e.g.* MS Excel), and un-redacted presentations (e.g. Microsoft PowerPoint,) will be produced in native format to the extent that they are produced in this Litigation, audio, video, and multi-media files will be produced in native format. The Parties will meet and confer on the production of other file types, such as proprietary files, etc. Native files will be produced with a link in the NATIVEFILEPATH field, along with extracted text (where extracted text is available) and applicable metadata fields set forth in paragraph 4 above. A Bates numbered TIFF placeholder indicating that the document was provided in native format must accompany every native file. Where redaction makes production of native-format

files other than spreadsheets or presentations infeasible, the Parties will confer to determine a reasonably usable form for the production, but spreadsheets shall presumptively be redacted in native, and presentations presumptively redacted in image form, in these cases without the need for further conferring.

- hardcopy will be scanned to *.tiff image format and produced in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. Hard copy documents that are not text-searchable shall be made searchable by OCR prior to production. In scanning paper documents, distinct documents should not be merged into a single record, and single documents should not be split into multiple records (i.e., paper documents should be logically unitized). In the case of an organized compilation of separate documents (for example, a binder containing several separate documents behind numbered tabs), the document behind each tab should be scanned separately, but the relationship among the documents in the compilation should be reflected in the proper coding of the beginning and ending document and attachment fields. The Parties will make their best efforts to unitize the documents correctly. If relevant documents are maintained in a file, folder, envelope, binder, notebook or similar container used to store documents, all contents therein shall be reviewed for production and privilege. Document pages which have affixed notes, such as Post-it notes, should be imaged with and without the note attached.
- **10)** Confidentiality Designation. All images will be stamped with the appropriate confidentiality designations in accordance with the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this Litigation. Each document produced in native format will have its confidentiality designation identified in the filename of the native file and indicated on its corresponding TIFF placeholder.
- 11) Bates Numbering. Bates numbering should be a consistent length across the production, contain no special characters, and be numerically sequential within a given document. If a Bates number or set of Bates numbers is skipped, the skipped number or set of numbers should be noted with a placeholder. Attachments to documents will be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents to which they were attached.

In addition, wherever possible, each image will have its assigned Bates number electronically "burned" onto the image.

- information is stored in a database, or database management system, or proprietary system or application which has is directed to data storage as one of its primary functions, the Producing Party will identify the database and platform to the Requesting Party. The Producing Party shall produce exports and reports about such responsive information stored in such database, where such exports and reports shall be in a reasonably usable form, and information may be produced in CSV format, tab-delimited text format, Microsoft Excel format, or Microsoft Access format. If there are future disputes over the production of information from a database, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to reach any further agreements (if needed) on the data to be produced and the format and scope of the production. The Producing Party will provide reasonable amounts of information about the databases to facilitate that discussion.
- inside a responsive document (including hyperlinked inside emails) within a Producing Party's custody, possession, or control, do not need to be produced in the first instance as part of the same family group as the Document residing at the location to which that hyperlink points. If there are particular hyperlinks identified by the Requesting Party in produced documents, the Requesting Party may submit a list of hyperlinks to a particular Producing Party for potentially relevant documents by identifying the Bates number and URL or link text for each requested link to the Producing Party, and the Producing Party will engage in reasonable efforts to locate the hyperlinked document at that location and either identify it by Bates number or provide any responsive, non-produced, and non-privileged documents. The number of hyperlinks a Requesting Party may identify to a Producing Party shall not be excessive and shall be reasonable, proportional to the needs of the case, and not unduly burdensome.
- **14) Embedded Objects.** The Parties agree non-substantive embedded objects, including, but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons, and footers, may be culled from a document set (but not a document) and need not be produced as separate documents by a

Producing Party (i.e., such embedded objects will be produced within the document itself, rather than as separate documents). Embedded files, except for images (including but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons), are to be produced as family groups. Embedded files should be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents within which they are embedded.

- **15) Production of Family Groups and Relationships**. If any member of a family group is produced, all members of that group must also be produced or else logged as privileged, and no such member shall be withheld from production as a duplicate.
- **16) Dynamic Fields**. Documents with dynamic fields for file names, dates, and times will be imaged to show the field code (e.g., "[FILENAME]") where possible, rather than the values for such fields existing at the time the file is processed.
- 17) Time Zone. The time zone used to process a document, including its metadata, shall be disclosed in the TIMEZONE metadata field consistent with Appendix 1, and shall be standardized for each Defendant across productions.

18) Redactions.

- a) A Producing Party may redact (i) information subject to the attorney client privilege or the work product protection (PRIV); (ii) information that cannot be disclosed pursuant to the Stored Communications Act (SCA); (iii) source code subject to separate agreement applicable to production of source code (CODE); (iv) personal identifying information (PII) including phone numbers, personal addresses, personal email addresses, the month and day of birth, driver's license numbers, and other PII agreed to by the Parties (for example, the Parties shall complete their meet and confer on whether or not to redact users' ages and years of birth). In any event, there shall be no redaction of illnesses, injuries, and medical diagnoses. To the extent a document or pleading contains PII, the Parties shall designate such documents at the appropriate Confidentiality level under the Protective Order and shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 with regard to filings with the Court.
- b) No redactions for relevance may be made within a produced document or ESI item. If, during the course of discovery, the Parties identify other kinds of information that any STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF ESI & HARD COPY DOCUMENTS 9 3:22-MD-03047-YGR; MDL NO. 3047

Party has a reasonable basis for redacting, the Parties will meet and confer on a case-by-case basis regarding that information before such redactions are made. If the Parties cannot agree, they may seek resolution from the Court.

- c) The Producing Party will indicate, on the face of the redaction, the asserted reason(s) for the redaction (PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV) and the REDACTION TYPE metadata field shall indicate that the document contains redactions and the reason(s) for the redaction.
- d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, this provision shall not be read to prohibit redactions permitted under any applicable U.S. law or Protective Order.
- e) Where a responsive document contains both redacted and non-redacted content, the Parties shall produce the non-redacted portions of the document and the OCR text corresponding to the non-redacted portions.
- f) Native Redactions. Spreadsheet files requiring redaction, including without limitation Microsoft Excel files, shall be redacted and produced natively (unless the Parties agree to production in some other format). In addition, a Producing Party may natively redact other files that cannot be properly imaged for redaction.
- g) All images of redacted files shall be processed to show all comments, revision marks, speaker notes, marks made in track changes, or other user-entered data which are visible in a normal view of the document in its native application, unless such material is redacted and marked as redacted in accordance with this section. Where possible, any occurrences of date/time auto-field items, including in headers and footers, will be removed and replaced with the term AUTODATE to prevent the current date from being printed. Email header information (e.g., date and/or subject line) shall not be redacted unless it is independently privileged. The Parties shall honor reasonable requests for the production of particular redacted documents in other formats where the image is not reasonably usable.
- h) Color. Redacted versions of documents that would have been produced in color in their un-redacted form shall be produced in color as detailed herein.

) **Exception Files**. The Parties will use reasonable efforts to address processing exceptions.

data that can reasonably be extracted and produced in the formats described herein is identified on a mobile or handheld device, that data shall be produced in accordance with the generic provisions of this protocol. To the extent that responsive data identified on a mobile or handheld device is not susceptible to normal production protocols, the Parties will meet and confer to address the identification, production, and production format of any responsive documents and data contained on any mobile or handheld device.

COVINGTON

BEIJING BOSTON BRUSSELS DUBAI FRANKFURT JOHANNESBURG LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK PALO ALTO SAN FRANCISCO SEOUL SHANGHAI WASHINGTON Covington & Burling LLP Salesforce Tower 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533 T +1 415 591 6000

July 24, 2024

DELIVERED VIA PROCESS SERVER

Idaho Health & Welfare Department 450 W. State Street Boise, ID 83702

Re: People of the State of California v. Meta Platforms, Inc., 4:23-cv-05448

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find a subpoena being served upon you in connection with the above-captioned litigation currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. I represent Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc. and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, the "Meta Defendants") in this matter.

The document subpoena requests the production of specific documents identified in the attached subpoena (see Attachment A to the subpoena), which are requested to be produced by 10:00 a.m. on August 23, 2024. We are willing to work with you on the time, date, location, method and manner of document production, as well as answer any questions or concerns you may have regarding subpoena compliance. It is sufficient compliance with this subpoena if you electronically transmit a copy of the requested documents to the undersigned. As such, please contact me at kpatchen@cov.com and 415-591-6031, to discuss document production and subpoena compliance, unless you are already represented by counsel in connection with this matter.

The Court is currently considering whether the Idaho Attorney General's Office has control over Idaho Health & Welfare Department's information for the purposes of discovery in this action. If the Court rules that the Idaho Attorney General's Office has control over Idaho Health & Welfare Department's information, the Idaho Attorney General has pending discovery requests that seek this agency's documents. By serving this subpoena, Meta does not waive its position in the state agency dispute, nor does Meta in any way waive rights to compel the Idaho Attorney General to produce Idaho Health & Welfare Department information as part of discovery in this action. However, to avoid further delay in the production of information from the Idaho Health & Welfare Department, Meta is serving the attached subpoena to request the timely production of this information.

Your anticipated time and assistance with this matter is greatly appreciated.

COVINGTON

Page 2

Best regards,

/s/ Kate Patchen

Kate Patchen

Enclosed: Subpoena, Attachment A, and Attachment B

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

United States District Court

for the

Northern District of California

Northern Di	strict of Camornia		
IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONA INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	AL)		
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: People of the State of California, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al., Case No.: 4:23-cv-05448)) Civil Action))	on No.	4:22-MD-03047-YGR MDL No. 3047
SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCU OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION			
To: Idaho Health & Welfare Department 450 W. State Street, Boise, ID 83702			
(Name of person to	o whom this subpoena is	directed)	
documents, electronically stored information, or objects, material: See Attachment A.	and to permit inspe	ction, co	pying, testing, or sampling of the
Place:	Date and	Time:	
	Augu	st 23, 20	024, 10:00 a.m.
Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANI other property possessed or controlled by you at the time may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample Place:	, date, and location	set forth y design	below, so that the requesting party
The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 ar Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences Date:07/24/2024	t to a subpoena; and		
CLERK OF COURT			
	OR		
			/s/ Ashley Simonsen
Signature of Clerk or Deputy	Clerk		Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone numbe	r of the attorney rep	resentin	g (name of party)
Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payı Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC,	ments, Inc. and		or requests this subpoena, are:
Ashley Simonsen, Covington & Burling LLP, 1999 Avenue of the	e Stars, Los Angeles,	CA 9006	7, 424-332-4782, asimonsen@cov.com

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 4:23-cv-05448

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this su	abpoena for (name of individual and title, if a	uny)	
(date)	·		
☐ I served the s	ubpoena by delivering a copy to the na	med person as follows:	
		on (date) ;	or
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:		
		d States, or one of its officers or agents, I be, and the mileage allowed by law, in the	
\$	·		
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under p	penalty of perjury that this information	is true.	
e:			
		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action(Page 3)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
 - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
 - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) *Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.* These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS TO ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

- 1. The term "communication" means the transmittal of information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise).
- 2. "Document" or "documents" shall have the broadest meaning ascribed to it by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and includes, without limitation electronically stored information ("ESI") (including, without limitation, electronic databases and the data therein, all electronic messages or communications, electronic word processing documents, electronically stored voicemail, webpages, and social media posts) in accordance with any order in this case governing ESI at the time of production. Different versions of the same documents, including, but not limited to, drafts or documents with handwritten notations or marks not found in the original or on other copies are different documents.
 - 3. "You", "you", "Your" and "your" means the Idaho Health & Welfare Department.
- 4. "Relating" or "related to" means and includes referring to, concerning, referencing, mentioning, associated with, constituting, discussing, containing, embodying, recording, reflecting, identifying, summarizing, incorporating, and/or dealing with or pertaining to in any way.
 - 5. "And" includes "or", and vice versa.
 - 6. The singular form of a word or term includes the plural, and vice versa.
 - 7. The present tense of a verb includes the past tense, and vice versa.
- 8. The use of the article "the" shall not be construed as limiting the scope of any request.
 - 9. "Including" means "including without limitation."

- 10. "Any" includes "all", and vice versa.
- 11. The capitalized version of a word or term includes the lower case version of a word or term, and vice versa.
- 12. "Social Media Platforms" or "Platforms" means a digital service that facilitates interactions between two or more distinct but interdependent sets of users, including but not limited to Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, YouTube, Twitter (or "X"), Pinterest, LinkedIn, BeReal, Lapse, Reddit, Threads, VSCO, Goodreads, Quora, Discord, Twitch, and Tumblr.
- 13. "Young Users" means users of Social Platforms who are under 18 years of age when using the Platform(s).

All words, terms, and phrases not specifically defined herein are to be given their normal and customary meaning in the context in which they are used in these Requests.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. These Requests are intended to cover any and all documents in your possession, custody, or control, to the extent not privileged. Documents held by any office, department, board, commission, or instrumentality of the Idaho Health & Welfare Department are within your possession, custody, or control.
- 2. In accordance with ESI Protocol attached hereto as Attachment B, if you object to furnishing any of the documents or information requested by these Requests on the grounds of attorney-client privilege, work product, other privilege, or otherwise then, with respect to each such document:
 - a. state the nature of the privilege claimed;
 - b. state the date of each communication supporting the privilege claim;
 - c. identify all participants in the supporting communication;
 - d. state the specific grounds on which the objection is based; and

- e. identify the specific request calling for such information;
- 2. If you object to any Request on the grounds that it is vague or ambiguous, state: (i) the portions or terms of such Request that you claim to be vague or ambiguous; and (ii) the interpretation of the Request pursuant to which you provide a response.
- 3. Each request shall be deemed continuing, so as to require supplemental or mandatory responses should you obtain additional responsive information subsequent to your initial responses.
- 4. If you cannot fully respond to a particular Request after exercising due diligence to make inquiry and to secure the necessary information, provide a response to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and providing whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the portion not responded to.
- 5. Each page of a produced document shall have a legible, unique page identifier ("Bates Number") and confidentiality legend (where applicable) on the face of the image at a location that does not obliterate, conceal, or interfere with any information from the source document. Redactions should be clearly marked or stamped on the page in such a way that it is clear from review that a portion of the image has been redacted.
- 6. All responsive and non-privileged electronic documents shall be produced in the format ordered by the Court in its ESI order.
- 7. All responsive electronic documents shall be produced in a format agreed upon by the parties, to include at least native versions of Excel spreadsheets and presentation files, with a Bates-stamped placeholder image.
- 8. Unless otherwise specified, the time period for these Requests is January 1, 2012 to the date of production of the Documents.

ATTACHMENT A

- 1. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of persons ages 13 to 18.
- 2. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms, including the importance of Social Media Platforms and the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users and the potential benefits and harms created by the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 3. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users, including those related to the effects of:
 - a. Social Media Platforms usage;
 - b. Video games usage;
 - c. Cell phone usage;
 - d. Messaging usage;
 - e. Usage of other electronics apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - f. COVID-19 and/or remote learning;
 - g. Use of drugs or alcohol;
 - h. The opioid and fentanyl epidemic;
 - i. Poverty, homelessness, lack of health insurance, and/or food insecurity;
 - j. Physical health including nutrition, exercise, weight management, sleeping habits, and sexual activity;
 - k. Family trauma or deaths;
 - 1. Academic pressure;
 - m. Violence, including school violence, domestic violence, gun violence, gun control and/or mass shootings;
 - n. Bullying or verbal abuse apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - o. Political polarization;
 - p. Natural disasters;
 - q. Climate change;
 - r. Discrimination and inequity;
 - s. Global warfare and conflict; and
 - t. Any other potential causes of harms to the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 4. Youth Risk Behavior Surveys conducted by the Idaho Health & Welfare Department or on its behalf.
- 5. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to:
 - a. Features of Social Media Platforms that are psychologically or physically harmful to Young Users;
 - b. Features of Social Media Platforms that promote compulsive, prolonged, or unhealthy use by Young Users;
 - c. The impact of features of Social Media Platforms, including:

- (1) Algorithmic recommendation and sequencing;
- (2) Image filters;
- (3) Use of multiple user accounts;
- (4) Infinite scroll;
- (5) Ephemeral content features;
- (6) Autoplay;
- (7) Quantification and display of likes; or
- (8) Audiovisual and haptic alerts.
- 6. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Idaho Health & Welfare Department to address Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms, including any program, initiative, effort, or action to prevent, limit, encourage, or promote the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users.
- 7. Policies proposed, recommended, or enacted by the Idaho Health & Welfare Department regarding screen time and acceptable use of cell phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices by Young Users.
- 8. Complaints to the Idaho Health & Welfare Department by teachers or school districts regarding social media or cell phone use by Young Users and/or the need for acceptable use or other policies to address Young Users' use of social media or cell phones.
- 9. Complaints to the Idaho Health & Welfare Department by teachers or school districts regarding budget crises from inflation, underfunding, unfunded mandates, and other causes.
- 10. Documents related to state assessments in Idaho, including reports and analyses regarding the history of K-12 state assessment or standardized testing scores, performance by schools and/or school districts, and any other measures of school performance.
- 11. Legislation or policies proposed by, proposed on behalf of, or testified on by the Idaho Health & Welfare Department, regardless of whether such legislation or policies were enacted, regarding Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 12. Mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health services provided by the Idaho Health & Welfare Department to Young Users during the Relevant Period, including:
 - a. Counseling or therapy;
 - b. Psychiatric services;
 - c. Crisis intervention;
 - d. Inpatient short-term and long-term programs;
 - e. Resource centers; and
 - f. Services for Young Users dealing with substance abuse or addiction issues.
- 13. Any and all Idaho Health & Welfare Department grants to address Young Users' mental health, including information about when, where, why, and how any disbursements were paid, any schedules or plans for future disbursements, policies governing evaluation or

- approval of such disbursements, records of how the disbursements were used, and all agendas, minutes, notes, or recordings from meetings related to such grant.
- 14. Public or non-public meetings held by the Idaho Health & Welfare Department related to Social Media Platforms and use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users, including but not limited to, notices, meeting notes, PowerPoint presentations, internal memoranda, summaries, personal notes, or attendee lists.
- 15. Policies, procedures, and practices related to the Idaho Health & Welfare Department's use of Social Media Platforms, including communication of information or promotion of Idaho Health & Welfare Department's programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions on Social Media Platforms.
- 16. Idaho Health & Welfare Department's communications and/or collaboration with any Social Media Platform on any program, initiative or other action related to, or directed at, Young Users.
- 17. Idaho Health & Welfare Department's communications with any third party related to Young Users and/or Social Media Platforms.
- 18. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Idaho Health & Welfare Department during the Relevant Period related to Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 19. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Idaho Health & Welfare Department during the Relevant Period related to treatment of teen mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health issues.
- 20. Policies, procedures, and practices applicable to the Idaho Health & Welfare Department and Idaho Health & Welfare Department employees regarding the use of Social Media Platforms, including any changes to these policies during the Relevant Period.
- 21. Studies, programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Idaho Health & Welfare Department that sought to provide or promote internet access or phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices to Young Users.
- 22. Idaho Health & Welfare Department's budget and actual expenditures during the Relevant Period, including expenditures on:
 - a. Digital advertisements by the Idaho Health & Welfare Department on Social Media Platforms:
 - b. Digital advertisements by the Idaho Health & Welfare Department purchased through Social Media Platforms.

ATTACHMENT B

Ca	se 4a2e 14ta2330a470304 R-Y CEP 0c Droeinth1608669 0	Filed 03/1221/24 Page 4 109730 f 436	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	IN THE UNITED STATI FOR THE NORTHERN DIS		
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	IN RE: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability Litigation This document relates to: ALL ACTIONS	MDL No. 3047 Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers Honorable Peter H. Kang STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND HARD COPY DOCUMENTS	
16 17 18 19	1. PURPOSE This Order Governing the Production of E Documents ("ESI Order") will govern discovery of	lectronically Stored Information and Hard Copy of electronically stored information and any hard	
20	copy documents in this Litigation as a supplement		
21	District's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other		
22	applicable orders and rules. "This Litigation" includes all actions currently in MDL No. 3047, <i>In</i>		
23	Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal A	Injury Products Liability, or hereafter added or	
24	transferred to MDL No. 3047, and all actions later	remanded to their respective transferor courts.	

2. **DEFINITIONS**

25

26

27

28

a) "Document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and shall include Hard-Copy Documents and ESI.

- 1
- 3

- 5
- 7
- 8
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 1415
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 2021
- 22
- 2324

25

26

27

28

- b) "Electronically stored information" or "ESI," as used herein has the same meaning as in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34.
- c) "Hard-Copy Document" means Documents existing in paper form at the time of collection.
- d) "Searchable Text" means the native text extracted from an Electronic Document and any Optical Character Recognition text ("OCR text") generated from a Hard-Copy Document or electronic image.

3. COOPERATION

The Parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout this Litigation consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI and this Court's Rules of Professional Conduct. The Parties will endeavor to cooperate in good faith and be reasonably transparent in all aspects of the discovery process.

4. LIAISON

The Parties will identify Discovery Liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Any Party is free to change their designated Discovery Liaison by providing written notice to the other Parties. Each Party's Discovery Liaison must: (a) be prepared to meet and confer on discovery-related matters and to participate in discovery dispute resolution; (b) be knowledgeable about the Party's discovery efforts; (c) be, or have reasonable access to those who are, familiar with the Party's electronic systems and capabilities in order to explain those systems and answer relevant questions; and (d) be, or have reasonable access to those who are knowledgeable about the technical aspects of discovery, including electronic document storage, organization, and format issues, and relevant information retrieval technology, including search methodology.

5. IDENTIFICATION OF CUSTODIANS AND DATA SOURCES

The parties will disclose information about custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources likely to possess relevant or responsive information in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and this District's ESI Guidelines. The Parties will participate in Rule 26(f) discussions guided by this District's Checklist for Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer Regarding Electronically

Stored Information. After responses to Requests for Production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 have been served, the parties will meet and confer regarding those custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources from which Documents and ESI will be collected for search and review for potential production in this litigation. The custodian and data source exchanges will include brief explanations of the rationale for their selections; for example, for custodians, their current job titles and descriptions of their work, and for data sources, location information and description.

6. INACCESSIBLE OR UNUSABLE ESI

2.1

If a Producing Party asserts that certain requested ESI is inaccessible or not "reasonably accessible," otherwise unnecessary, or if the Receiving Party asserts that, following production, certain ESI is not reasonably useable, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss resolving such assertions. The parties will exchange sufficient information to enable the parties to confer in good faith. If the parties cannot resolve any such disputes after such a meet and confer has taken place, the issue shall be presented to the Court for resolution.

7. KNOWN RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS

Documents or ESI identified in a custodial or non-custodial file, or in a discrete folder or collection, that are known to a Producing Party through reasonable investigation to be responsive to a discovery request shall be collected for review without regard to whether the responsive content was located via any search methodology developed in accordance with this Order, and nothing about such review shall prevent the Producing Party from redacting or withholding and logging such documents for applicable privileges.

8. SEARCH METHODOLOGIES

The Parties shall adopt reasonable and proportionate methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce ESI as required under applicable legal standards. The Parties recognize and agree that each Party may use one or more methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-privileged ESI, including the use of keyword search terms and/or the use of technology assisted review ("TAR") as discussed further herein. The Parties further recognize that different data sets may implicate different methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-

privileged ESI. The Parties therefore agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding any potential disputes over their respective ESI productions.

3

4

HIT REPORTS AND SEARCH TERMS 9.

10

11

12 13

14

16

15

17 18

20

2.1

19

22 23

24

25

26

28

27

If a Producing Party uses search terms to identify, search, or cull potentially responsive ESI, the Producing Party shall disclose the search terms to the Requesting Party. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over the disclosed search terms. In the event that a Producing Party claims burden with respect to modified and/or additional search terms proposed by a Requesting Party, the Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the terms at issue using industry-standard processing tools, such as NUIX or other similar tools. The Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the document collection where the terms were applied, including the following with respect to each proposed or modified search term in the collection:

- a) The number of documents with hits for that term; and
- b) The number of unique documents, i.e., documents which do not have hits for any other term.

If, after reviewing a hit report from a Producing Party, a Requesting Party so chooses, it may reasonably request a further hit report which includes:

- c) The number of family members, including the documents with hits, of the documents with hits for that term; and
- d) The number of unique family members of the documents with hits for that term.

If the ESI tool for the Producing Party is capable without undue burden of providing the number of family members and unique family members, then the Producing Party shall provide such further hit report. The Parties (including the person most knowledgeable about the capabilities of the Producing Party's ESI tool and the Requesting Party's person most knowledgeable about technical issues from its ESI service provider) shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over whether the Producing Party's ESI tool has the capability or not to provider either number of family members or number of unique family members.

The Parties shall meet and confer to resolve disagreements over the search terms or their application. To the extent the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the application of, or

2.1

procedures for, any search or filtering processes, the Parties shall fully comply with the provisions of this Court's Discovery Standing Order regarding the procedure for raising discovery disputes with the Court, including the meet and confer and certification requirements therein.

10. TECHNOLOGY ASSISTED REVIEW (TAR)

A Producing Party may use TAR (technology-assisted review) during the culling and review process of ESI, which may be applied in addition to search terms. If a Producing Party uses TAR to cull, filter out, or exclude documents from that Party's production, that Producing Party shall do the following:

- If a Producing Party chooses to apply both search terms and TAR to a review set, the Producing Party will disclose the search terms to all other Party/Parties.
- Disclose the name of the TAR tool or service used to all other Party/Parties.

11. VALIDATION

Each Producing Party shall take reasonable steps to validate its review process (i.e., using quality control measures to determine whether its production is missing relevant ESI or contains substantial amounts of irrelevant ESI) and make any necessary adjustments or corrections to its process. If, after reviewing a Producing Party's production, a Requesting Party reasonably requests additional information regarding the validation method(s) used by the Producing Party, the Producing Party will disclose the level of end-to-end recall (the percentage of responsive Documents in the collection which were identified as responsive by that Producing Party's methodology). If there remain disputes between the Parties regarding validation, the Parties shall meet and confer to resolve such disputes in good faith, including a reasonable discussion regarding the tool used and the parameters used to obtain or calculate the level of recall.

12. UNSEARCHABLE DOCUMENTS

The Producing Party shall use reasonable efforts to identify and review potentially responsive documents for which text-based search technologies are fundamentally ineffective.

13. SYSTEM FILES

Each Party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application executable files using the national software reference library ("NSRL") NIST hash set list. The

Parties also may filter out stand-alone files identified as zero bytes in size. Additional culling of file types based on file header information may be applied to the following, provided these files are not known to be otherwise attached, embedded in, or included with an otherwise responsive document, or are not themselves reasonably known to contain information responsive or contain responsive data or are used to interface with users or interact with or access individual or aggregated user data: Application Package File, Backup Files, Batch Files, Binary Disc Image, C++ File Formats, Cascading Style Sheet, Configuration File, Database File, Dictionary Files, Dynamic Link Library, Event Log Files, Executable Files, Hypertext Cascading Stylesheet, Java Archive Files, JavaScript Files, JavaScript Source Code and Class Files, Macintosh Resource Fork Files, Package Manager Files, Program Files, Program Installers, Python Script Files, Shell Script Files, System or Temporary Files, Thumbnail Cache Files, Troff Files, Truetype Font Files, Windows Cabinet File, Windows Command Files, Windows File Shortcut, Windows Help Files, Windows Metafiles and Enhanced Metafiles, Windows Spool Files, Windows System File.

14. **DEDUPLICATION**

2.1

Each Producing Party shall make reasonable efforts to globally deduplicate exact duplicate Documents within that Producing Party's ESI data set across all custodial and non-custodial sources at the family level using either MD5 hash values or SHA hash values or any other agreed-upon (and disclosed) industry-standard deduplication technology. The Parties shall reach agreement on such other deduplication technology and shall reach agreement on how their deduplication tools shall identify exact duplicates of documents in a manner that is consistent with the disclosed tools and technologies a Producing Party is using. The Parties shall reach agreement on how to identify exact duplicates of emails using industry-standard commercially available software tools or services, which may for example calculate hash values of emails based on concatenated values of agreed-upon email fields and/or hash values of attachments, or which may use any other method the Parties agree upon. Having further met and conferred in keeping with the previous sentence, the Parties shall calculate deduplication hash values for emails on the concatenated values set forth in and in accordance with the documentation specifications of the disclosed deduplication tools to be used in this Litigation (i.e., RelativityOne, Relativity Server,

and Nuix); however, if Nuix is used, the Producing Party shall select the optional field "Include Bcc" as an additional field to add to the default ones.

The Parties shall not withhold from production near-duplicates without meeting and conferring on this issue.

The names of all custodians who were either identified as custodians for purposes of collection for this matter (or otherwise known by the Producing Party to have been in possession or custody of a document prior to deduplication) will be populated in the ALL CUSTODIANS metadata field for the produced version of a document that has duplicates removed from production. The original file paths (if any exist) of a document prior to deduplication will be populated in the ALL FILE PATHS metadata field of the produced document.

15. EMAIL THREADING

2.1

The Parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and shall produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members. Where multiple email messages are part of a single chain or "thread," a Party is only required to produce the most inclusive copy of an email message(s) ("Last In Time Email(s)") and need not produce earlier, lesser inclusive email messages or "thread members," provided that the tool or software service being used to perform threading is disclosed. A Producing Party may exclude from production lesser inclusive copies of the most inclusive email message in the thread, where the entire body of each of those lesser inclusive copies is included within the Last in Time Email. The Producing Party will honor reasonable requests to produce lesser inclusive copies of a Last In Time Email or other earlier chains of emails otherwise excluded by email thread suppression.

The Parties shall treat new or different email chains or threads pursuant to further agreement on safeguards or guidelines for defining emails threads which reasonably take into account the capabilities of the email threading/dethreading/hyperthreading tools used by each Defendant (which shall be disclosed to Plaintiffs).

16. SOURCE CODE

The Parties will meet and confer to address the production and/or inspection of source code and entering into a separate order governing the same if needed.

17. PRODUCTION FORMATS

2.1

The Parties agree to produce documents and data in the formats described in **Appendix 1** to this ESI Order. If particular documents or categories of documents identified in response to document requests warrant a different format, the Parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The Parties further agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

In addition, the Parties agree that the production and production format of social media and/or user account information is not covered by this agreement and to meet and confer on the production and production format, including metadata, for social media and/or user account information.

18. PHASING

Once the Parties begin propounding discovery requests pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the Parties agree to meet and confer regarding appropriate phasing for the production of ESI.

19. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- a) Production of Plaintiffs ESI and Case-Specific Materials. Subject to any further agreement among the Parties or Order of the Court, the Parties shall produce case-specific documents (i.e., documents specific to the claim of a given Plaintiff, produced by Plaintiffs or Defendants) for any Plaintiff in discovery pools or other selections designed to inform bellwether selection, including those selected for a bellwether trial, in accordance with the production format specified herein, provided, however, that the Producing Party may elect to produce such materials in their native format. To the extent production of case-specific documents for any Plaintiff selected for a bellwether trial presents an issue for any Party, the Parties shall reasonably confer, and may present any disputes to the Court or its designee. The Parties shall further agree to confer concerning the production format and associated matters (e.g., hosting platform) for case-specific documents produced in the cases of other Plaintiffs.

 Nothing herein shall limit Defendant's right to seek discovery from any Plaintiff.
- b) Translations Of Produced Materials. The Producing Party has no obligation to

create a translation of the Documents or any portion thereof. For any foreign-language documents responsive to document requests that a Party reasonably knows as the result of a reasonable investigation have been translated into the English language using human translators or through machine translation for its own purposes, except to the extent such translation is protected by attorney-client or work-product privileges, the Producing Party shall produce the translation of the original document with the original. The parties will meet and confer as necessary concerning procedures for using translations at depositions and at trial. In the event the Parties cannot reach agreement, the matter may be submitted to the Court for determination.

- c) Third-Party Documents. A Party that issues a Non-Party subpoena ("Issuing Party") shall include a copy of this Order with the subpoena and state that (1) the subpoenaed Non-Party should produce Documents in response to the subpoena to all Parties; and (2) the Parties to this Litigation have requested that Third Parties produce Documents in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. If the subpoenaed Non-Party produces Documents to the Issuing Party but does not produce those Documents to other Parties, the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to those other Parties within 14 days of receiving the Documents, except where the Documents are to be used in a deposition, in which case the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to all other Parties no later than three (3) days prior to the deposition, or as soon as reasonably practicable if such production occurs thereafter. Nothing in this Order is intended or may be interpreted to narrow, expand, or otherwise affect the rights of the Parties or Third Parties to object to a subpoena. If the Non-Party production is not Bates-stamped, the Parties will meet and confer to agree upon a format for designating the documents with a unique Bates Number prefix.
- d) <u>Documents Produced by Parties Presumption of Authenticity</u>. In order to reduce the number of requests for admission, this Order establishes a rebuttable presumption that documents produced by the Parties are authentic, if said documents were either created or authored by the producing Party, or any of its employees, agents, or

contractors, so long as the employees', agents', or contractors' work was performed in connection with a project or assignment sponsored by the producing Party. No further evidence to establish authenticity need be provided. Nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to waive any other evidentiary objection a party may have, including but not limited to hearsay, foundation/personal knowledge, or relevance.

- e) **Re-productions.** Notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary, re-production of discrete sets of documents from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter may be re-produced in the same manner and form as originally produced in the other matter, provided however that a party will re-produce documents in a different format for good cause shown. This provision does not waive the right of a party to object to any requests for reproduction of production files from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter.
- f) <u>Modification</u>. This ESI Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown.
- g) Good Faith. The Parties will act in good faith as required by law and use these procedures to identify and reduce the potential for disputes.
- h) <u>Continuing Obligations</u>. The Parties recognize that discovery shall be an iterative and cooperative process. The Parties will continue to meet and confer regarding any issues as reasonably necessary and appropriate. This Order does not address or resolve any objections to the Parties' respective discovery requests.
- i) <u>Reservation of Rights</u>. The Parties agree that any topic not addressed herein is neither a waiver nor acknowledgement of agreement by either Party.
- IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

1	DATED: March 15, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		<u>/s/ Lexi J. Hazam</u> LEXI J. HAZAM
3		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
4		BERNSTEIN, LLP
5		275 BATTERY STREET, 29th FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339
3		Telephone: 415-956-1000
6		lhazam@lchb.com
7		
8		PREVIN WARREN MOTLEY RICE LLC
9		401 9th Street NW Suite 630
		Washington DC 20004
10		T: 202-386-9610
11		pwarren@motleyrice.com
12		Co-Lead Counsel
13		CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
		SEEGER WEISS, LLP
14		55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6TH FLOOR RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660
15		Telephone: 973-639-9100
		Facsimile: 973-679-8656
16		cseeger@seegerweiss.com
17		Commenter Co. Lord Comment
18		Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel
19		JENNIE LEE ANDERSON
19		ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
20		155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
21		Telephone: 415-986-1400
22		jennie@andrusanderson.com
23		Liaison Counsel
		MATTHEW BERGMAN
24		GLENN DRAPER
25		SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER 821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100
26		SEATTLE, WA 98104
27		Telephone: 206-741-4862 matt@socialmediavictims.org
28		glenn@socialmediavictims.org

CaseCatale-atrileo300407300457RYGBodDuoroembel013695 Fifteel00371284224 Patrice127211330f436

1	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
2	By: <u>/s/ Stephanie Schuster</u> Stephanie Schuster (pro hac vice)	
3	stephanie.schuster@morganlewis.com 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW	
4	NW Washington, DC 20004-2541	
5	Tel.: 202.373.6595	
6	Yardena R. Zwang-Weissman (SBN 247111) yardena.zwang-weissman@morganlewis.com	
7	300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132	
8	Tel.: 213.612.7238	
9	Brian Ercole (pro hac vice)	
10	<u>brian.ercole@morganlewis.com</u> 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600	
11	Miami, FL 33131-3075 Tel.: 305.415.3416	
12	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and	
13	Google LLC	
14		
1.	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Agreement is approved.	
15	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Agreement is approved.	
	Dated: March 18, 2024	
15		-
15 16 17 18	Dated: March 18, 2024	-
15 16 17 18 19	Dated: March 18, 2024	-
15 16 17 18 19 20	Dated: March 18, 2024	_
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dated: March 18, 2024	_
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dated: March 18, 2024	-
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: March 18, 2024	-
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated: March 18, 2024	_
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024	_
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated: March 18, 2024	_
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024	_

CaseC41262-41162203101407310457RYGROdDorocenno 4.1013695 FFFFFeldO3711242244 Patgrag1e92115300f 436

APPENDIX 1: PRODUCTION FORMAT

25

26

27

28

- 1) **Production Components.** Except as otherwise provided below, ESI must be produced in accordance with the following specifications:
 - a) an ASCII delimited data file (.DAT) using standard delimiters;
 - b) an image load file (.OPT) that can be loaded into commercially acceptable production software (*e.g.* Concordance);
 - c) single page black-and-white TIFF images, or JPEG images when color is specified herein, or native files with single page placeholder TIFF images depending on the applicable production format for each type of file;
 - d) and document level .TXT files for all documents containing extracted full text or OCR text.
 - e) Family relationships between emails and their attachments, embedded files and their source document, and connected hardcopy documents will be maintained in production. Attachments should be consecutively produced with their parent.
 - f) If a particular document warrants a different production format, the Parties will cooperate in good faith to arrange for a mutually acceptable production format.
- 2) Production Media and Access Controls. Productions must be encrypted and produced through secure electronic means, such as secure file sharing methods (e.g. FTP), or on CD, DVD, flash drive or external hard drive ("Production Media"). Each piece of Production Media must identify a production number corresponding to the production volume (e.g. "VOL001"). Each piece of Production Media must also identify: (a) the case caption; (b) the following label: "This media contains material subject to Court Ordered security measures"; (c) the Producing Party's name; (d) the production date; (e) the Bates Number range of the materials contained on the Production Media.

Nothing in this ESI Order will preclude or impair any and all protections provided the Parties by any Protective Order(s) agreed and entered into by the Parties. Parties will use best efforts to avoid the unnecessary copying or transmittal of produced documents. If questions arise, the Parties will meet and confer to ensure security concerns are addressed prior to the exchange of

any documents.

- aproduction sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents in the image load file should match the image load file must match the corresponding of the production. The total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of TIFF files in the production. All images must be assigned a unique Bates number that is sequential within a given document and across the production sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents' beginning Bates numbers in the data load file. The total number of documents in a production should match the total number of records in the data load file. Load files must not vary in format or structure within a production, or from one production to another except by agreement of the Parties.
- 4) Metadata Fields. Each of the metadata and coding fields set forth below that can be extracted should be produced for each document, except that if the field contains privileged information, that privileged information may be redacted. However, to the extent that metadata does not exist, is not available for any documents produced, this provision shall not be read to require any Party to extract, capture, collect, manually populate, or produce such metadata, with the exception of the following: (a) BEGBATES, (b) ENDBATES, (c) BEGATTACH, (d) ENDATTACH, (e) PRODVOL, (f) ALL CUSTODIANS, (g) CONFIDENTIALITY, (h) REDACTIONS, (i) REDACTION TYPE, (j) HASHVALUE, (k) NATIVEFILEPATH, and (l) TEXTFILEPATH, which should be populated by the Party or the Party's vendor. The Parties will make reasonable efforts to ensure that metadata fields automatically extracted from the documents correspond directly to the information that exists in the original documents.

Field Name	Field Description
BEGBATES	Beginning Bates number for a particular document as stamped on the first production image for that document
ENDBATES	Ending Bates number as stamped on the last

Field Name	Field Description	
	production image for a particular document	
BEGATTACH	First production Bates number of the first document in a family	
ENDATTACH	Last production Bates number of the last document in a family	
ATTACHCOUNT	Number of attachments to an e-mail or embedded parent, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.	
ATTACHNAMES	Names of each individual Attachment, separated by semicolons.	
PRODVOL	Production volume	
ALLCUSTODIANS	List of all custodians associated with Document, i.e. "Custodian" + "Other Custodian" values delimited by semicolon.	
ALLFILEPATHS	All original path(s) to the individual source file(s) when available in the ordinary course of business to and processable by the parties and their ESI vendors of choice. Includes partie to and including internal path of containers.	
CONFIDENTIALITY	Confidentiality designation assigned to the document consistent with the Stipulated Protective Order governing this Litigation	
NATIVEFILEPATH	Native File Link (Native Files only)	
TEXTFILEPATH	Path to extracted text/OCR file for the document	
HASHVALUE	MD5 hash value of the document	
DOCUMENT TYPE	Descriptor for the type of document: "Edocument" for electronic documents not attached to e-mails; "E-mail" for all e-mails; "E-attachment" for files that were attachment to e-mails; and "Physical" for hard copy physical documents that have been scanned and converted to an electronic image.	
AUTHOR	Any value populated in the Author field of the	

Field Name	Field Description
	document properties
DOCDATE	Date the document was created according filesystem information (format: MM/DD/YYYY)
DATELASTMODIFIED	Date when document was last modified according to filesystem information (forma MM/DD/YYYY)
LAST MODIFIED BY	Last person who modified (saved) a document, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
TRACK CHANGES	Y if a document with track changes value, otherwise N or empty, if available separate from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
COMMENTS	Y if a document with comments, otherwise or empty, if available separately from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
HASHIDDENDATA	Y if a document with hidden content value otherwise N or empty
FILENAME	Filename of an electronic document
TITLE	Any value populated in the Title field of the document properties
DOCEXT	File extension of document pulled from the document properties
FROM	The sender of the email
ТО	All recipients that were included on the "T line of the email
CC	All recipients that were included on the "C line of the email
BCC	All recipients that were included on the "BCC" line of the email
DATETIMERECEIVED	Date and time email was received (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)
DATETIMESENT	Date and time email was sent (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)

Field Name	Field Description
TIMEZONE	The timezone used to process the document
EMAILSUBJECT	Subject line of email pulled from the document properties
THREADID	If a threading tool is used for emails, the thread id generated by the threading tool.
REDACTION TYPE ¹	General category of redaction reason as agreed to by the parties. For example, PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV. If more than one, separate reasons by semicolons.
REDACTIONS	Y if a document is redacted, otherwise N or empty

- 5) TIFFs. Unless excepted below, single page, black and white, Group IV TIFFs should be provided, at least 300 dots per inch (dpi) for all documents. Each TIFF image must be named according to a unique corresponding Bates number associated with the document. Each image must be branded according to the Bates number and the agreed upon confidentiality designation. Original document orientation should be maintained (i.e., portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape). Where the TIFF image is unreadable or has materially degraded the quality of the original, the Producing Party shall provide a higher quality TIFF image or the native or original file. In addition, the Parties shall take reasonable efforts to process word processing documents (e.g., MS Word) with track changes and/or comments unhidden on the TIFF image.
- 6) Color. Word processing documents containing tracked changes shall be produced in color, as single-page, 300 dpi JPG images with JPG compression and a high quality setting as to not degrade the original image. The Producing Party shall comply with good faith by the Requesting Party to provide replacement color images for documents originally produced in black and white. A Party making such a request shall make the request by individual Bates

¹ The Parties will provide specific information on any privilege(s) asserted in their privilege logs, in an overlay, or the redaction boxes. The "Redaction Reason" field is informational only for redaction type and shall not in any way limit a party's right to assert attorney-client privilege, attorney-work product privilege, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

24

25

26

27

28

number(s) and shall limit requests made pursuant to this paragraph to a reasonable number of documents.

- **7**) **Text Files.** A single multi-page text file must be provided for each document, and the filename should match its respective TIFF filename for the first page of that document. Extracted text shall be generated with commercially acceptable technology set to include all comments, revisions, tracked changes, speaker's notes and text from documents with comments or tracked changes, and hidden and very hidden worksheets, slides, columns and rows. When possible, the text of native files should be extracted directly from the native file. Parties will perform optical character recognition ("OCR") on foreign language documents using the appropriate settings for languages reasonably anticipated to be in the production following a meet and confer with the Requesting Party to identify those languages. Text files will not contain the redacted portions of the documents. A commercially acceptable technology for OCR should be used for all scanned, hard copy documents and for documents with redactions other than Excel files and other spreadsheets which shall be redacted in native format. Text extracted from emails should include the following header information where available: (1) the individuals to whom the communication was directed ("To"), (2) the author of the email communication ("From"), (3) who was copied and blind copied on such email ("CC" and "BCC"), (4) the subject line of the email ("RE" or "Subject"), and (5) the date and time of the email. To the extent the text extraction technology the Parties are using can be configured to include the text of any URLs or links, the Parties shall utilize that setting.
- Native files. Spreadsheets (*e.g.* MS Excel), and un-redacted presentations (e.g. Microsoft PowerPoint,) will be produced in native format to the extent that they are produced in this Litigation, audio, video, and multi-media files will be produced in native format. The Parties will meet and confer on the production of other file types, such as proprietary files, etc. Native files will be produced with a link in the NATIVEFILEPATH field, along with extracted text (where extracted text is available) and applicable metadata fields set forth in paragraph 4 above. A Bates numbered TIFF placeholder indicating that the document was provided in native format must accompany every native file. Where redaction makes production of native-format

files other than spreadsheets or presentations infeasible, the Parties will confer to determine a reasonably usable form for the production, but spreadsheets shall presumptively be redacted in native, and presentations presumptively redacted in image form, in these cases without the need for further conferring.

- hardcopy will be scanned to *.tiff image format and produced in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. Hard copy documents that are not text-searchable shall be made searchable by OCR prior to production. In scanning paper documents, distinct documents should not be merged into a single record, and single documents should not be split into multiple records (i.e., paper documents should be logically unitized). In the case of an organized compilation of separate documents (for example, a binder containing several separate documents behind numbered tabs), the document behind each tab should be scanned separately, but the relationship among the documents in the compilation should be reflected in the proper coding of the beginning and ending document and attachment fields. The Parties will make their best efforts to unitize the documents correctly. If relevant documents are maintained in a file, folder, envelope, binder, notebook or similar container used to store documents, all contents therein shall be reviewed for production and privilege. Document pages which have affixed notes, such as Post-it notes, should be imaged with and without the note attached.
- 10) Confidentiality Designation. All images will be stamped with the appropriate confidentiality designations in accordance with the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this Litigation. Each document produced in native format will have its confidentiality designation identified in the filename of the native file and indicated on its corresponding TIFF placeholder.
- 11) Bates Numbering. Bates numbering should be a consistent length across the production, contain no special characters, and be numerically sequential within a given document. If a Bates number or set of Bates numbers is skipped, the skipped number or set of numbers should be noted with a placeholder. Attachments to documents will be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents to which they were attached.

In addition, wherever possible, each image will have its assigned Bates number electronically "burned" onto the image.

- information is stored in a database, or database management system, or proprietary system or application which has is directed to data storage as one of its primary functions, the Producing Party will identify the database and platform to the Requesting Party. The Producing Party shall produce exports and reports about such responsive information stored in such database, where such exports and reports shall be in a reasonably usable form, and information may be produced in CSV format, tab-delimited text format, Microsoft Excel format, or Microsoft Access format. If there are future disputes over the production of information from a database, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to reach any further agreements (if needed) on the data to be produced and the format and scope of the production. The Producing Party will provide reasonable amounts of information about the databases to facilitate that discussion.
- inside a responsive document (including hyperlinked inside emails) within a Producing Party's custody, possession, or control, do not need to be produced in the first instance as part of the same family group as the Document residing at the location to which that hyperlink points. If there are particular hyperlinks identified by the Requesting Party in produced documents, the Requesting Party may submit a list of hyperlinks to a particular Producing Party for potentially relevant documents by identifying the Bates number and URL or link text for each requested link to the Producing Party, and the Producing Party will engage in reasonable efforts to locate the hyperlinked document at that location and either identify it by Bates number or provide any responsive, non-produced, and non-privileged documents. The number of hyperlinks a Requesting Party may identify to a Producing Party shall not be excessive and shall be reasonable, proportional to the needs of the case, and not unduly burdensome.
- **14) Embedded Objects.** The Parties agree non-substantive embedded objects, including, but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons, and footers, may be culled from a document set (but not a document) and need not be produced as separate documents by a

Producing Party (i.e., such embedded objects will be produced within the document itself, rather than as separate documents). Embedded files, except for images (including but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons), are to be produced as family groups. Embedded files should be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents within which they are embedded.

- **15) Production of Family Groups and Relationships**. If any member of a family group is produced, all members of that group must also be produced or else logged as privileged, and no such member shall be withheld from production as a duplicate.
- **16) Dynamic Fields**. Documents with dynamic fields for file names, dates, and times will be imaged to show the field code (e.g., "[FILENAME]") where possible, rather than the values for such fields existing at the time the file is processed.
- 17) Time Zone. The time zone used to process a document, including its metadata, shall be disclosed in the TIMEZONE metadata field consistent with Appendix 1, and shall be standardized for each Defendant across productions.

18) Redactions.

- a) A Producing Party may redact (i) information subject to the attorney client privilege or the work product protection (PRIV); (ii) information that cannot be disclosed pursuant to the Stored Communications Act (SCA); (iii) source code subject to separate agreement applicable to production of source code (CODE); (iv) personal identifying information (PII) including phone numbers, personal addresses, personal email addresses, the month and day of birth, driver's license numbers, and other PII agreed to by the Parties (for example, the Parties shall complete their meet and confer on whether or not to redact users' ages and years of birth). In any event, there shall be no redaction of illnesses, injuries, and medical diagnoses. To the extent a document or pleading contains PII, the Parties shall designate such documents at the appropriate Confidentiality level under the Protective Order and shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 with regard to filings with the Court.
- b) No redactions for relevance may be made within a produced document or ESI item. If, during the course of discovery, the Parties identify other kinds of information that any STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF ESI & HARD COPY DOCUMENTS 9 3:22-MD-03047-YGR; MDL NO. 3047

Party has a reasonable basis for redacting, the Parties will meet and confer on a case-by-case basis regarding that information before such redactions are made. If the Parties cannot agree, they may seek resolution from the Court.

- c) The Producing Party will indicate, on the face of the redaction, the asserted reason(s) for the redaction (PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV) and the REDACTION TYPE metadata field shall indicate that the document contains redactions and the reason(s) for the redaction.
- d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, this provision shall not be read to prohibit redactions permitted under any applicable U.S. law or Protective Order.
- e) Where a responsive document contains both redacted and non-redacted content, the Parties shall produce the non-redacted portions of the document and the OCR text corresponding to the non-redacted portions.
- f) Native Redactions. Spreadsheet files requiring redaction, including without limitation Microsoft Excel files, shall be redacted and produced natively (unless the Parties agree to production in some other format). In addition, a Producing Party may natively redact other files that cannot be properly imaged for redaction.
- g) All images of redacted files shall be processed to show all comments, revision marks, speaker notes, marks made in track changes, or other user-entered data which are visible in a normal view of the document in its native application, unless such material is redacted and marked as redacted in accordance with this section. Where possible, any occurrences of date/time auto-field items, including in headers and footers, will be removed and replaced with the term AUTODATE to prevent the current date from being printed. Email header information (e.g., date and/or subject line) shall not be redacted unless it is independently privileged. The Parties shall honor reasonable requests for the production of particular redacted documents in other formats where the image is not reasonably usable.
- h) Color. Redacted versions of documents that would have been produced in color in their un-redacted form shall be produced in color as detailed herein.

-) **Exception Files**. The Parties will use reasonable efforts to address processing exceptions.
- data that can reasonably be extracted and produced in the formats described herein is identified on a mobile or handheld device, that data shall be produced in accordance with the generic provisions of this protocol. To the extent that responsive data identified on a mobile or handheld device is not susceptible to normal production protocols, the Parties will meet and confer to address the identification, production, and production format of any responsive documents and data contained on any mobile or handheld device.

COVINGTON

BEIJING BOSTON BRUSSELS DUBAI FRANKFURT
JOHANNESBURG LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK
PALO ALTO SAN FRANCISCO SEOUL SHANGHAI WASHINGTON

Covington & Burling LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067-4643 T +1 424 332 4800

DELIVERED VIA PROCESS SERVER

July 24, 2024

Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana 251 N. Illinois Street Suite 800 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Re: People of the State of California v. Meta Platforms, Inc., 4:23-cv-05448

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find a subpoena being served upon you in connection with the above-captioned litigation currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. I represent Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc., Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc. and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, the "Meta Defendants") in this matter.

The document subpoena requests the production of specific documents identified in the attached subpoena (see Attachment A to the subpoena), which are requested to be produced by 10:00 a.m. on August 23, 2024. We are willing to work with you on the time, date, location, method and manner of document production, as well as answer any questions or concerns you may have regarding subpoena compliance. It is sufficient compliance with this subpoena if you electronically transmit a copy of the requested documents to the undersigned. As such, please contact me at ceppich@cov.com and 424-332-4764, to discuss document production and subpoena compliance, unless you are already represented by counsel in connection with this matter.

The Court is currently considering whether the Indiana Attorney General's Office has control over Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana's information for the purposes of discovery in this action. If the Court rules that the Indiana Attorney General's Office has control over the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana's information, the Indiana Attorney General has pending discovery requests that seek this agency's documents. By serving this subpoena, Meta does not waive its position in the state agency dispute, nor does Meta in any way waive rights to compel the Indiana Attorney General to produce Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana information as part of discovery in this action. However, to avoid further delay in the production of information from the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana, Meta is serving the attached subpoena to request the timely production of this information.

COVINGTON

Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana July 24, 2024 Page 2

Your anticipated time and assistance with this matter is greatly appreciated.

Best regards,

/s/ Christopher K. Eppich

Christopher K. Eppich

Enclosed: Subpoena, Attachment A, and Attachment B

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

United States District Court

for the

Northern District of	California	
IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL		
INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION)		
)		
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	Civil Action No.	4:22-MD-03047-YGR
People of the State of California, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et	CIVIL/ICTION 140.	MDL No. 3047
al., Case No.: 4:23-cv-05448		WIDE NO. 3047
- Case No.: 4.23-04-054-0		
)		
OURDOEN A TO BRODUCE BOOLS (EVIT)	DECENTION	I OR ORIFOTO
SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS	-	
OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PR	EMISES IN A CIV	IL ACTION
To: Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana		
251 N. Illinois Street, Suite 800, Indianapolis, IN 46204		
(Name of person to whom th	is subpoena is directed)	
Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce at t	he time date and n	lace set forth below the following
documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to p	ernit inspection co	oving testing or sampling of the
material: See Attachment A.	crimi mapeedon, co	pyling, testing, or sampling of the
matchai. See Atlachment A.		
Place: Veritext Legal Solutions	Date and Time:	1
111 Monument Circle, Suite 4350	Bate and Time.	
Indianapolis, IN 46204	August 23, 2	2024, 10:00 a.m.
mulanapolis, ilv 40204	ļ	
☐ Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANDED to	nermit entry onto the	e designated premises land or
other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, as		
may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the pro-	perty of any design	ated object of operation of it.
- Total	Date and Time:	T T
Place:	Date and Time:	
		J
The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached		
Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a sul		(e) and (g), relating to your duty to
respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not de	oing so.	
07/04/0004		
Date:07/24/2024		
Of PRICAD COLUMN		
CLERK OF COURT	0.0	
	OR	
		/s/ Ashley Simonsen
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		Attorney's signature
The name address a mail address and talanhone number of the	ottomar, representing	2 4
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the a Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc.	and	g (name of party)
Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC	who issues	or requests this subpoena, are:
Ashley Simonsen, Covington & Burling LLP, 1999 Avenue of the Stars, I		

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 4:23-cv-05448

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this sub	poena for (name of individual and title, if a	ny)	
(date)			
☐ I served the sul	bpoena by delivering a copy to the nar	med person as follows:	
		on (date) ;	or
☐ I returned the s	subpoena unexecuted because:		
		States, or one of its officers or agents, I e, and the mileage allowed by law, in the	
\$	·		
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under pe	nalty of perjury that this information i	s true.	
e:	_	Server's signature	
		server a signature	
		Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action(Page 3)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
 - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
 - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) **Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.** These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) *Documents*. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS TO ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

- 1. The term "communication" means the transmittal of information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise).
- 2. "Document" or "documents" shall have the broadest meaning ascribed to it by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and includes, without limitation electronically stored information ("ESI") (including, without limitation, electronic databases and the data therein, all electronic messages or communications, electronic word processing documents, electronically stored voicemail, webpages, and social media posts) in accordance with any order in this case governing ESI at the time of production. Different versions of the same documents, including, but not limited to, drafts or documents with handwritten notations or marks not found in the original or on other copies are different documents.
- 3. "You", "you", "Your" and "your" means the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana.
- 4. "Relating" or "related to" means and includes referring to, concerning, referencing, mentioning, associated with, constituting, discussing, containing, embodying, recording, reflecting, identifying, summarizing, incorporating, and/or dealing with or pertaining to in any way.
 - 5. "And" includes "or", and vice versa.
 - 6. The singular form of a word or term includes the plural, and vice versa.
 - 7. The present tense of a verb includes the past tense, and vice versa.
- 8. The use of the article "the" shall not be construed as limiting the scope of any request.

- 9. "Including" means "including without limitation."
- 10. "Any" includes "all", and vice versa.
- 11. The capitalized version of a word or term includes the lower case version of a word or term, and vice versa.
- 12. "Social Media Platforms" or "Platforms" means a digital service that facilitates interactions between two or more distinct but interdependent sets of users, including but not limited to Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, YouTube, Twitter (or "X"), Pinterest, LinkedIn, BeReal, Lapse, Reddit, Threads, VSCO, Goodreads, Quora, Discord, Twitch, and Tumblr.
- 13. "Young Users" means users of Social Platforms who are under 18 years of age when using the Platform(s).

All words, terms, and phrases not specifically defined herein are to be given their normal and customary meaning in the context in which they are used in these Requests.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. These Requests are intended to cover any and all documents in your possession, custody, or control, to the extent not privileged. Documents held by any office, department, board, commission, or instrumentality of the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana are within your possession, custody, or control.
- 2. In accordance with ESI Protocol attached hereto as Attachment B, if you object to furnishing any of the documents or information requested by these Requests on the grounds of attorney-client privilege, work product, other privilege, or otherwise then, with respect to each such document:
 - a. state the nature of the privilege claimed;
 - b. state the date of each communication supporting the privilege claim;

- c. identify all participants in the supporting communication;
- d. state the specific grounds on which the objection is based; and
- e. identify the specific request calling for such information;
- 2. If you object to any Request on the grounds that it is vague or ambiguous, state: (i) the portions or terms of such Request that you claim to be vague or ambiguous; and (ii) the interpretation of the Request pursuant to which you provide a response.
- 3. Each request shall be deemed continuing, so as to require supplemental or mandatory responses should you obtain additional responsive information subsequent to your initial responses.
- 4. If you cannot fully respond to a particular Request after exercising due diligence to make inquiry and to secure the necessary information, provide a response to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and providing whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the portion not responded to.
- 5. Each page of a produced document shall have a legible, unique page identifier ("Bates Number") and confidentiality legend (where applicable) on the face of the image at a location that does not obliterate, conceal, or interfere with any information from the source document. Redactions should be clearly marked or stamped on the page in such a way that it is clear from review that a portion of the image has been redacted.
- 6. All responsive and non-privileged electronic documents shall be produced in the format ordered by the Court in its ESI order.
- 7. All responsive electronic documents shall be produced in a format agreed upon by the parties, to include at least native versions of Excel spreadsheets and presentation files, with a Bates-stamped placeholder image.
- 8. Unless otherwise specified, the time period for these Requests is January 1, 2012 to the date of production of the Documents.

ATTACHMENT A

- 1. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of persons ages 13 to 18.
- 2. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms, including the importance of Social Media Platforms and the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users and the potential benefits and harms created by the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 3. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users, including those related to the effects of:
 - a. Social Media Platforms usage;
 - b. Video games usage;
 - c. Cell phone usage;
 - d. Messaging usage;
 - e. Usage of other electronics apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - f. COVID-19 and/or remote learning;
 - g. Use of drugs or alcohol;
 - h. The opioid and fentanyl epidemic;
 - i. Poverty, homelessness, lack of health insurance, and/or food insecurity;
 - j. Physical health including nutrition, exercise, weight management, sleeping habits, and sexual activity;
 - k. Family trauma or deaths;
 - 1. Academic pressure;
 - m. Violence, including school violence, domestic violence, gun violence, gun control and/or mass shootings;
 - n. Bullying or verbal abuse apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - o. Political polarization;
 - p. Natural disasters;
 - q. Climate change;
 - r. Discrimination and inequity;
 - s. Global warfare and conflict; and
 - t. Any other potential causes of harms to the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 4. Youth Risk Behavior Surveys conducted by the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana or on its behalf.
- 5. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to:
 - a. Features of Social Media Platforms that are psychologically or physically harmful to Young Users;
 - b. Features of Social Media Platforms that promote compulsive, prolonged, or unhealthy use by Young Users;
 - c. The impact of features of Social Media Platforms, including:

(1) Algorithmic recommendation and sequencing;

- (2) Image filters;
- (3) Use of multiple user accounts;
- (4) Infinite scroll;
- (5) Ephemeral content features;
- (6) Autoplay;
- (7) Quantification and display of likes; or
- (8) Audiovisual and haptic alerts.
- 6. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana to address Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms, including any program, initiative, effort, or action to prevent, limit, encourage, or promote the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users.
- 7. Policies proposed, recommended, or enacted by the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana regarding screen time and acceptable use of cell phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices by Young Users.
- 8. Complaints to the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana by teachers or school districts regarding social media or cell phone use by Young Users and/or the need for acceptable use or other policies to address Young Users' use of social media or cell phones.
- 9. Complaints to the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana by teachers or school districts regarding budget crises from inflation, underfunding, unfunded mandates, and other causes.
- 10. Documents related to state assessments in Indiana, including reports and analyses regarding the history of K-12 state assessment or standardized testing scores, performance by schools and/or school districts, and any other measures of school performance.
- 11. Legislation or policies proposed by, proposed on behalf of, or testified on by the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana, regardless of whether such legislation or policies were enacted, regarding Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 12. Mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health services provided by the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana to Young Users during the Relevant Period, including:
 - a. Counseling or therapy;
 - b. Psychiatric services;
 - c. Crisis intervention;
 - d. Inpatient short-term and long-term programs;
 - e. Resource centers; and
 - f. Services for Young Users dealing with substance abuse or addiction issues.

- 13. Any and all Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana grants to address Young Users' mental health, including information about when, where, why, and how any disbursements were paid, any schedules or plans for future disbursements, policies governing evaluation or approval of such disbursements, records of how the disbursements were used, and all agendas, minutes, notes, or recordings from meetings related to such grant.
- 14. Public or non-public meetings held by the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana related to Social Media Platforms and use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users, including but not limited to, notices, meeting notes, PowerPoint presentations, internal memoranda, summaries, personal notes, or attendee lists.
- 15. Policies, procedures, and practices related to the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana's use of Social Media Platforms, including communication of information or promotion of Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana's programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions on Social Media Platforms.
- 16. Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana's communications and/or collaboration with any Social Media Platform on any program, initiative or other action related to, or directed at, Young Users.
- 17. Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana's communications with any third party related to Young Users and/or Social Media Platforms.
- 18. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana during the Relevant Period related to Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 19. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana during the Relevant Period related to treatment of teen mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health issues.
- 20. Policies, procedures, and practices applicable to the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana and Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana employees regarding the use of Social Media Platforms, including any changes to these policies during the Relevant Period.
- 21. Studies, programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana that sought to provide or promote internet access or phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices to Young Users.

- 22. Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana's budget and actual expenditures during the Relevant Period, including expenditures on:
 - a. Digital advertisements by the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana on Social Media Platforms;
 - b. Digital advertisements by the Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana purchased through Social Media Platforms.

ATTACHMENT B

Ca	se 4a22-14a2-2030a1-70-3004 R-Y CEP OC Droeunt 11 2018 (5-5 70)	Filled 03/1/28/1/24 Pagaeg 4. 24/1/30 f 436
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE NORTHERN DIS	
8	IN RE: Social Media Adolescent	MDL No. 3047
9	Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability	Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR
10	Litigation	Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
11	This document relates to: ALL ACTIONS	Honorable Peter H. Kang
12		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE
13		PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED
14 15		INFORMATION AND HARD COPY DOCUMENTS
16		
17	1. PURPOSE	
18	This Order Governing the Production of E	lectronically Stored Information and Hard Copy
19	Documents ("ESI Order") will govern discovery of	of electronically stored information and any hard
20	copy documents in this Litigation as a supplement	to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this
21	District's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electron	nically Stored Information, and any other
22	applicable orders and rules. "This Litigation" includes all actions currently in MDL No. 3047, In	
23	Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability, or hereafter added or	
24	transferred to MDL No. 3047, and all actions later	remanded to their respective transferor courts.
25	2. DEFINITIONS	

DEFINITIONS

26

27

28

"Document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and shall include Hard-Copy Documents and ESI.

- 1
- 3

- 5
- 7
- 8
- 10
- 11 12
- 13

14

- 15 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 26

25

- 27
- 28

- b) "Electronically stored information" or "ESI," as used herein has the same meaning as in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34.
- c) "Hard-Copy Document" means Documents existing in paper form at the time of collection.
- d) "Searchable Text" means the native text extracted from an Electronic Document and any Optical Character Recognition text ("OCR text") generated from a Hard-Copy Document or electronic image.

3. COOPERATION

The Parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout this Litigation consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI and this Court's Rules of Professional Conduct. The Parties will endeavor to cooperate in good faith and be reasonably transparent in all aspects of the discovery process.

4. LIAISON

The Parties will identify Discovery Liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Any Party is free to change their designated Discovery Liaison by providing written notice to the other Parties. Each Party's Discovery Liaison must: (a) be prepared to meet and confer on discovery-related matters and to participate in discovery dispute resolution; (b) be knowledgeable about the Party's discovery efforts; (c) be, or have reasonable access to those who are, familiar with the Party's electronic systems and capabilities in order to explain those systems and answer relevant questions; and (d) be, or have reasonable access to those who are knowledgeable about the technical aspects of discovery, including electronic document storage, organization, and format issues, and relevant information retrieval technology, including search methodology.

5. IDENTIFICATION OF CUSTODIANS AND DATA SOURCES

The parties will disclose information about custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources likely to possess relevant or responsive information in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and this District's ESI Guidelines. The Parties will participate in Rule 26(f) discussions guided by this District's Checklist for Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer Regarding Electronically

Stored Information. After responses to Requests for Production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 have been served, the parties will meet and confer regarding those custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources from which Documents and ESI will be collected for search and review for potential production in this litigation. The custodian and data source exchanges will include brief explanations of the rationale for their selections; for example, for custodians, their current job titles and descriptions of their work, and for data sources, location information and description.

6. INACCESSIBLE OR UNUSABLE ESI

2.1

If a Producing Party asserts that certain requested ESI is inaccessible or not "reasonably accessible," otherwise unnecessary, or if the Receiving Party asserts that, following production, certain ESI is not reasonably useable, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss resolving such assertions. The parties will exchange sufficient information to enable the parties to confer in good faith. If the parties cannot resolve any such disputes after such a meet and confer has taken place, the issue shall be presented to the Court for resolution.

7. KNOWN RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS

Documents or ESI identified in a custodial or non-custodial file, or in a discrete folder or collection, that are known to a Producing Party through reasonable investigation to be responsive to a discovery request shall be collected for review without regard to whether the responsive content was located via any search methodology developed in accordance with this Order, and nothing about such review shall prevent the Producing Party from redacting or withholding and logging such documents for applicable privileges.

8. SEARCH METHODOLOGIES

The Parties shall adopt reasonable and proportionate methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce ESI as required under applicable legal standards. The Parties recognize and agree that each Party may use one or more methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-privileged ESI, including the use of keyword search terms and/or the use of technology assisted review ("TAR") as discussed further herein. The Parties further recognize that different data sets may implicate different methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-

2.1

privileged ESI. The Parties therefore agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding any potential disputes over their respective ESI productions.

9. HIT REPORTS AND SEARCH TERMS

If a Producing Party uses search terms to identify, search, or cull potentially responsive ESI, the Producing Party shall disclose the search terms to the Requesting Party. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over the disclosed search terms. In the event that a Producing Party claims burden with respect to modified and/or additional search terms proposed by a Requesting Party, the Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the terms at issue using industry-standard processing tools, such as NUIX or other similar tools. The Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the document collection where the terms were applied, including the following with respect to each proposed or modified search term in the collection:

- a) The number of documents with hits for that term; and
- b) The number of unique documents, i.e., documents which do not have hits for any other term.

If, after reviewing a hit report from a Producing Party, a Requesting Party so chooses, it may reasonably request a further hit report which includes:

- c) The number of family members, including the documents with hits, of the documents with hits for that term; and
- d) The number of unique family members of the documents with hits for that term.

If the ESI tool for the Producing Party is capable without undue burden of providing the number of family members and unique family members, then the Producing Party shall provide such further hit report. The Parties (including the person most knowledgeable about the capabilities of the Producing Party's ESI tool and the Requesting Party's person most knowledgeable about technical issues from its ESI service provider) shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over whether the Producing Party's ESI tool has the capability or not to provider either number of family members or number of unique family members.

The Parties shall meet and confer to resolve disagreements over the search terms or their application. To the extent the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the application of, or

2.1

procedures for, any search or filtering processes, the Parties shall fully comply with the provisions of this Court's Discovery Standing Order regarding the procedure for raising discovery disputes with the Court, including the meet and confer and certification requirements therein.

10. TECHNOLOGY ASSISTED REVIEW (TAR)

A Producing Party may use TAR (technology-assisted review) during the culling and review process of ESI, which may be applied in addition to search terms. If a Producing Party uses TAR to cull, filter out, or exclude documents from that Party's production, that Producing Party shall do the following:

- If a Producing Party chooses to apply both search terms and TAR to a review set, the Producing Party will disclose the search terms to all other Party/Parties.
- Disclose the name of the TAR tool or service used to all other Party/Parties.

11. VALIDATION

Each Producing Party shall take reasonable steps to validate its review process (i.e., using quality control measures to determine whether its production is missing relevant ESI or contains substantial amounts of irrelevant ESI) and make any necessary adjustments or corrections to its process. If, after reviewing a Producing Party's production, a Requesting Party reasonably requests additional information regarding the validation method(s) used by the Producing Party, the Producing Party will disclose the level of end-to-end recall (the percentage of responsive Documents in the collection which were identified as responsive by that Producing Party's methodology). If there remain disputes between the Parties regarding validation, the Parties shall meet and confer to resolve such disputes in good faith, including a reasonable discussion regarding the tool used and the parameters used to obtain or calculate the level of recall.

12. UNSEARCHABLE DOCUMENTS

The Producing Party shall use reasonable efforts to identify and review potentially responsive documents for which text-based search technologies are fundamentally ineffective.

13. SYSTEM FILES

Each Party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application executable files using the national software reference library ("NSRL") NIST hash set list. The

Parties also may filter out stand-alone files identified as zero bytes in size. Additional culling of file types based on file header information may be applied to the following, provided these files are not known to be otherwise attached, embedded in, or included with an otherwise responsive document, or are not themselves reasonably known to contain information responsive or contain responsive data or are used to interface with users or interact with or access individual or aggregated user data: Application Package File, Backup Files, Batch Files, Binary Disc Image, C++ File Formats, Cascading Style Sheet, Configuration File, Database File, Dictionary Files, Dynamic Link Library, Event Log Files, Executable Files, Hypertext Cascading Stylesheet, Java Archive Files, JavaScript Files, JavaScript Source Code and Class Files, Macintosh Resource Fork Files, Package Manager Files, Program Files, Program Installers, Python Script Files, Shell Script Files, System or Temporary Files, Thumbnail Cache Files, Troff Files, Truetype Font Files, Windows Cabinet File, Windows Command Files, Windows File Shortcut, Windows Help Files, Windows Metafiles and Enhanced Metafiles, Windows Spool Files, Windows System File.

14. **DEDUPLICATION**

2.1

Each Producing Party shall make reasonable efforts to globally deduplicate exact duplicate Documents within that Producing Party's ESI data set across all custodial and non-custodial sources at the family level using either MD5 hash values or SHA hash values or any other agreed-upon (and disclosed) industry-standard deduplication technology. The Parties shall reach agreement on such other deduplication technology and shall reach agreement on how their deduplication tools shall identify exact duplicates of documents in a manner that is consistent with the disclosed tools and technologies a Producing Party is using. The Parties shall reach agreement on how to identify exact duplicates of emails using industry-standard commercially available software tools or services, which may for example calculate hash values of emails based on concatenated values of agreed-upon email fields and/or hash values of attachments, or which may use any other method the Parties agree upon. Having further met and conferred in keeping with the previous sentence, the Parties shall calculate deduplication hash values for emails on the concatenated values set forth in and in accordance with the documentation specifications of the disclosed deduplication tools to be used in this Litigation (i.e., RelativityOne, Relativity Server,

and Nuix); however, if Nuix is used, the Producing Party shall select the optional field "Include Bcc" as an additional field to add to the default ones.

The Parties shall not withhold from production near-duplicates without meeting and conferring on this issue.

The names of all custodians who were either identified as custodians for purposes of collection for this matter (or otherwise known by the Producing Party to have been in possession or custody of a document prior to deduplication) will be populated in the ALL CUSTODIANS metadata field for the produced version of a document that has duplicates removed from production. The original file paths (if any exist) of a document prior to deduplication will be populated in the ALL FILE PATHS metadata field of the produced document.

15. EMAIL THREADING

2.1

The Parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and shall produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members. Where multiple email messages are part of a single chain or "thread," a Party is only required to produce the most inclusive copy of an email message(s) ("Last In Time Email(s)") and need not produce earlier, lesser inclusive email messages or "thread members," provided that the tool or software service being used to perform threading is disclosed. A Producing Party may exclude from production lesser inclusive copies of the most inclusive email message in the thread, where the entire body of each of those lesser inclusive copies is included within the Last in Time Email. The Producing Party will honor reasonable requests to produce lesser inclusive copies of a Last In Time Email or other earlier chains of emails otherwise excluded by email thread suppression.

The Parties shall treat new or different email chains or threads pursuant to further agreement on safeguards or guidelines for defining emails threads which reasonably take into account the capabilities of the email threading/dethreading/hyperthreading tools used by each Defendant (which shall be disclosed to Plaintiffs).

16. SOURCE CODE

The Parties will meet and confer to address the production and/or inspection of source code and entering into a separate order governing the same if needed.

17. PRODUCTION FORMATS

2.1

The Parties agree to produce documents and data in the formats described in **Appendix 1** to this ESI Order. If particular documents or categories of documents identified in response to document requests warrant a different format, the Parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The Parties further agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

In addition, the Parties agree that the production and production format of social media and/or user account information is not covered by this agreement and to meet and confer on the production and production format, including metadata, for social media and/or user account information.

18. PHASING

Once the Parties begin propounding discovery requests pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the Parties agree to meet and confer regarding appropriate phasing for the production of ESI.

19. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- a) Production of Plaintiffs ESI and Case-Specific Materials. Subject to any further agreement among the Parties or Order of the Court, the Parties shall produce case-specific documents (i.e., documents specific to the claim of a given Plaintiff, produced by Plaintiffs or Defendants) for any Plaintiff in discovery pools or other selections designed to inform bellwether selection, including those selected for a bellwether trial, in accordance with the production format specified herein, provided, however, that the Producing Party may elect to produce such materials in their native format. To the extent production of case-specific documents for any Plaintiff selected for a bellwether trial presents an issue for any Party, the Parties shall reasonably confer, and may present any disputes to the Court or its designee. The Parties shall further agree to confer concerning the production format and associated matters (e.g., hosting platform) for case-specific documents produced in the cases of other Plaintiffs.

 Nothing herein shall limit Defendant's right to seek discovery from any Plaintiff.
- b) Translations Of Produced Materials. The Producing Party has no obligation to

create a translation of the Documents or any portion thereof. For any foreign-language documents responsive to document requests that a Party reasonably knows as the result of a reasonable investigation have been translated into the English language using human translators or through machine translation for its own purposes, except to the extent such translation is protected by attorney-client or work-product privileges, the Producing Party shall produce the translation of the original document with the original. The parties will meet and confer as necessary concerning procedures for using translations at depositions and at trial. In the event the Parties cannot reach agreement, the matter may be submitted to the Court for determination.

- c) Third-Party Documents. A Party that issues a Non-Party subpoena ("Issuing Party") shall include a copy of this Order with the subpoena and state that (1) the subpoenaed Non-Party should produce Documents in response to the subpoena to all Parties; and (2) the Parties to this Litigation have requested that Third Parties produce Documents in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. If the subpoenaed Non-Party produces Documents to the Issuing Party but does not produce those Documents to other Parties, the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to those other Parties within 14 days of receiving the Documents, except where the Documents are to be used in a deposition, in which case the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to all other Parties no later than three (3) days prior to the deposition, or as soon as reasonably practicable if such production occurs thereafter. Nothing in this Order is intended or may be interpreted to narrow, expand, or otherwise affect the rights of the Parties or Third Parties to object to a subpoena. If the Non-Party production is not Bates-stamped, the Parties will meet and confer to agree upon a format for designating the documents with a unique Bates Number prefix.
- d) <u>Documents Produced by Parties Presumption of Authenticity</u>. In order to reduce the number of requests for admission, this Order establishes a rebuttable presumption that documents produced by the Parties are authentic, if said documents were either created or authored by the producing Party, or any of its employees, agents, or

contractors, so long as the employees', agents', or contractors' work was performed in connection with a project or assignment sponsored by the producing Party. No further evidence to establish authenticity need be provided. Nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to waive any other evidentiary objection a party may have, including but not limited to hearsay, foundation/personal knowledge, or relevance.

- e) **Re-productions.** Notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary, re-production of discrete sets of documents from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter may be re-produced in the same manner and form as originally produced in the other matter, provided however that a party will re-produce documents in a different format for good cause shown. This provision does not waive the right of a party to object to any requests for reproduction of production files from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter.
- f) <u>Modification</u>. This ESI Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown.
- g) Good Faith. The Parties will act in good faith as required by law and use these procedures to identify and reduce the potential for disputes.
- h) <u>Continuing Obligations</u>. The Parties recognize that discovery shall be an iterative and cooperative process. The Parties will continue to meet and confer regarding any issues as reasonably necessary and appropriate. This Order does not address or resolve any objections to the Parties' respective discovery requests.
- i) <u>Reservation of Rights</u>. The Parties agree that any topic not addressed herein is neither a waiver nor acknowledgement of agreement by either Party.
- IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

1	DATED: March 15, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		<u>/s/ Lexi J. Hazam</u> LEXI J. HAZAM
3		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
4		BERNSTEIN, LLP
5		275 BATTERY STREET, 29th FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339
3		Telephone: 415-956-1000
6		lhazam@lchb.com
7		
8		PREVIN WARREN MOTLEY RICE LLC
9		401 9th Street NW Suite 630
		Washington DC 20004
10		T: 202-386-9610
11		pwarren@motleyrice.com
12		Co-Lead Counsel
13		CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
		SEEGER WEISS, LLP
14		55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6TH FLOOR RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660
15		Telephone: 973-639-9100
		Facsimile: 973-679-8656
16		cseeger@seegerweiss.com
17		Commenter Co. Lord Comment
18		Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel
19		JENNIE LEE ANDERSON
19		ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
20		155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
21		Telephone: 415-986-1400
22		jennie@andrusanderson.com
23		Liaison Counsel
		MATTHEW BERGMAN
24		GLENN DRAPER
25		SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER 821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100
26		SEATTLE, WA 98104
27		Telephone: 206-741-4862 matt@socialmediavictims.org
28		glenn@socialmediavictims.org

1	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2	By: <u>/s/ Stephanie Schuster</u> Stephanie Schuster (pro hac vice)
3	stephanie.schuster@morganlewis.com 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
4	NW Washington, DC 20004-2541
5	Tel.: 202.373.6595
6	Yardena R. Zwang-Weissman (SBN 247111) yardena.zwang-weissman@morganlewis.com
7	300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
8	Tel.: 213.612.7238
9	Brian Ercole (pro hac vice)
10	<u>brian.ercole@morganlewis.com</u> 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600
11	Miami, FL 33131-3075 Tel.: 305.415.3416
12	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and
13	Google LLC
14	
1.	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Agreement is approved.
15	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Agreement is approved.
	Dated: March 18, 2024
15	
15 16 17 18	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024

CaseC41262-41162203101407310457RYGROdDorocenno 4.10136950 FFFFibeld03712842244 Patgrag1e9255830f 436

2.1

APPENDIX 1: PRODUCTION FORMAT

- 1) Production Components. Except as otherwise provided below, ESI must be produced in accordance with the following specifications:a) an ASCII delimited data file (.DAT) using standard delimiters;
 - b) an image load file (.OPT) that can be loaded into commercially acceptable production software (e.g. Concordance);
 - c) single page black-and-white TIFF images, or JPEG images when color is specified herein, or native files with single page placeholder TIFF images depending on the applicable production format for each type of file;
 - d) and document level .TXT files for all documents containing extracted full text or OCR text.
 - e) Family relationships between emails and their attachments, embedded files and their source document, and connected hardcopy documents will be maintained in production. Attachments should be consecutively produced with their parent.
 - f) If a particular document warrants a different production format, the Parties will cooperate in good faith to arrange for a mutually acceptable production format.
- 2) Production Media and Access Controls. Productions must be encrypted and produced through secure electronic means, such as secure file sharing methods (e.g. FTP), or on CD, DVD, flash drive or external hard drive ("Production Media"). Each piece of Production Media must identify a production number corresponding to the production volume (e.g. "VOL001"). Each piece of Production Media must also identify: (a) the case caption; (b) the following label: "This media contains material subject to Court Ordered security measures"; (c) the Producing Party's name; (d) the production date; (e) the Bates Number range of the materials contained on the Production Media.

Nothing in this ESI Order will preclude or impair any and all protections provided the Parties by any Protective Order(s) agreed and entered into by the Parties. Parties will use best efforts to avoid the unnecessary copying or transmittal of produced documents. If questions arise, the Parties will meet and confer to ensure security concerns are addressed prior to the exchange of

any documents.

- aproduction sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents in the image load file should match the image load file must match the corresponding of the production. The total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of TIFF files in the production. All images must be assigned a unique Bates number that is sequential within a given document and across the production sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents' beginning Bates numbers in the data load file. The total number of documents in a production should match the total number of records in the data load file. Load files must not vary in format or structure within a production, or from one production to another except by agreement of the Parties.
- 4) Metadata Fields. Each of the metadata and coding fields set forth below that can be extracted should be produced for each document, except that if the field contains privileged information, that privileged information may be redacted. However, to the extent that metadata does not exist, is not available for any documents produced, this provision shall not be read to require any Party to extract, capture, collect, manually populate, or produce such metadata, with the exception of the following: (a) BEGBATES, (b) ENDBATES, (c) BEGATTACH, (d) ENDATTACH, (e) PRODVOL, (f) ALL CUSTODIANS, (g) CONFIDENTIALITY, (h) REDACTIONS, (i) REDACTION TYPE, (j) HASHVALUE, (k) NATIVEFILEPATH, and (l) TEXTFILEPATH, which should be populated by the Party or the Party's vendor. The Parties will make reasonable efforts to ensure that metadata fields automatically extracted from the documents correspond directly to the information that exists in the original documents.

Field Name	Field Description
BEGBATES	Beginning Bates number for a particular document as stamped on the first production image for that document
ENDBATES	Ending Bates number as stamped on the last

Field Name	Field Description
	production image for a particular document
BEGATTACH	First production Bates number of the first document in a family
ENDATTACH	Last production Bates number of the last document in a family
ATTACHCOUNT	Number of attachments to an e-mail or embedded parent, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
ATTACHNAMES	Names of each individual Attachment, separated by semicolons.
PRODVOL	Production volume
ALLCUSTODIANS	List of all custodians associated with Document, i.e. "Custodian" + "Other Custodian" values delimited by semicolon.
ALLFILEPATHS	All original path(s) to the individual source file(s) when available in the ordinary course of business to and processable by the parties and their ESI vendors of choice. Includes partie to and including internal path of containers.
CONFIDENTIALITY	Confidentiality designation assigned to the document consistent with the Stipulated Protective Order governing this Litigation
NATIVEFILEPATH	Native File Link (Native Files only)
TEXTFILEPATH	Path to extracted text/OCR file for the document
HASHVALUE	MD5 hash value of the document
DOCUMENT TYPE	Descriptor for the type of document: "Edocument" for electronic documents not attached to e-mails; "E-mail" for all e-mails; "E-attachment" for files that were attachment to e-mails; and "Physical" for hard copy physical documents that have been scanned and converted to an electronic image.
AUTHOR	Any value populated in the Author field of the

Field Name	Field Description
	document properties
DOCDATE	Date the document was created according filesystem information (format: MM/DD/YYYY)
DATELASTMODIFIED	Date when document was last modified according to filesystem information (forma MM/DD/YYYY)
LAST MODIFIED BY	Last person who modified (saved) a document, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
TRACK CHANGES	Y if a document with track changes value, otherwise N or empty, if available separate from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
COMMENTS	Y if a document with comments, otherwise or empty, if available separately from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
HASHIDDENDATA	Y if a document with hidden content value otherwise N or empty
FILENAME	Filename of an electronic document
TITLE	Any value populated in the Title field of the document properties
DOCEXT	File extension of document pulled from the document properties
FROM	The sender of the email
ТО	All recipients that were included on the "T line of the email
CC	All recipients that were included on the "C line of the email
BCC	All recipients that were included on the "BCC" line of the email
DATETIMERECEIVED	Date and time email was received (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)
DATETIMESENT	Date and time email was sent (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)

Field Name	Field Description
TIMEZONE	The timezone used to process the document
EMAILSUBJECT	Subject line of email pulled from the document properties
THREADID	If a threading tool is used for emails, the thread id generated by the threading tool.
REDACTION TYPE ¹	General category of redaction reason as agreed to by the parties. For example, PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV. If more than one, separate reasons by semicolons.
REDACTIONS	Y if a document is redacted, otherwise N or empty

5) TIFFs. Unless excepted below, single page, black and white, Group IV TIFFs should be provided, at least 300 dots per inch (dpi) for all documents. Each TIFF image must be named according to a unique corresponding Bates number associated with the document. Each image must be branded according to the Bates number and the agreed upon confidentiality designation. Original document orientation should be maintained (i.e., portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape). Where the TIFF image is unreadable or has materially degraded the quality of the original, the Producing Party shall provide a higher quality TIFF image or the native or original file. In addition, the Parties shall take reasonable efforts to process word processing documents (e.g., MS Word) with track changes and/or comments unhidden on the TIFF image.

6) Color. Word processing documents containing tracked changes shall be produced in color, as single-page, 300 dpi JPG images with JPG compression and a high quality setting as to not degrade the original image. The Producing Party shall comply with good faith by the Requesting Party to provide replacement color images for documents originally produced in black and white. A Party making such a request shall make the request by individual Bates

¹ The Parties will provide specific information on any privilege(s) asserted in their privilege logs, in an overlay, or the redaction boxes. The "Redaction Reason" field is informational only for redaction type and shall not in any way limit a party's right to assert attorney-client privilege, attorney-work product privilege, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

24

25

26

27

28

number(s) and shall limit requests made pursuant to this paragraph to a reasonable number of documents.

- **7**) **Text Files.** A single multi-page text file must be provided for each document, and the filename should match its respective TIFF filename for the first page of that document. Extracted text shall be generated with commercially acceptable technology set to include all comments, revisions, tracked changes, speaker's notes and text from documents with comments or tracked changes, and hidden and very hidden worksheets, slides, columns and rows. When possible, the text of native files should be extracted directly from the native file. Parties will perform optical character recognition ("OCR") on foreign language documents using the appropriate settings for languages reasonably anticipated to be in the production following a meet and confer with the Requesting Party to identify those languages. Text files will not contain the redacted portions of the documents. A commercially acceptable technology for OCR should be used for all scanned, hard copy documents and for documents with redactions other than Excel files and other spreadsheets which shall be redacted in native format. Text extracted from emails should include the following header information where available: (1) the individuals to whom the communication was directed ("To"), (2) the author of the email communication ("From"), (3) who was copied and blind copied on such email ("CC" and "BCC"), (4) the subject line of the email ("RE" or "Subject"), and (5) the date and time of the email. To the extent the text extraction technology the Parties are using can be configured to include the text of any URLs or links, the Parties shall utilize that setting.
- Native files. Spreadsheets (*e.g.* MS Excel), and un-redacted presentations (e.g. Microsoft PowerPoint,) will be produced in native format to the extent that they are produced in this Litigation, audio, video, and multi-media files will be produced in native format. The Parties will meet and confer on the production of other file types, such as proprietary files, etc. Native files will be produced with a link in the NATIVEFILEPATH field, along with extracted text (where extracted text is available) and applicable metadata fields set forth in paragraph 4 above. A Bates numbered TIFF placeholder indicating that the document was provided in native format must accompany every native file. Where redaction makes production of native-format

files other than spreadsheets or presentations infeasible, the Parties will confer to determine a reasonably usable form for the production, but spreadsheets shall presumptively be redacted in native, and presentations presumptively redacted in image form, in these cases without the need for further conferring.

- hardcopy will be scanned to *.tiff image format and produced in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. Hard copy documents that are not text-searchable shall be made searchable by OCR prior to production. In scanning paper documents, distinct documents should not be merged into a single record, and single documents should not be split into multiple records (i.e., paper documents should be logically unitized). In the case of an organized compilation of separate documents (for example, a binder containing several separate documents behind numbered tabs), the document behind each tab should be scanned separately, but the relationship among the documents in the compilation should be reflected in the proper coding of the beginning and ending document and attachment fields. The Parties will make their best efforts to unitize the documents correctly. If relevant documents are maintained in a file, folder, envelope, binder, notebook or similar container used to store documents, all contents therein shall be reviewed for production and privilege. Document pages which have affixed notes, such as Post-it notes, should be imaged with and without the note attached.
- **10)** Confidentiality Designation. All images will be stamped with the appropriate confidentiality designations in accordance with the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this Litigation. Each document produced in native format will have its confidentiality designation identified in the filename of the native file and indicated on its corresponding TIFF placeholder.
- 11) Bates Numbering. Bates numbering should be a consistent length across the production, contain no special characters, and be numerically sequential within a given document. If a Bates number or set of Bates numbers is skipped, the skipped number or set of numbers should be noted with a placeholder. Attachments to documents will be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents to which they were attached.

In addition, wherever possible, each image will have its assigned Bates number electronically "burned" onto the image.

- information is stored in a database, or database management system, or proprietary system or application which has is directed to data storage as one of its primary functions, the Producing Party will identify the database and platform to the Requesting Party. The Producing Party shall produce exports and reports about such responsive information stored in such database, where such exports and reports shall be in a reasonably usable form, and information may be produced in CSV format, tab-delimited text format, Microsoft Excel format, or Microsoft Access format. If there are future disputes over the production of information from a database, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to reach any further agreements (if needed) on the data to be produced and the format and scope of the production. The Producing Party will provide reasonable amounts of information about the databases to facilitate that discussion.
- inside a responsive document (including hyperlinked inside emails) within a Producing Party's custody, possession, or control, do not need to be produced in the first instance as part of the same family group as the Document residing at the location to which that hyperlink points. If there are particular hyperlinks identified by the Requesting Party in produced documents, the Requesting Party may submit a list of hyperlinks to a particular Producing Party for potentially relevant documents by identifying the Bates number and URL or link text for each requested link to the Producing Party, and the Producing Party will engage in reasonable efforts to locate the hyperlinked document at that location and either identify it by Bates number or provide any responsive, non-produced, and non-privileged documents. The number of hyperlinks a Requesting Party may identify to a Producing Party shall not be excessive and shall be reasonable, proportional to the needs of the case, and not unduly burdensome.
- **14) Embedded Objects.** The Parties agree non-substantive embedded objects, including, but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons, and footers, may be culled from a document set (but not a document) and need not be produced as separate documents by a

Producing Party (i.e., such embedded objects will be produced within the document itself, rather than as separate documents). Embedded files, except for images (including but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons), are to be produced as family groups. Embedded files should be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents within which they are embedded.

- 15) Production of Family Groups and Relationships. If any member of a family group is produced, all members of that group must also be produced or else logged as privileged, and no such member shall be withheld from production as a duplicate.
- **16) Dynamic Fields**. Documents with dynamic fields for file names, dates, and times will be imaged to show the field code (e.g., "[FILENAME]") where possible, rather than the values for such fields existing at the time the file is processed.
- 17) Time Zone. The time zone used to process a document, including its metadata, shall be disclosed in the TIMEZONE metadata field consistent with Appendix 1, and shall be standardized for each Defendant across productions.

18) Redactions.

- a) A Producing Party may redact (i) information subject to the attorney client privilege or the work product protection (PRIV); (ii) information that cannot be disclosed pursuant to the Stored Communications Act (SCA); (iii) source code subject to separate agreement applicable to production of source code (CODE); (iv) personal identifying information (PII) including phone numbers, personal addresses, personal email addresses, the month and day of birth, driver's license numbers, and other PII agreed to by the Parties (for example, the Parties shall complete their meet and confer on whether or not to redact users' ages and years of birth). In any event, there shall be no redaction of illnesses, injuries, and medical diagnoses. To the extent a document or pleading contains PII, the Parties shall designate such documents at the appropriate Confidentiality level under the Protective Order and shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 with regard to filings with the Court.
- b) No redactions for relevance may be made within a produced document or ESI item. If, during the course of discovery, the Parties identify other kinds of information that any STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF ESI & HARD COPY DOCUMENTS 9 3:22-MD-03047-YGR; MDL NO. 3047

Party has a reasonable basis for redacting, the Parties will meet and confer on a case-by-case basis regarding that information before such redactions are made. If the Parties cannot agree, they may seek resolution from the Court.

- c) The Producing Party will indicate, on the face of the redaction, the asserted reason(s) for the redaction (PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV) and the REDACTION TYPE metadata field shall indicate that the document contains redactions and the reason(s) for the redaction.
- d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, this provision shall not be read to prohibit redactions permitted under any applicable U.S. law or Protective Order.
- e) Where a responsive document contains both redacted and non-redacted content, the Parties shall produce the non-redacted portions of the document and the OCR text corresponding to the non-redacted portions.
- f) Native Redactions. Spreadsheet files requiring redaction, including without limitation Microsoft Excel files, shall be redacted and produced natively (unless the Parties agree to production in some other format). In addition, a Producing Party may natively redact other files that cannot be properly imaged for redaction.
- g) All images of redacted files shall be processed to show all comments, revision marks, speaker notes, marks made in track changes, or other user-entered data which are visible in a normal view of the document in its native application, unless such material is redacted and marked as redacted in accordance with this section. Where possible, any occurrences of date/time auto-field items, including in headers and footers, will be removed and replaced with the term AUTODATE to prevent the current date from being printed. Email header information (e.g., date and/or subject line) shall not be redacted unless it is independently privileged. The Parties shall honor reasonable requests for the production of particular redacted documents in other formats where the image is not reasonably usable.
- h) Color. Redacted versions of documents that would have been produced in color in their un-redacted form shall be produced in color as detailed herein.

-) **Exception Files**. The Parties will use reasonable efforts to address processing exceptions.
- data that can reasonably be extracted and produced in the formats described herein is identified on a mobile or handheld device, that data shall be produced in accordance with the generic provisions of this protocol. To the extent that responsive data identified on a mobile or handheld device is not susceptible to normal production protocols, the Parties will meet and confer to address the identification, production, and production format of any responsive documents and data contained on any mobile or handheld device.

COVINGTON

BEIJING BOSTON BRUSSELS DUBAI FRANKFURT
JOHANNESBURG LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK
PALO ALTO SAN FRANCISCO SEOUL SHANGHAI WASHINGTON

Covington & Burling LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067-4643 T +1 424 332 4800

DELIVERED VIA PROCESS SERVER

July 24, 2024

Indiana Department of Education 100 N. Senate Avenue, 9th Floor Indianapolis, IN 46204

Re: People of the State of California v. Meta Platforms, Inc., 4:23-cv-05448

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find a subpoena being served upon you in connection with the above-captioned litigation currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. I represent Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc., Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc. and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, the "Meta Defendants") in this matter.

The document subpoena requests the production of specific documents identified in the attached subpoena (see Attachment A to the subpoena), which are requested to be produced by 10:00 a.m. on August 23, 2024. We are willing to work with you on the time, date, location, method and manner of document production, as well as answer any questions or concerns you may have regarding subpoena compliance. It is sufficient compliance with this subpoena if you electronically transmit a copy of the requested documents to the undersigned. As such, please contact me at ceppich@cov.com and 424-332-4764, to discuss document production and subpoena compliance, unless you are already represented by counsel in connection with this matter.

The Court is currently considering whether the Indiana Attorney General's Office has control over Indiana Department of Education's information for the purposes of discovery in this action. If the Court rules that the Indiana Attorney General's Office has control over the Indiana Department of Education's information, the Indiana Attorney General has pending discovery requests that seek this agency's documents. By serving this subpoena, Meta does not waive its position in the state agency dispute, nor does Meta in any way waive rights to compel the Indiana Attorney General to produce Indiana Department of Education information as part of discovery in this action. However, to avoid further delay in the production of information from the Indiana Department of Education, Meta is serving the attached subpoena to request the timely production of this information.

COVINGTON

Indiana Department of Education July 24, 2024 Page 2

Your anticipated time and assistance with this matter is greatly appreciated.

Best regards,

/s/ Christopher K. Eppich

Christopher K. Eppich

Enclosed: Subpoena, Attachment A, and Attachment B

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

United States District Court

for the

Northern District	of California	
IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL		
INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION)		
)		
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	Civil Action No.	4:22-MD-03047-YGR
People of the State of California, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc.,		MDL No. 3047
et al. Case No.: 4:23-cv-05448		WIDE 140. 3047
SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMEN OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF P		
To: Indiana Department of Education		
100 N. Senate Avenue, 9th Floor, Indianapolis, IN 46204		
(Name of person to whom	n this subpoena is directed)	
Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce a documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to material: See Attachment A.		
The state of the s	1.50	
Place: Veritext Legal Solutions	Date and Time:	
111 Monument Circle, Suite 4350	August 23,	2024; 10:00 a.m.
Indianapolis, IN 46204		
Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANDED other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the police:	, and location set forth	below, so that the requesting party
The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are atta Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not	subpoena; and Rule 45	
	comg so.	
Date:07/24/2024		
CLERK OF COURT		
	OR	
		/s/ Ashley Simonsen
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments,	ne attorney representin	g (name of party)
Meta Platforms, Inc. 1/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC,	me. and who issues	or requests this subpoena, are:
Ashley Simonsen, Covington & Burling LLP, 1999 Avenue of the Stars		

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 4:23-cv-05448

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this su	ibpoena for (name of individual and title, if a	ny)	
(date)	·		
☐ I served the su	ubpoena by delivering a copy to the nar	med person as follows:	
		On (date) ;	or
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:		
	States, or one of its officers or agents, I e, and the mileage allowed by law, in the		
\$	·		
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under p	enalty of perjury that this information i	is true.	
e:	_	Server's signature	
		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action(Page 3)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
 - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
 - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) *Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.* These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) *Documents*. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS TO ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

- The term "communication" means the transmittal of information (in the form of 1. facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise).
- 2. "Document" or "documents" shall have the broadest meaning ascribed to it by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and includes, without limitation electronically stored information ("ESI") (including, without limitation, electronic databases and the data therein, all electronic messages or communications, electronic word processing documents, electronically stored voicemail, webpages, and social media posts) in accordance with any order in this case governing ESI at the time of production. Different versions of the same documents, including, but not limited to, drafts or documents with handwritten notations or marks not found in the original or on other copies are different documents.
 - 3. "You", "you", "Your" and "your" means the Indiana Department of Education.
- "Relating" or "related to" means and includes referring to, concerning, referencing, 4. associated with, constituting, discussing, containing, embodying, recording, reflecting, identifying, summarizing, incorporating, and/or dealing with or pertaining to in any way.
 - 5. "And" includes "or", and vice versa.
 - 6. The singular form of a word or term includes the plural, and vice versa.
 - 7. The present tense of a verb includes the past tense, and vice versa.
- 8. The use of the article "the" shall not be construed as limiting the scope of any request.
 - 9. "Including" means "including without limitation."

- 10. "Any" includes "all", and vice versa.
- 11. The capitalized version of a word or term includes the lower case version of a word or term, and vice versa.
- 12. "Social Media Platforms" or "Platforms" means a digital service that facilitates interactions between two or more distinct but interdependent sets of users, including but not limited to Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, YouTube, Twitter (or "X"), Pinterest, LinkedIn, BeReal, Lapse, Reddit, Threads, VSCO, Goodreads, Quora, Discord, Twitch, and Tumblr.
- 13. "Young Users" means users of Social Platforms who are under 18 years of age when using the Platform(s).

All words, terms, and phrases not specifically defined herein are to be given their normal and customary meaning in the context in which they are used in these Requests.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. These Requests are intended to cover any and all documents in your possession, custody, or control, to the extent not privileged. Documents held by any office, department, board, commission, or instrumentality of the Indiana Department of Education are within your possession, custody, or control.
- 2. In accordance with ESI Protocol attached hereto as Attachment B, if you object to furnishing any of the documents or information requested by these Requests on the grounds of attorney-client privilege, work product, other privilege, or otherwise then, with respect to each such document:
 - a. state the nature of the privilege claimed;
 - b. state the date of each communication supporting the privilege claim;
 - c. identify all participants in the supporting communication;
 - d. state the specific grounds on which the objection is based; and

- e. identify the specific request calling for such information;
- 2. If you object to any Request on the grounds that it is vague or ambiguous, state: (i) the portions or terms of such Request that you claim to be vague or ambiguous; and (ii) the interpretation of the Request pursuant to which you provide a response.
- 3. Each request shall be deemed continuing, so as to require supplemental or mandatory responses should you obtain additional responsive information subsequent to your initial responses.
- 4. If you cannot fully respond to a particular Request after exercising due diligence to make inquiry and to secure the necessary information, provide a response to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and providing whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the portion not responded to.
- Each page of a produced document shall have a legible, unique page identifier 5. ("Bates Number") and confidentiality legend (where applicable) on the face of the image at a location that does not obliterate, conceal, or interfere with any information from the source document. Redactions should be clearly marked or stamped on the page in such a way that it is clear from review that a portion of the image has been redacted.
- 6. All responsive and non-privileged electronic documents shall be produced in the format ordered by the Court in its ESI order.
- 7. All responsive electronic documents shall be produced in a format agreed upon by the parties, to include at least native versions of Excel spreadsheets and presentation files, with a Bates-stamped placeholder image.
- 8. Unless otherwise specified, the time period for these Requests is January 1, 2012 to the date of production of the Documents.

ATTACHMENT A

- 1. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of persons ages 13 to 18.
- 2. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Indiana Department of Education to encourage or promote the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 3. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Indiana Department of Education to address Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms, including any program, initiative, effort, or action to prevent, limit, encourage, or promote the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users.
- 4. Studies, programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Indiana Department of Education that sought to provide or promote internet access or phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices to Young Users.
- 5. Policies proposed, recommended, or enacted by the Indiana Department of Education regarding screen time and acceptable use of cell phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices by Young Users.
- 6. Complaints to the Indiana Department of Education by teachers or school districts regarding social media or cell phone use by Young Users and/or the need for acceptable use or other policies to address Young Users' use of social media or cell phones.
- 7. Complaints to the Indiana Department of Education by teachers or school districts regarding budget crises from inflation, underfunding, unfunded mandates, and other causes.
- 8. Documents related to state assessments in Indiana, including reports and analyses regarding the history of K-12 state assessment or standardized testing scores, performance by schools and/or school districts, and any other measures of school performance.
- 9. Indiana Department of Education's communications and/or collaboration with any Social Media Platform on any program, initiative or other action related to, or directed at, Young Users.
- 10. Indiana Department of Education's communications with any third party related to Young Users and/or Social Media Platforms.
- 11. Policies, procedures, and practices applicable to Indiana Department of Education and Indiana Department of Education employees regarding the use of Social Media Platforms, including any changes to these policies during the Relevant Period.

- 12. Legislation or policies proposed by, proposed on behalf of, or testified on by the Indiana Department of Education, regardless of whether such legislation or policies were enacted, regarding Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 13. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms, including the importance of Social Media Platforms and the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users and the potential benefits and harms created by the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 14. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms regarding the impact of social media on the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 15. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to:
 - a. Features of Social Media Platforms that are psychologically or physically harmful to Young Users;
 - b. Features of Social Media Platforms that promote compulsive, prolonged, or unhealthy use by Young Users;
 - c. The impact of features of Social Media Platforms, including:
 - (1) Algorithmic recommendation and sequencing;
 - (2) Image filters;
 - (3) Use of multiple user accounts;
 - (4) Infinite scroll;
 - (5) Ephemeral content features;
 - (6) Autoplay;
 - (7) Quantification and display of likes; or
 - (8) Audiovisual and haptic alerts.
- 16. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users, including those related to the effects of:
 - a. Social Media Platforms usage;
 - b. Video games usage;
 - c. Cell phone usage;
 - d. Messaging usage;
 - e. Usage of other electronics apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - f. COVID-19 and/or remote learning;
 - g. Use of drugs or alcohol;
 - h. The opioid and fentanyl epidemic;
 - i. Poverty, homelessness, lack of health insurance, and/or food insecurity;
 - j. Physical health including nutrition, exercise, weight management, sleeping habits, and sexual activity;
 - k. Family trauma or deaths;
 - 1. Academic pressure;
 - m. Violence, including school violence, domestic violence, gun violence, gun control and/or mass shootings;

- n. Bullying or verbal abuse apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
- o. Political polarization;
- p. Natural disasters;
- q. Climate change;
- r. Discrimination and inequity;
- s. Global warfare and conflict; and
- t. Any other potential causes of harms to the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 17. Youth Risk Behavior Surveys conducted by the Indiana Department of Education or on its behalf.
- 18. Public or non-public meetings held by the Indiana Department of Education related to Social Media Platforms and use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users, including notices, meeting notes, PowerPoint presentations, internal memoranda, summaries, personal notes, or attendee lists.
- 19. Mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health services provided by the Indiana Department of Education to Young Users during the Relevant Period.
- 20. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Indiana Department of Education during the Relevant Period related to Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 21. Policies, procedures, and practices related to the Indiana Department of Education's use of Social Media Platforms, including communication of information or promotion of Indiana Department of Education programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions on Social Media Platforms.
- 22. Indiana Department of Education's budget and actual expenditures during the Relevant Period, including expenditures on:
 - a. Digital advertisements by the Indiana Department of Education on Social Media Platforms
 - b. Digital advertisements by the Indiana Department of Education purchased through Social Media Platforms.

ATTACHMENT B

Ca	se 432-26-141-12-26-130-14-12-30-14-17-30-18-17-30-18-17-30-18-17-30-18-17-30-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-18-	Filled 03/1/22/1/24 Pagae 4. 282 of 436	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	IN THE UNITED STATI		
8	IN RE: Social Media Adolescent	MDL No. 3047	
9	Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability Litigation	Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR	
10		Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers	
11	This document relates to: ALL ACTIONS	Honorable Peter H. Kang	
12		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE	
13		PRODUCTION OF	
14		ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND HARD COPY	
15		DOCUMENTS	
16			
17	1. PURPOSE		
18	This Order Governing the Production of E	lectronically Stored Information and Hard Copy	
19	Documents ("ESI Order") will govern discovery of electronically stored information and any hard		
20	copy documents in this Litigation as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this		
21	District's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other		
22	applicable orders and rules. "This Litigation" incl	udes all actions currently in MDL No. 3047, In	
23	Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability, or hereafter added or		
24	transferred to MDL No. 3047, and all actions later	remanded to their respective transferor courts.	

2. **DEFINITIONS**

25

26

27

28

"Document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and shall include Hard-Copy Documents and ESI.

- 1 2
- 3

- 5
- 67
- 8
- 9
- 11
- 12
- 13 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 1 /
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 2223
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- b) "Electronically stored information" or "ESI," as used herein has the same meaning as in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34.
- c) "Hard-Copy Document" means Documents existing in paper form at the time of collection.
- d) "Searchable Text" means the native text extracted from an Electronic Document and any Optical Character Recognition text ("OCR text") generated from a Hard-Copy Document or electronic image.

3. COOPERATION

The Parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout this Litigation consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI and this Court's Rules of Professional Conduct. The Parties will endeavor to cooperate in good faith and be reasonably transparent in all aspects of the discovery process.

4. LIAISON

The Parties will identify Discovery Liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Any Party is free to change their designated Discovery Liaison by providing written notice to the other Parties. Each Party's Discovery Liaison must: (a) be prepared to meet and confer on discovery-related matters and to participate in discovery dispute resolution; (b) be knowledgeable about the Party's discovery efforts; (c) be, or have reasonable access to those who are, familiar with the Party's electronic systems and capabilities in order to explain those systems and answer relevant questions; and (d) be, or have reasonable access to those who are knowledgeable about the technical aspects of discovery, including electronic document storage, organization, and format issues, and relevant information retrieval technology, including search methodology.

5. IDENTIFICATION OF CUSTODIANS AND DATA SOURCES

The parties will disclose information about custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources likely to possess relevant or responsive information in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and this District's ESI Guidelines. The Parties will participate in Rule 26(f) discussions guided by this District's Checklist for Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer Regarding Electronically

Stored Information. After responses to Requests for Production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 have been served, the parties will meet and confer regarding those custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources from which Documents and ESI will be collected for search and review for potential production in this litigation. The custodian and data source exchanges will include brief explanations of the rationale for their selections; for example, for custodians, their current job titles and descriptions of their work, and for data sources, location information and description.

6. INACCESSIBLE OR UNUSABLE ESI

2.1

If a Producing Party asserts that certain requested ESI is inaccessible or not "reasonably accessible," otherwise unnecessary, or if the Receiving Party asserts that, following production, certain ESI is not reasonably useable, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss resolving such assertions. The parties will exchange sufficient information to enable the parties to confer in good faith. If the parties cannot resolve any such disputes after such a meet and confer has taken place, the issue shall be presented to the Court for resolution.

7. KNOWN RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS

Documents or ESI identified in a custodial or non-custodial file, or in a discrete folder or collection, that are known to a Producing Party through reasonable investigation to be responsive to a discovery request shall be collected for review without regard to whether the responsive content was located via any search methodology developed in accordance with this Order, and nothing about such review shall prevent the Producing Party from redacting or withholding and logging such documents for applicable privileges.

8. SEARCH METHODOLOGIES

The Parties shall adopt reasonable and proportionate methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce ESI as required under applicable legal standards. The Parties recognize and agree that each Party may use one or more methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-privileged ESI, including the use of keyword search terms and/or the use of technology assisted review ("TAR") as discussed further herein. The Parties further recognize that different data sets may implicate different methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-

2.1

privileged ESI. The Parties therefore agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding any potential disputes over their respective ESI productions.

9. HIT REPORTS AND SEARCH TERMS

ESI, the Producing Party shall disclose the search terms to the Requesting Party. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over the disclosed search terms. In the event that a Producing Party claims burden with respect to modified and/or additional search terms proposed by a Requesting Party, the Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the terms at issue using industry-standard processing tools, such as NUIX or other similar tools. The Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the document collection where the terms were applied, including the following with respect to each proposed or modified search term in the collection:

- a) The number of documents with hits for that term; and
- b) The number of unique documents, i.e., documents which do not have hits for any other term.

If, after reviewing a hit report from a Producing Party, a Requesting Party so chooses, it may reasonably request a further hit report which includes:

- c) The number of family members, including the documents with hits, of the documents with hits for that term; and
- d) The number of unique family members of the documents with hits for that term.

If the ESI tool for the Producing Party is capable without undue burden of providing the number of family members and unique family members, then the Producing Party shall provide such further hit report. The Parties (including the person most knowledgeable about the capabilities of the Producing Party's ESI tool and the Requesting Party's person most knowledgeable about technical issues from its ESI service provider) shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over whether the Producing Party's ESI tool has the capability or not to provider either number of family members or number of unique family members.

The Parties shall meet and confer to resolve disagreements over the search terms or their application. To the extent the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the application of, or

2.1

procedures for, any search or filtering processes, the Parties shall fully comply with the provisions of this Court's Discovery Standing Order regarding the procedure for raising discovery disputes with the Court, including the meet and confer and certification requirements therein.

10. TECHNOLOGY ASSISTED REVIEW (TAR)

A Producing Party may use TAR (technology-assisted review) during the culling and review process of ESI, which may be applied in addition to search terms. If a Producing Party uses TAR to cull, filter out, or exclude documents from that Party's production, that Producing Party shall do the following:

- If a Producing Party chooses to apply both search terms and TAR to a review set, the Producing Party will disclose the search terms to all other Party/Parties.
- Disclose the name of the TAR tool or service used to all other Party/Parties.

11. VALIDATION

Each Producing Party shall take reasonable steps to validate its review process (i.e., using quality control measures to determine whether its production is missing relevant ESI or contains substantial amounts of irrelevant ESI) and make any necessary adjustments or corrections to its process. If, after reviewing a Producing Party's production, a Requesting Party reasonably requests additional information regarding the validation method(s) used by the Producing Party, the Producing Party will disclose the level of end-to-end recall (the percentage of responsive Documents in the collection which were identified as responsive by that Producing Party's methodology). If there remain disputes between the Parties regarding validation, the Parties shall meet and confer to resolve such disputes in good faith, including a reasonable discussion regarding the tool used and the parameters used to obtain or calculate the level of recall.

12. UNSEARCHABLE DOCUMENTS

The Producing Party shall use reasonable efforts to identify and review potentially responsive documents for which text-based search technologies are fundamentally ineffective.

13. SYSTEM FILES

Each Party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application executable files using the national software reference library ("NSRL") NIST hash set list. The

Parties also may filter out stand-alone files identified as zero bytes in size. Additional culling of file types based on file header information may be applied to the following, provided these files are not known to be otherwise attached, embedded in, or included with an otherwise responsive document, or are not themselves reasonably known to contain information responsive or contain responsive data or are used to interface with users or interact with or access individual or aggregated user data: Application Package File, Backup Files, Batch Files, Binary Disc Image, C++ File Formats, Cascading Style Sheet, Configuration File, Database File, Dictionary Files, Dynamic Link Library, Event Log Files, Executable Files, Hypertext Cascading Stylesheet, Java Archive Files, JavaScript Files, JavaScript Source Code and Class Files, Macintosh Resource Fork Files, Package Manager Files, Program Files, Program Installers, Python Script Files, Shell Script Files, System or Temporary Files, Thumbnail Cache Files, Troff Files, Truetype Font Files, Windows Cabinet File, Windows Command Files, Windows File Shortcut, Windows Help Files, Windows Metafiles and Enhanced Metafiles, Windows Spool Files, Windows System File.

14. **DEDUPLICATION**

2.1

Each Producing Party shall make reasonable efforts to globally deduplicate exact duplicate Documents within that Producing Party's ESI data set across all custodial and non-custodial sources at the family level using either MD5 hash values or SHA hash values or any other agreed-upon (and disclosed) industry-standard deduplication technology. The Parties shall reach agreement on such other deduplication technology and shall reach agreement on how their deduplication tools shall identify exact duplicates of documents in a manner that is consistent with the disclosed tools and technologies a Producing Party is using. The Parties shall reach agreement on how to identify exact duplicates of emails using industry-standard commercially available software tools or services, which may for example calculate hash values of emails based on concatenated values of agreed-upon email fields and/or hash values of attachments, or which may use any other method the Parties agree upon. Having further met and conferred in keeping with the previous sentence, the Parties shall calculate deduplication hash values for emails on the concatenated values set forth in and in accordance with the documentation specifications of the disclosed deduplication tools to be used in this Litigation (i.e., RelativityOne, Relativity Server,

and Nuix); however, if Nuix is used, the Producing Party shall select the optional field "Include Bcc" as an additional field to add to the default ones.

The Parties shall not withhold from production near-duplicates without meeting and conferring on this issue.

The names of all custodians who were either identified as custodians for purposes of collection for this matter (or otherwise known by the Producing Party to have been in possession or custody of a document prior to deduplication) will be populated in the ALL CUSTODIANS metadata field for the produced version of a document that has duplicates removed from production. The original file paths (if any exist) of a document prior to deduplication will be populated in the ALL FILE PATHS metadata field of the produced document.

15. EMAIL THREADING

2.1

The Parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and shall produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members. Where multiple email messages are part of a single chain or "thread," a Party is only required to produce the most inclusive copy of an email message(s) ("Last In Time Email(s)") and need not produce earlier, lesser inclusive email messages or "thread members," provided that the tool or software service being used to perform threading is disclosed. A Producing Party may exclude from production lesser inclusive copies of the most inclusive email message in the thread, where the entire body of each of those lesser inclusive copies is included within the Last in Time Email. The Producing Party will honor reasonable requests to produce lesser inclusive copies of a Last In Time Email or other earlier chains of emails otherwise excluded by email thread suppression.

The Parties shall treat new or different email chains or threads pursuant to further agreement on safeguards or guidelines for defining emails threads which reasonably take into account the capabilities of the email threading/dethreading/hyperthreading tools used by each Defendant (which shall be disclosed to Plaintiffs).

16. SOURCE CODE

The Parties will meet and confer to address the production and/or inspection of source code and entering into a separate order governing the same if needed.

17. PRODUCTION FORMATS

2.1

The Parties agree to produce documents and data in the formats described in **Appendix 1** to this ESI Order. If particular documents or categories of documents identified in response to document requests warrant a different format, the Parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The Parties further agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

In addition, the Parties agree that the production and production format of social media and/or user account information is not covered by this agreement and to meet and confer on the production and production format, including metadata, for social media and/or user account information.

18. PHASING

Once the Parties begin propounding discovery requests pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the Parties agree to meet and confer regarding appropriate phasing for the production of ESI.

19. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- a) Production of Plaintiffs ESI and Case-Specific Materials. Subject to any further agreement among the Parties or Order of the Court, the Parties shall produce case-specific documents (i.e., documents specific to the claim of a given Plaintiff, produced by Plaintiffs or Defendants) for any Plaintiff in discovery pools or other selections designed to inform bellwether selection, including those selected for a bellwether trial, in accordance with the production format specified herein, provided, however, that the Producing Party may elect to produce such materials in their native format. To the extent production of case-specific documents for any Plaintiff selected for a bellwether trial presents an issue for any Party, the Parties shall reasonably confer, and may present any disputes to the Court or its designee. The Parties shall further agree to confer concerning the production format and associated matters (e.g., hosting platform) for case-specific documents produced in the cases of other Plaintiffs.

 Nothing herein shall limit Defendant's right to seek discovery from any Plaintiff.
- b) Translations Of Produced Materials. The Producing Party has no obligation to

create a translation of the Documents or any portion thereof. For any foreign-language documents responsive to document requests that a Party reasonably knows as the result of a reasonable investigation have been translated into the English language using human translators or through machine translation for its own purposes, except to the extent such translation is protected by attorney-client or work-product privileges, the Producing Party shall produce the translation of the original document with the original. The parties will meet and confer as necessary concerning procedures for using translations at depositions and at trial. In the event the Parties cannot reach agreement, the matter may be submitted to the Court for determination.

- c) Third-Party Documents. A Party that issues a Non-Party subpoena ("Issuing Party") shall include a copy of this Order with the subpoena and state that (1) the subpoenaed Non-Party should produce Documents in response to the subpoena to all Parties; and (2) the Parties to this Litigation have requested that Third Parties produce Documents in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. If the subpoenaed Non-Party produces Documents to the Issuing Party but does not produce those Documents to other Parties, the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to those other Parties within 14 days of receiving the Documents, except where the Documents are to be used in a deposition, in which case the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to all other Parties no later than three (3) days prior to the deposition, or as soon as reasonably practicable if such production occurs thereafter. Nothing in this Order is intended or may be interpreted to narrow, expand, or otherwise affect the rights of the Parties or Third Parties to object to a subpoena. If the Non-Party production is not Bates-stamped, the Parties will meet and confer to agree upon a format for designating the documents with a unique Bates Number prefix.
- d) <u>Documents Produced by Parties Presumption of Authenticity</u>. In order to reduce the number of requests for admission, this Order establishes a rebuttable presumption that documents produced by the Parties are authentic, if said documents were either created or authored by the producing Party, or any of its employees, agents, or

contractors, so long as the employees', agents', or contractors' work was performed in connection with a project or assignment sponsored by the producing Party. No further evidence to establish authenticity need be provided. Nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to waive any other evidentiary objection a party may have, including but not limited to hearsay, foundation/personal knowledge, or relevance.

- e) **Re-productions.** Notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary, re-production of discrete sets of documents from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter may be re-produced in the same manner and form as originally produced in the other matter, provided however that a party will re-produce documents in a different format for good cause shown. This provision does not waive the right of a party to object to any requests for reproduction of production files from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter.
- f) <u>Modification</u>. This ESI Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown.
- g) Good Faith. The Parties will act in good faith as required by law and use these procedures to identify and reduce the potential for disputes.
- h) <u>Continuing Obligations</u>. The Parties recognize that discovery shall be an iterative and cooperative process. The Parties will continue to meet and confer regarding any issues as reasonably necessary and appropriate. This Order does not address or resolve any objections to the Parties' respective discovery requests.
- i) <u>Reservation of Rights</u>. The Parties agree that any topic not addressed herein is neither a waiver nor acknowledgement of agreement by either Party.
- IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

PRODUCTION OF ESI & HARD COPY DOCUMENTS

1	DATED: March 15, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		<u>/s/ Lexi J. Hazam</u> LEXI J. HAZAM
3		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
4		BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 BATTERY STREET, 29TH FLOOR
5		SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339
6		Telephone: 415-956-1000 lhazam@lchb.com
7		
8		PREVIN WARREN
		MOTLEY RICE LLC 401 9th Street NW Suite 630
9		Washington DC 20004
10		T: 202-386-9610
11		pwarren@motleyrice.com
12		Co-Lead Counsel
13		CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
1.4		SEEGER WEISS, LLP
14		55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6TH FLOOR RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660
15		Telephone: 973-639-9100
16		Facsimile: 973-679-8656
10		cseeger@seegerweiss.com
17		Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel
18		JENNIE I EE ANDERGON
19		JENNIE LEE ANDERSON ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
20		155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900
		SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
21		Telephone: 415-986-1400 jennie@andrusanderson.com
22		
23		Liaison Counsel
24		MATTHEW BERGMAN GLENN DRAPER
25		SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER
26		821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100 SEATTLE, WA 98104
27		Telephone: 206-741-4862
		matt@socialmediavictims.org glenn@socialmediavictims.org
28		

1		MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2		By: /s/ Stephanie Schuster Stephanie Schuster (pro hac vice)
3		stephanie.schuster@morganlewis.com 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
4		NW Washington, DC 20004-2541
5		Tel.: 202.373.6595
6		Yardena R. Zwang-Weissman (SBN 247111) yardena.zwang-weissman@morganlewis.com
7		300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
8		Tel.: 213.612.7238
9		Brian Ercole (pro hac vice) brian.ercole@morganlewis.com
10		600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600
11		Miami, FL 33131-3075 Tel.: 305.415.3416
12		Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and
13		Google LLC
14	TETC OPPEDED 4 44 C	1
14	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing	g Agreement is approved.
15	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing	g Agreement is approved.
15 16	Dated: March 18, 2024	g Agreement is approved.
15 16 17		MAGISTRATE JUDGE PETER H. KANG
15 16 17 18		
15 16 17 18 19		
15 16 17 18 19 20		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: <u>March 18, 2024</u>	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated: <u>March 18, 2024</u>	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated: March 18, 2024	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024	

CaseC41.262-411.16293101407310457RYGBootDuoroemin 4.10136950 FFFFibeloD3712842244 Patgaegte93010301f 436

APPENDIX 1: PRODUCTION FORMAT

- 1) **Production Components.** Except as otherwise provided below, ESI must be produced in accordance with the following specifications:
 - a) an ASCII delimited data file (.DAT) using standard delimiters;
 - b) an image load file (.OPT) that can be loaded into commercially acceptable production software (e.g. Concordance);
 - c) single page black-and-white TIFF images, or JPEG images when color is specified herein, or native files with single page placeholder TIFF images depending on the applicable production format for each type of file;
 - d) and document level .TXT files for all documents containing extracted full text or OCR text.
 - e) Family relationships between emails and their attachments, embedded files and their source document, and connected hardcopy documents will be maintained in production. Attachments should be consecutively produced with their parent.
 - f) If a particular document warrants a different production format, the Parties will cooperate in good faith to arrange for a mutually acceptable production format.
- 2) Production Media and Access Controls. Productions must be encrypted and produced through secure electronic means, such as secure file sharing methods (e.g. FTP), or on CD, DVD, flash drive or external hard drive ("Production Media"). Each piece of Production Media must identify a production number corresponding to the production volume (e.g. "VOL001"). Each piece of Production Media must also identify: (a) the case caption; (b) the following label: "This media contains material subject to Court Ordered security measures"; (c) the Producing Party's name; (d) the production date; (e) the Bates Number range of the materials contained on the Production Media.

Nothing in this ESI Order will preclude or impair any and all protections provided the Parties by any Protective Order(s) agreed and entered into by the Parties. Parties will use best efforts to avoid the unnecessary copying or transmittal of produced documents. If questions arise, the Parties will meet and confer to ensure security concerns are addressed prior to the exchange of

any documents.

- aproduction sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents in the production sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents in the production sets. The Bates numbers in the data load file. The total number of document and across the production sets. The Bates numbers in the data load file. The total number of documents in a production should match the total number of records in the data load file. Load files must not vary in format or structure within a production, or from one production to another except by agreement of the Parties.
- 4) Metadata Fields. Each of the metadata and coding fields set forth below that can be extracted should be produced for each document, except that if the field contains privileged information, that privileged information may be redacted. However, to the extent that metadata does not exist, is not available for any documents produced, this provision shall not be read to require any Party to extract, capture, collect, manually populate, or produce such metadata, with the exception of the following: (a) BEGBATES, (b) ENDBATES, (c) BEGATTACH, (d) ENDATTACH, (e) PRODVOL, (f) ALL CUSTODIANS, (g) CONFIDENTIALITY, (h) REDACTIONS, (i) REDACTION TYPE, (j) HASHVALUE, (k) NATIVEFILEPATH, and (l) TEXTFILEPATH, which should be populated by the Party or the Party's vendor. The Parties will make reasonable efforts to ensure that metadata fields automatically extracted from the documents correspond directly to the information that exists in the original documents.

Field Name	Field Description
BEGBATES	Beginning Bates number for a particular document as stamped on the first production image for that document
ENDBATES	Ending Bates number as stamped on the last

Field Name	Field Description
	production image for a particular document
BEGATTACH	First production Bates number of the first document in a family
ENDATTACH	Last production Bates number of the last document in a family
ATTACHCOUNT	Number of attachments to an e-mail or embedded parent, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
ATTACHNAMES	Names of each individual Attachment, separated by semicolons.
PRODVOL	Production volume
ALLCUSTODIANS	List of all custodians associated with Document, i.e. "Custodian" + "Other Custodian" values delimited by semicolon.
ALLFILEPATHS	All original path(s) to the individual source file(s) when available in the ordinary course of business to and processable by the parties and their ESI vendors of choice. Includes path up to and including internal path of containers.
CONFIDENTIALITY	Confidentiality designation assigned to the document consistent with the Stipulated Protective Order governing this Litigation
NATIVEFILEPATH	Native File Link (Native Files only)
TEXTFILEPATH	Path to extracted text/OCR file for the document
HASHVALUE	MD5 hash value of the document
DOCUMENT TYPE	Descriptor for the type of document: "E-document" for electronic documents not attached to e-mails; "E-mail" for all e-mails; "E-attachment" for files that were attachments to e-mails; and "Physical" for hard copy physical documents that have been scanned and converted to an electronic image.
AUTHOR	Any value populated in the Author field of the

Field Name	Field Description
	document properties
DOCDATE	Date the document was created according to filesystem information (format: MM/DD/YYYY)
DATELASTMODIFIED	Date when document was last modified according to filesystem information (forma MM/DD/YYYY)
LAST MODIFIED BY	Last person who modified (saved) a document, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
TRACK CHANGES	Y if a document with track changes value, otherwise N or empty, if available separate from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
COMMENTS	Y if a document with comments, otherwise or empty, if available separately from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
HASHIDDENDATA	Y if a document with hidden content value, otherwise N or empty
FILENAME	Filename of an electronic document
TITLE	Any value populated in the Title field of the document properties
DOCEXT	File extension of document pulled from the document properties
FROM	The sender of the email
ТО	All recipients that were included on the "To line of the email
CC	All recipients that were included on the "Coline of the email
BCC	All recipients that were included on the "BCC" line of the email
DATETIMERECEIVED	Date and time email was received (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)
DATETIMESENT	Date and time email was sent (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)

Field Name	Field Description
TIMEZONE	The timezone used to process the document
EMAILSUBJECT	Subject line of email pulled from the document properties
THREADID	If a threading tool is used for emails, the thread id generated by the threading tool.
REDACTION TYPE ¹	General category of redaction reason as agreed to by the parties. For example, PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV. If more than one, separate reasons by semicolons.
REDACTIONS	Y if a document is redacted, otherwise N or empty

- 5) TIFFs. Unless excepted below, single page, black and white, Group IV TIFFs should be provided, at least 300 dots per inch (dpi) for all documents. Each TIFF image must be named according to a unique corresponding Bates number associated with the document. Each image must be branded according to the Bates number and the agreed upon confidentiality designation. Original document orientation should be maintained (i.e., portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape). Where the TIFF image is unreadable or has materially degraded the quality of the original, the Producing Party shall provide a higher quality TIFF image or the native or original file. In addition, the Parties shall take reasonable efforts to process word processing documents (e.g., MS Word) with track changes and/or comments unhidden on the TIFF image.
- 6) Color. Word processing documents containing tracked changes shall be produced in color, as single-page, 300 dpi JPG images with JPG compression and a high quality setting as to not degrade the original image. The Producing Party shall comply with good faith by the Requesting Party to provide replacement color images for documents originally produced in black and white. A Party making such a request shall make the request by individual Bates

¹ The Parties will provide specific information on any privilege(s) asserted in their privilege logs, in an overlay, or the redaction boxes. The "Redaction Reason" field is informational only for redaction type and shall not in any way limit a party's right to assert attorney-client privilege, attorney-work product privilege, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

24

25

26

27

28

number(s) and shall limit requests made pursuant to this paragraph to a reasonable number of documents.

- **7**) **Text Files.** A single multi-page text file must be provided for each document, and the filename should match its respective TIFF filename for the first page of that document. Extracted text shall be generated with commercially acceptable technology set to include all comments, revisions, tracked changes, speaker's notes and text from documents with comments or tracked changes, and hidden and very hidden worksheets, slides, columns and rows. When possible, the text of native files should be extracted directly from the native file. Parties will perform optical character recognition ("OCR") on foreign language documents using the appropriate settings for languages reasonably anticipated to be in the production following a meet and confer with the Requesting Party to identify those languages. Text files will not contain the redacted portions of the documents. A commercially acceptable technology for OCR should be used for all scanned, hard copy documents and for documents with redactions other than Excel files and other spreadsheets which shall be redacted in native format. Text extracted from emails should include the following header information where available: (1) the individuals to whom the communication was directed ("To"), (2) the author of the email communication ("From"), (3) who was copied and blind copied on such email ("CC" and "BCC"), (4) the subject line of the email ("RE" or "Subject"), and (5) the date and time of the email. To the extent the text extraction technology the Parties are using can be configured to include the text of any URLs or links, the Parties shall utilize that setting.
- Native files. Spreadsheets (*e.g.* MS Excel), and un-redacted presentations (e.g. Microsoft PowerPoint,) will be produced in native format to the extent that they are produced in this Litigation, audio, video, and multi-media files will be produced in native format. The Parties will meet and confer on the production of other file types, such as proprietary files, etc. Native files will be produced with a link in the NATIVEFILEPATH field, along with extracted text (where extracted text is available) and applicable metadata fields set forth in paragraph 4 above. A Bates numbered TIFF placeholder indicating that the document was provided in native format must accompany every native file. Where redaction makes production of native-format

files other than spreadsheets or presentations infeasible, the Parties will confer to determine a reasonably usable form for the production, but spreadsheets shall presumptively be redacted in native, and presentations presumptively redacted in image form, in these cases without the need for further conferring.

- hardcopy will be scanned to *.tiff image format and produced in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. Hard copy documents that are not text-searchable shall be made searchable by OCR prior to production. In scanning paper documents, distinct documents should not be merged into a single record, and single documents should not be split into multiple records (i.e., paper documents should be logically unitized). In the case of an organized compilation of separate documents (for example, a binder containing several separate documents behind numbered tabs), the document behind each tab should be scanned separately, but the relationship among the documents in the compilation should be reflected in the proper coding of the beginning and ending document and attachment fields. The Parties will make their best efforts to unitize the documents correctly. If relevant documents are maintained in a file, folder, envelope, binder, notebook or similar container used to store documents, all contents therein shall be reviewed for production and privilege. Document pages which have affixed notes, such as Post-it notes, should be imaged with and without the note attached.
- **10)** Confidentiality Designation. All images will be stamped with the appropriate confidentiality designations in accordance with the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this Litigation. Each document produced in native format will have its confidentiality designation identified in the filename of the native file and indicated on its corresponding TIFF placeholder.
- 11) Bates Numbering. Bates numbering should be a consistent length across the production, contain no special characters, and be numerically sequential within a given document. If a Bates number or set of Bates numbers is skipped, the skipped number or set of numbers should be noted with a placeholder. Attachments to documents will be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents to which they were attached.

In addition, wherever possible, each image will have its assigned Bates number electronically "burned" onto the image.

- information is stored in a database, or database management system, or proprietary system or application which has is directed to data storage as one of its primary functions, the Producing Party will identify the database and platform to the Requesting Party. The Producing Party shall produce exports and reports about such responsive information stored in such database, where such exports and reports shall be in a reasonably usable form, and information may be produced in CSV format, tab-delimited text format, Microsoft Excel format, or Microsoft Access format. If there are future disputes over the production of information from a database, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to reach any further agreements (if needed) on the data to be produced and the format and scope of the production. The Producing Party will provide reasonable amounts of information about the databases to facilitate that discussion.
- inside a responsive document (including hyperlinked inside emails) within a Producing Party's custody, possession, or control, do not need to be produced in the first instance as part of the same family group as the Document residing at the location to which that hyperlink points. If there are particular hyperlinks identified by the Requesting Party in produced documents, the Requesting Party may submit a list of hyperlinks to a particular Producing Party for potentially relevant documents by identifying the Bates number and URL or link text for each requested link to the Producing Party, and the Producing Party will engage in reasonable efforts to locate the hyperlinked document at that location and either identify it by Bates number or provide any responsive, non-produced, and non-privileged documents. The number of hyperlinks a Requesting Party may identify to a Producing Party shall not be excessive and shall be reasonable, proportional to the needs of the case, and not unduly burdensome.
- **14) Embedded Objects.** The Parties agree non-substantive embedded objects, including, but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons, and footers, may be culled from a document set (but not a document) and need not be produced as separate documents by a

Producing Party (i.e., such embedded objects will be produced within the document itself, rather than as separate documents). Embedded files, except for images (including but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons), are to be produced as family groups. Embedded files should be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents within which they are embedded.

- **15) Production of Family Groups and Relationships**. If any member of a family group is produced, all members of that group must also be produced or else logged as privileged, and no such member shall be withheld from production as a duplicate.
- **16) Dynamic Fields**. Documents with dynamic fields for file names, dates, and times will be imaged to show the field code (e.g., "[FILENAME]") where possible, rather than the values for such fields existing at the time the file is processed.
- 17) Time Zone. The time zone used to process a document, including its metadata, shall be disclosed in the TIMEZONE metadata field consistent with Appendix 1, and shall be standardized for each Defendant across productions.

18) Redactions.

- a) A Producing Party may redact (i) information subject to the attorney client privilege or the work product protection (PRIV); (ii) information that cannot be disclosed pursuant to the Stored Communications Act (SCA); (iii) source code subject to separate agreement applicable to production of source code (CODE); (iv) personal identifying information (PII) including phone numbers, personal addresses, personal email addresses, the month and day of birth, driver's license numbers, and other PII agreed to by the Parties (for example, the Parties shall complete their meet and confer on whether or not to redact users' ages and years of birth). In any event, there shall be no redaction of illnesses, injuries, and medical diagnoses. To the extent a document or pleading contains PII, the Parties shall designate such documents at the appropriate Confidentiality level under the Protective Order and shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 with regard to filings with the Court.
- b) No redactions for relevance may be made within a produced document or ESI item. If, during the course of discovery, the Parties identify other kinds of information that any STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF ESI & HARD COPY DOCUMENTS 9 3:22-MD-03047-YGR; MDL NO. 3047

Party has a reasonable basis for redacting, the Parties will meet and confer on a case-by-case basis regarding that information before such redactions are made. If the Parties cannot agree, they may seek resolution from the Court.

- c) The Producing Party will indicate, on the face of the redaction, the asserted reason(s) for the redaction (PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV) and the REDACTION TYPE metadata field shall indicate that the document contains redactions and the reason(s) for the redaction.
- d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, this provision shall not be read to prohibit redactions permitted under any applicable U.S. law or Protective Order.
- e) Where a responsive document contains both redacted and non-redacted content, the Parties shall produce the non-redacted portions of the document and the OCR text corresponding to the non-redacted portions.
- f) Native Redactions. Spreadsheet files requiring redaction, including without limitation Microsoft Excel files, shall be redacted and produced natively (unless the Parties agree to production in some other format). In addition, a Producing Party may natively redact other files that cannot be properly imaged for redaction.
- g) All images of redacted files shall be processed to show all comments, revision marks, speaker notes, marks made in track changes, or other user-entered data which are visible in a normal view of the document in its native application, unless such material is redacted and marked as redacted in accordance with this section. Where possible, any occurrences of date/time auto-field items, including in headers and footers, will be removed and replaced with the term AUTODATE to prevent the current date from being printed. Email header information (e.g., date and/or subject line) shall not be redacted unless it is independently privileged. The Parties shall honor reasonable requests for the production of particular redacted documents in other formats where the image is not reasonably usable.
- h) Color. Redacted versions of documents that would have been produced in color in their un-redacted form shall be produced in color as detailed herein.

-) **Exception Files**. The Parties will use reasonable efforts to address processing exceptions.
- data that can reasonably be extracted and produced in the formats described herein is identified on a mobile or handheld device, that data shall be produced in accordance with the generic provisions of this protocol. To the extent that responsive data identified on a mobile or handheld device is not susceptible to normal production protocols, the Parties will meet and confer to address the identification, production, and production format of any responsive documents and data contained on any mobile or handheld device.

COVINGTON

BEIJING BOSTON BRUSSELS DUBAI FRANKFURT
JOHANNESBURG LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK
PALO ALTO SAN FRANCISCO SEOUL SHANGHAI WASHINGTON

Covington & Burling LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067-4643 T +1 424 332 4800

DELIVERED VIA PROCESS SERVER

July 24, 2024

Indiana Department of Health N. Meridian Street Indianapolis, IN 46204

Re: People of the State of California v. Meta Platforms, Inc., 4:23-cv-05448

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find a subpoena being served upon you in connection with the above-captioned litigation currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. I represent Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc., Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc. and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, the "Meta Defendants") in this matter.

The document subpoena requests the production of specific documents identified in the attached subpoena (see Attachment A to the subpoena), which are requested to be produced by 10:00 a.m. on August 23, 2024. We are willing to work with you on the time, date, location, method and manner of document production, as well as answer any questions or concerns you may have regarding subpoena compliance. It is sufficient compliance with this subpoena if you electronically transmit a copy of the requested documents to the undersigned. As such, please contact me at ceppich@cov.com and 424-332-4764, to discuss document production and subpoena compliance, unless you are already represented by counsel in connection with this matter.

The Court is currently considering whether the Indiana Attorney General's Office has control over Indiana Department of Health's information for the purposes of discovery in this action. If the Court rules that the Indiana Attorney General's Office has control over the Indiana Department of Health's information, the Indiana Attorney General has pending discovery requests that seek this agency's documents. By serving this subpoena, Meta does not waive its position in the state agency dispute, nor does Meta in any way waive rights to compel the Indiana Attorney General to produce Indiana Department of Health information as part of discovery in this action. However, to avoid further delay in the production of information from the Indiana Department of Health, Meta is serving the attached subpoena to request the timely production of this information.

Your anticipated time and assistance with this matter is greatly appreciated.

Best regards,

/s/ Christopher K. Eppich

Christopher K. Eppich

Enclosed: Subpoena, Attachment A, and Attachment B

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

United States District Court

for the

Northern District of	of California	
IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL		
INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION)		
)		
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	Civil Action No.	4:22-MD-03047-YGR
People of the State of California, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et	CIVII / Cuon 140.	MDL No. 3047
al. Case No.: 4:23-cv-05448		WDE 140. 0047
)		
SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENT OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF P		
To: Indiana Department of Health		
2 N. Meridian Street Indianapolis, IN 46204		
<u>-</u>	this subpoena is directed)	
✓ Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce a	_	
material: See Attachment A.		
Place: Veritext Legal Solutions	Date and Time:	
111 Monument Circle, Suite 4350		2024; 10:00 a.m.
Indianapolis, IN 46204	August 25,	2024, 10:00 a.iii.
Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANDED to other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the police:	and location set forth	below, so that the requesting party
The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attack Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a strespond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not Date:07/24/2024	subpoena; and Rule 45	
CLERK OF COURT		
<u> </u>	OR	
	-	/s/ Ashley Simonsen
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc.	e attorney representing	g (name of party)
Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC,	who issues	or requests this subpoena, are:
Ashley Simonsen, Covington & Burling LLP, 1999 Avenue of the Stars		

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 4:23-cv-05448

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this su	ibpoena for (name of individual and title, if a	ny)	
(date)	·		
☐ I served the su	ubpoena by delivering a copy to the nar	med person as follows:	
		On (date) ;	or
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:		
		States, or one of its officers or agents, I e, and the mileage allowed by law, in the	
\$	·		
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under p	enalty of perjury that this information i	is true.	
e:	_	Server's signature	
		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
 - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
 - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) **Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.** These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) *Documents*. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS TO ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

- The term "communication" means the transmittal of information (in the form of 1. facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise).
- 2. "Document" or "documents" shall have the broadest meaning ascribed to it by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and includes, without limitation electronically stored information ("ESI") (including, without limitation, electronic databases and the data therein, all electronic messages or communications, electronic word processing documents, electronically stored voicemail, webpages, and social media posts) in accordance with any order in this case governing ESI at the time of production. Different versions of the same documents, including, but not limited to, drafts or documents with handwritten notations or marks not found in the original or on other copies are different documents.
 - 3. "You", "you", "Your" and "your" means the Indiana Department of Health.
- "Relating" or "related to" means and includes referring to, concerning, referencing, 4. associated with, constituting, discussing, containing, embodying, recording, reflecting, identifying, summarizing, incorporating, and/or dealing with or pertaining to in any way.
 - 5. "And" includes "or", and vice versa.
 - 6. The singular form of a word or term includes the plural, and vice versa.
 - 7. The present tense of a verb includes the past tense, and vice versa.
- 8. The use of the article "the" shall not be construed as limiting the scope of any request.
 - 9. "Including" means "including without limitation."

- 10. "Any" includes "all", and vice versa.
- 11. The capitalized version of a word or term includes the lower case version of a word or term, and vice versa.
- 12. "Social Media Platforms" or "Platforms" means a digital service that facilitates interactions between two or more distinct but interdependent sets of users, including but not limited to Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, YouTube, Twitter (or "X"), Pinterest, LinkedIn, BeReal, Lapse, Reddit, Threads, VSCO, Goodreads, Quora, Discord, Twitch, and Tumblr.
- 13. "Young Users" means users of Social Platforms who are under 18 years of age when using the Platform(s).

All words, terms, and phrases not specifically defined herein are to be given their normal and customary meaning in the context in which they are used in these Requests.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. These Requests are intended to cover any and all documents in your possession, custody, or control, to the extent not privileged. Documents held by any office, department, board, commission, or instrumentality of the Indiana Department of Health are within your possession, custody, or control.
- 2. In accordance with ESI Protocol attached hereto as Attachment B, if you object to furnishing any of the documents or information requested by these Requests on the grounds of attorney-client privilege, work product, other privilege, or otherwise then, with respect to each such document:
 - a. state the nature of the privilege claimed;
 - b. state the date of each communication supporting the privilege claim;
 - c. identify all participants in the supporting communication;
 - d. state the specific grounds on which the objection is based; and

- e. identify the specific request calling for such information;
- 2. If you object to any Request on the grounds that it is vague or ambiguous, state: (i) the portions or terms of such Request that you claim to be vague or ambiguous; and (ii) the interpretation of the Request pursuant to which you provide a response.
- 3. Each request shall be deemed continuing, so as to require supplemental or mandatory responses should you obtain additional responsive information subsequent to your initial responses.
- 4. If you cannot fully respond to a particular Request after exercising due diligence to make inquiry and to secure the necessary information, provide a response to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and providing whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the portion not responded to.
- Each page of a produced document shall have a legible, unique page identifier 5. ("Bates Number") and confidentiality legend (where applicable) on the face of the image at a location that does not obliterate, conceal, or interfere with any information from the source document. Redactions should be clearly marked or stamped on the page in such a way that it is clear from review that a portion of the image has been redacted.
- 6. All responsive and non-privileged electronic documents shall be produced in the format ordered by the Court in its ESI order.
- 7. All responsive electronic documents shall be produced in a format agreed upon by the parties, to include at least native versions of Excel spreadsheets and presentation files, with a Bates-stamped placeholder image.
- 8. Unless otherwise specified, the time period for these Requests is January 1, 2012 to the date of production of the Documents.

ATTACHMENT A

- 1. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of persons ages 13 to 18.
- 2. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms, including the importance of Social Media Platforms and the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users and the potential benefits and harms created by the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 3. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users, including those related to the effects of:
 - a. Social Media Platforms usage;
 - b. Video games usage;
 - c. Cell phone usage;
 - d. Messaging usage;
 - e. Usage of other electronics apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - f. COVID-19 and/or remote learning;
 - g. Use of drugs or alcohol;
 - h. The opioid and fentanyl epidemic;
 - i. Poverty, homelessness, lack of health insurance, and/or food insecurity;
 - j. Physical health including nutrition, exercise, weight management, sleeping habits, and sexual activity;
 - k. Family trauma or deaths;
 - 1. Academic pressure;
 - m. Violence, including school violence, domestic violence, gun violence, gun control and/or mass shootings;
 - n. Bullying or verbal abuse apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - o. Political polarization;
 - p. Natural disasters;
 - q. Climate change;
 - r. Discrimination and inequity;
 - s. Global warfare and conflict; and
 - t. Any other potential causes of harms to the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 4. Youth Risk Behavior Surveys conducted by the Indiana Department of Health or on its behalf.
- 5. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to:
 - a. Features of Social Media Platforms that are psychologically or physically harmful to Young Users;
 - b. Features of Social Media Platforms that promote compulsive, prolonged, or unhealthy use by Young Users;
 - c. The impact of features of Social Media Platforms, including:

- (1) Algorithmic recommendation and sequencing;
- (2) Image filters;
- (3) Use of multiple user accounts;
- (4) Infinite scroll;
- (5) Ephemeral content features;
- (6) Autoplay;
- (7) Quantification and display of likes; or
- (8) Audiovisual and haptic alerts.
- 6. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Indiana Department of Health to address Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms, including any program, initiative, effort, or action to prevent, limit, encourage, or promote the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users.
- 7. Policies proposed, recommended, or enacted by the Indiana Department of Health regarding screen time and acceptable use of cell phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices by Young Users.
- 8. Complaints to the Indiana Department of Health by teachers or school districts regarding social media or cell phone use by Young Users and/or the need for acceptable use or other policies to address Young Users' use of social media or cell phones.
- 9. Complaints to the Indiana Department of Health by teachers or school districts regarding budget crises from inflation, underfunding, unfunded mandates, and other causes.
- 10. Documents related to state assessments in Indiana, including reports and analyses regarding the history of K-12 state assessment or standardized testing scores, performance by schools and/or school districts, and any other measures of school performance.
- 11. Legislation or policies proposed by, proposed on behalf of, or testified on by the Indiana Department of Health, regardless of whether such legislation or policies were enacted, regarding Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 12. Mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health services provided by the Indiana Department of Health to Young Users during the Relevant Period, including:
 - a. Counseling or therapy;
 - b. Psychiatric services;
 - c. Crisis intervention;
 - d. Inpatient short-term and long-term programs;
 - e. Resource centers; and
 - f. Services for Young Users dealing with substance abuse or addiction issues.
- 13. Any and all Indiana Department of Health grants to address Young Users' mental health, including information about when, where, why, and how any disbursements were paid, any schedules or plans for future disbursements, policies governing evaluation or approval of

- such disbursements, records of how the disbursements were used, and all agendas, minutes, notes, or recordings from meetings related to such grant.
- 14. Public or non-public meetings held by the Indiana Department of Health related to Social Media Platforms and use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users, including but not limited to, notices, meeting notes, PowerPoint presentations, internal memoranda, summaries, personal notes, or attendee lists.
- 15. Policies, procedures, and practices related to the Indiana Department of Health's use of Social Media Platforms, including communication of information or promotion of Indiana Department of Health's programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions on Social Media Platforms.
- 16. Indiana Department of Health's communications and/or collaboration with any Social Media Platform on any program, initiative or other action related to, or directed at, Young Users.
- 17. Indiana Department of Health's communications with any third party related to Young Users and/or Social Media Platforms.
- 18. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Indiana Department of Health during the Relevant Period related to Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 19. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Indiana Department of Health during the Relevant Period related to treatment of teen mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health issues.
- 20. Policies, procedures, and practices applicable to the Indiana Department of Health and Indiana Department of Health employees regarding the use of Social Media Platforms, including any changes to these policies during the Relevant Period.
- 21. Studies, programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Indiana Department of Health that sought to provide or promote internet access or phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices to Young Users.
- 22. Indiana Department of Health's budget and actual expenditures during the Relevant Period, including expenditures on:
 - a. Digital advertisements by the Indiana Department of Health on Social Media Platforms:
 - b. Digital advertisements by the Indiana Department of Health purchased through Social Media Platforms.

ATTACHMENT B

Ca	se 432-2304-7304-7304-74-9 0c 070euntne08659 0	Filed 03/1/23/1/24 Pagae 4 32 3 0 f 436	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	IN THE UNITED STATE		
8	IN RE: Social Media Adolescent	MDL No. 3047	
9	Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability	Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR	
10	Litigation	Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers	
11	This document relates to: ALL ACTIONS	Honorable Peter H. Kang	
12 13		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE	
13		PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND HARD COPY	
15		DOCUMENTS	
16			
17	1. PURPOSE		
18	This Order Governing the Production of Electronically Stored Information and Hard Copy		
19	Documents ("ESI Order") will govern discovery of electronically stored information and any hard		
20	copy documents in this Litigation as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this		
21	District's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other		
22	applicable orders and rules. "This Litigation" includes all actions currently in MDL No. 3047, In		
23	Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability, or hereafter added or		
24	transferred to MDL No. 3047, and all actions later remanded to their respective transferor courts.		

2. **DEFINITIONS**

25

26

27

28

a) "Document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and shall include Hard-Copy Documents and ESI.

- 1
- 3

- 5
- 67
- 8
- 9
- 1011
- 12
- 14

13

- 1516
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 2021
- 2223
- 24
- 2425
- 26
- 27
- 28

- b) "Electronically stored information" or "ESI," as used herein has the same meaning as in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34.
- c) "Hard-Copy Document" means Documents existing in paper form at the time of collection.
- d) "Searchable Text" means the native text extracted from an Electronic Document and any Optical Character Recognition text ("OCR text") generated from a Hard-Copy Document or electronic image.

3. COOPERATION

The Parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout this Litigation consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI and this Court's Rules of Professional Conduct. The Parties will endeavor to cooperate in good faith and be reasonably transparent in all aspects of the discovery process.

4. LIAISON

The Parties will identify Discovery Liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Any Party is free to change their designated Discovery Liaison by providing written notice to the other Parties. Each Party's Discovery Liaison must: (a) be prepared to meet and confer on discovery-related matters and to participate in discovery dispute resolution; (b) be knowledgeable about the Party's discovery efforts; (c) be, or have reasonable access to those who are, familiar with the Party's electronic systems and capabilities in order to explain those systems and answer relevant questions; and (d) be, or have reasonable access to those who are knowledgeable about the technical aspects of discovery, including electronic document storage, organization, and format issues, and relevant information retrieval technology, including search methodology.

5. IDENTIFICATION OF CUSTODIANS AND DATA SOURCES

The parties will disclose information about custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources likely to possess relevant or responsive information in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and this District's ESI Guidelines. The Parties will participate in Rule 26(f) discussions guided by this District's Checklist for Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer Regarding Electronically

Stored Information. After responses to Requests for Production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 have been served, the parties will meet and confer regarding those custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources from which Documents and ESI will be collected for search and review for potential production in this litigation. The custodian and data source exchanges will include brief explanations of the rationale for their selections; for example, for custodians, their current job titles and descriptions of their work, and for data sources, location information and description.

6. INACCESSIBLE OR UNUSABLE ESI

2.1

If a Producing Party asserts that certain requested ESI is inaccessible or not "reasonably accessible," otherwise unnecessary, or if the Receiving Party asserts that, following production, certain ESI is not reasonably useable, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss resolving such assertions. The parties will exchange sufficient information to enable the parties to confer in good faith. If the parties cannot resolve any such disputes after such a meet and confer has taken place, the issue shall be presented to the Court for resolution.

7. KNOWN RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS

Documents or ESI identified in a custodial or non-custodial file, or in a discrete folder or collection, that are known to a Producing Party through reasonable investigation to be responsive to a discovery request shall be collected for review without regard to whether the responsive content was located via any search methodology developed in accordance with this Order, and nothing about such review shall prevent the Producing Party from redacting or withholding and logging such documents for applicable privileges.

8. SEARCH METHODOLOGIES

The Parties shall adopt reasonable and proportionate methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce ESI as required under applicable legal standards. The Parties recognize and agree that each Party may use one or more methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-privileged ESI, including the use of keyword search terms and/or the use of technology assisted review ("TAR") as discussed further herein. The Parties further recognize that different data sets may implicate different methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-

2.1

privileged ESI. The Parties therefore agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding any potential disputes over their respective ESI productions.

9. HIT REPORTS AND SEARCH TERMS

If a Producing Party uses search terms to identify, search, or cull potentially responsive ESI, the Producing Party shall disclose the search terms to the Requesting Party. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over the disclosed search terms. In the event that a Producing Party claims burden with respect to modified and/or additional search terms proposed by a Requesting Party, the Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the terms at issue using industry-standard processing tools, such as NUIX or other similar tools. The Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the document collection where the terms were applied, including the following with respect to each proposed or modified search term in the collection:

- a) The number of documents with hits for that term; and
- b) The number of unique documents, i.e., documents which do not have hits for any other term.

If, after reviewing a hit report from a Producing Party, a Requesting Party so chooses, it may reasonably request a further hit report which includes:

- c) The number of family members, including the documents with hits, of the documents with hits for that term; and
- d) The number of unique family members of the documents with hits for that term.

If the ESI tool for the Producing Party is capable without undue burden of providing the number of family members and unique family members, then the Producing Party shall provide such further hit report. The Parties (including the person most knowledgeable about the capabilities of the Producing Party's ESI tool and the Requesting Party's person most knowledgeable about technical issues from its ESI service provider) shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over whether the Producing Party's ESI tool has the capability or not to provider either number of family members or number of unique family members.

The Parties shall meet and confer to resolve disagreements over the search terms or their application. To the extent the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the application of, or

2.1

procedures for, any search or filtering processes, the Parties shall fully comply with the provisions of this Court's Discovery Standing Order regarding the procedure for raising discovery disputes with the Court, including the meet and confer and certification requirements therein.

10. TECHNOLOGY ASSISTED REVIEW (TAR)

A Producing Party may use TAR (technology-assisted review) during the culling and review process of ESI, which may be applied in addition to search terms. If a Producing Party uses TAR to cull, filter out, or exclude documents from that Party's production, that Producing Party shall do the following:

- If a Producing Party chooses to apply both search terms and TAR to a review set, the Producing Party will disclose the search terms to all other Party/Parties.
- Disclose the name of the TAR tool or service used to all other Party/Parties.

11. VALIDATION

Each Producing Party shall take reasonable steps to validate its review process (i.e., using quality control measures to determine whether its production is missing relevant ESI or contains substantial amounts of irrelevant ESI) and make any necessary adjustments or corrections to its process. If, after reviewing a Producing Party's production, a Requesting Party reasonably requests additional information regarding the validation method(s) used by the Producing Party, the Producing Party will disclose the level of end-to-end recall (the percentage of responsive Documents in the collection which were identified as responsive by that Producing Party's methodology). If there remain disputes between the Parties regarding validation, the Parties shall meet and confer to resolve such disputes in good faith, including a reasonable discussion regarding the tool used and the parameters used to obtain or calculate the level of recall.

12. UNSEARCHABLE DOCUMENTS

The Producing Party shall use reasonable efforts to identify and review potentially responsive documents for which text-based search technologies are fundamentally ineffective.

13. SYSTEM FILES

Each Party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application executable files using the national software reference library ("NSRL") NIST hash set list. The

Parties also may filter out stand-alone files identified as zero bytes in size. Additional culling of file types based on file header information may be applied to the following, provided these files are not known to be otherwise attached, embedded in, or included with an otherwise responsive document, or are not themselves reasonably known to contain information responsive or contain responsive data or are used to interface with users or interact with or access individual or aggregated user data: Application Package File, Backup Files, Batch Files, Binary Disc Image, C++ File Formats, Cascading Style Sheet, Configuration File, Database File, Dictionary Files, Dynamic Link Library, Event Log Files, Executable Files, Hypertext Cascading Stylesheet, Java Archive Files, JavaScript Files, JavaScript Source Code and Class Files, Macintosh Resource Fork Files, Package Manager Files, Program Files, Program Installers, Python Script Files, Shell Script Files, System or Temporary Files, Thumbnail Cache Files, Troff Files, Truetype Font Files, Windows Cabinet File, Windows Command Files, Windows File Shortcut, Windows Help Files, Windows Metafiles and Enhanced Metafiles, Windows Spool Files, Windows System File.

14. **DEDUPLICATION**

2.1

Each Producing Party shall make reasonable efforts to globally deduplicate exact duplicate Documents within that Producing Party's ESI data set across all custodial and non-custodial sources at the family level using either MD5 hash values or SHA hash values or any other agreed-upon (and disclosed) industry-standard deduplication technology. The Parties shall reach agreement on such other deduplication technology and shall reach agreement on how their deduplication tools shall identify exact duplicates of documents in a manner that is consistent with the disclosed tools and technologies a Producing Party is using. The Parties shall reach agreement on how to identify exact duplicates of emails using industry-standard commercially available software tools or services, which may for example calculate hash values of emails based on concatenated values of agreed-upon email fields and/or hash values of attachments, or which may use any other method the Parties agree upon. Having further met and conferred in keeping with the previous sentence, the Parties shall calculate deduplication hash values for emails on the concatenated values set forth in and in accordance with the documentation specifications of the disclosed deduplication tools to be used in this Litigation (i.e., RelativityOne, Relativity Server,

and Nuix); however, if Nuix is used, the Producing Party shall select the optional field "Include Bcc" as an additional field to add to the default ones.

The Parties shall not withhold from production near-duplicates without meeting and conferring on this issue.

The names of all custodians who were either identified as custodians for purposes of collection for this matter (or otherwise known by the Producing Party to have been in possession or custody of a document prior to deduplication) will be populated in the ALL CUSTODIANS metadata field for the produced version of a document that has duplicates removed from production. The original file paths (if any exist) of a document prior to deduplication will be populated in the ALL FILE PATHS metadata field of the produced document.

15. EMAIL THREADING

2.1

The Parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and shall produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members. Where multiple email messages are part of a single chain or "thread," a Party is only required to produce the most inclusive copy of an email message(s) ("Last In Time Email(s)") and need not produce earlier, lesser inclusive email messages or "thread members," provided that the tool or software service being used to perform threading is disclosed. A Producing Party may exclude from production lesser inclusive copies of the most inclusive email message in the thread, where the entire body of each of those lesser inclusive copies is included within the Last in Time Email. The Producing Party will honor reasonable requests to produce lesser inclusive copies of a Last In Time Email or other earlier chains of emails otherwise excluded by email thread suppression.

The Parties shall treat new or different email chains or threads pursuant to further agreement on safeguards or guidelines for defining emails threads which reasonably take into account the capabilities of the email threading/dethreading/hyperthreading tools used by each Defendant (which shall be disclosed to Plaintiffs).

16. SOURCE CODE

The Parties will meet and confer to address the production and/or inspection of source code and entering into a separate order governing the same if needed.

17. PRODUCTION FORMATS

2.1

The Parties agree to produce documents and data in the formats described in **Appendix 1** to this ESI Order. If particular documents or categories of documents identified in response to document requests warrant a different format, the Parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The Parties further agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

In addition, the Parties agree that the production and production format of social media and/or user account information is not covered by this agreement and to meet and confer on the production and production format, including metadata, for social media and/or user account information.

18. PHASING

Once the Parties begin propounding discovery requests pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the Parties agree to meet and confer regarding appropriate phasing for the production of ESI.

19. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- a) Production of Plaintiffs ESI and Case-Specific Materials. Subject to any further agreement among the Parties or Order of the Court, the Parties shall produce case-specific documents (i.e., documents specific to the claim of a given Plaintiff, produced by Plaintiffs or Defendants) for any Plaintiff in discovery pools or other selections designed to inform bellwether selection, including those selected for a bellwether trial, in accordance with the production format specified herein, provided, however, that the Producing Party may elect to produce such materials in their native format. To the extent production of case-specific documents for any Plaintiff selected for a bellwether trial presents an issue for any Party, the Parties shall reasonably confer, and may present any disputes to the Court or its designee. The Parties shall further agree to confer concerning the production format and associated matters (e.g., hosting platform) for case-specific documents produced in the cases of other Plaintiffs.

 Nothing herein shall limit Defendant's right to seek discovery from any Plaintiff.
- b) Translations Of Produced Materials. The Producing Party has no obligation to

create a translation of the Documents or any portion thereof. For any foreign-language documents responsive to document requests that a Party reasonably knows as the result of a reasonable investigation have been translated into the English language using human translators or through machine translation for its own purposes, except to the extent such translation is protected by attorney-client or work-product privileges, the Producing Party shall produce the translation of the original document with the original. The parties will meet and confer as necessary concerning procedures for using translations at depositions and at trial. In the event the Parties cannot reach agreement, the matter may be submitted to the Court for determination.

- c) Third-Party Documents. A Party that issues a Non-Party subpoena ("Issuing Party") shall include a copy of this Order with the subpoena and state that (1) the subpoenaed Non-Party should produce Documents in response to the subpoena to all Parties; and (2) the Parties to this Litigation have requested that Third Parties produce Documents in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. If the subpoenaed Non-Party produces Documents to the Issuing Party but does not produce those Documents to other Parties, the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to those other Parties within 14 days of receiving the Documents, except where the Documents are to be used in a deposition, in which case the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to all other Parties no later than three (3) days prior to the deposition, or as soon as reasonably practicable if such production occurs thereafter. Nothing in this Order is intended or may be interpreted to narrow, expand, or otherwise affect the rights of the Parties or Third Parties to object to a subpoena. If the Non-Party production is not Bates-stamped, the Parties will meet and confer to agree upon a format for designating the documents with a unique Bates Number prefix.
- d) <u>Documents Produced by Parties Presumption of Authenticity</u>. In order to reduce the number of requests for admission, this Order establishes a rebuttable presumption that documents produced by the Parties are authentic, if said documents were either created or authored by the producing Party, or any of its employees, agents, or

contractors, so long as the employees', agents', or contractors' work was performed in connection with a project or assignment sponsored by the producing Party. No further evidence to establish authenticity need be provided. Nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to waive any other evidentiary objection a party may have, including but not limited to hearsay, foundation/personal knowledge, or relevance.

- e) **Re-productions.** Notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary, re-production of discrete sets of documents from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter may be re-produced in the same manner and form as originally produced in the other matter, provided however that a party will re-produce documents in a different format for good cause shown. This provision does not waive the right of a party to object to any requests for reproduction of production files from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter.
- f) <u>Modification</u>. This ESI Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown.
- g) Good Faith. The Parties will act in good faith as required by law and use these procedures to identify and reduce the potential for disputes.
- h) <u>Continuing Obligations</u>. The Parties recognize that discovery shall be an iterative and cooperative process. The Parties will continue to meet and confer regarding any issues as reasonably necessary and appropriate. This Order does not address or resolve any objections to the Parties' respective discovery requests.
- i) <u>Reservation of Rights</u>. The Parties agree that any topic not addressed herein is neither a waiver nor acknowledgement of agreement by either Party.
- IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

1	DATED: March 15, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		<u>/s/ Lexi J. Hazam</u> LEXI J. HAZAM
3		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
4		BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 BATTERY STREET, 29TH FLOOR
5		SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339
		Telephone: 415-956-1000 lhazam@lchb.com
6		mazam@icho.com
7		PREVIN WARREN
8		MOTLEY RICE LLC
9		401 9th Street NW Suite 630
10		Washington DC 20004 T: 202-386-9610
		pwarren@motleyrice.com
11		Co-Lead Counsel
12		Co-Lead Counsel
13		CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
14		SEEGER WEISS, LLP 55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6TH FLOOR
		RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660
15		Telephone: 973-639-9100 Facsimile: 973-679-8656
16		cseeger@seegerweiss.com
17		
18		Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel
19		JENNIE LEE ANDERSON
19		ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP 155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900
20		SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
21		Telephone: 415-986-1400
22		jennie@andrusanderson.com
23		Liaison Counsel
		MATTHEW BERGMAN
24		GLENN DRAPER
25		SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER 821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100
26		SEATTLE, WA 98104
27		Telephone: 206-741-4862
28		matt@socialmediavictims.org glenn@socialmediavictims.org
40		

1		MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2		By: /s/ Stephanie Schuster Stephanie Schuster (pro hac vice)
3		stephanie.schuster@morganlewis.com 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
4		NW Washington, DC 20004-2541
5		Tel.: 202.373.6595
6		Yardena R. Zwang-Weissman (SBN 247111) yardena.zwang-weissman@morganlewis.com
7		300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
8		Tel.: 213.612.7238
9		Brian Ercole (pro hac vice) brian.ercole@morganlewis.com
10		600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600
11		Miami, FL 33131-3075 Tel.: 305.415.3416
12		Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and
13		Google LLC
14	TE IC ODDEDED 1 11 C	1
14	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing	g Agreement is approved.
15	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing	g Agreement is approved.
15 16	Dated: March 18, 2024	g Agreement is approved.
15 16 17		MAGISTRATE JUDGE PETER H. KANG
15 16 17 18		
15 16 17 18 19		
15 16 17 18 19 20		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: <u>March 18, 2024</u>	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated: <u>March 18, 2024</u>	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated: March 18, 2024	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024	

CaseC41.262-411.1629.3101407310457RYGB.odD.orde.mth.41.013695 FFII ibeclo0371.2342244 Patgragute93411.30f 436

APPENDIX 1: PRODUCTION FORMAT

- 1) **Production Components.** Except as otherwise provided below, ESI must be produced in accordance with the following specifications:
 - a) an ASCII delimited data file (.DAT) using standard delimiters;
 - b) an image load file (.OPT) that can be loaded into commercially acceptable production software (e.g. Concordance);
 - c) single page black-and-white TIFF images, or JPEG images when color is specified herein, or native files with single page placeholder TIFF images depending on the applicable production format for each type of file;
 - d) and document level .TXT files for all documents containing extracted full text or OCR text.
 - e) Family relationships between emails and their attachments, embedded files and their source document, and connected hardcopy documents will be maintained in production. Attachments should be consecutively produced with their parent.
 - f) If a particular document warrants a different production format, the Parties will cooperate in good faith to arrange for a mutually acceptable production format.
- 2) Production Media and Access Controls. Productions must be encrypted and produced through secure electronic means, such as secure file sharing methods (e.g. FTP), or on CD, DVD, flash drive or external hard drive ("Production Media"). Each piece of Production Media must identify a production number corresponding to the production volume (e.g. "VOL001"). Each piece of Production Media must also identify: (a) the case caption; (b) the following label: "This media contains material subject to Court Ordered security measures"; (c) the Producing Party's name; (d) the production date; (e) the Bates Number range of the materials contained on the Production Media.

Nothing in this ESI Order will preclude or impair any and all protections provided the Parties by any Protective Order(s) agreed and entered into by the Parties. Parties will use best efforts to avoid the unnecessary copying or transmittal of produced documents. If questions arise, the Parties will meet and confer to ensure security concerns are addressed prior to the exchange of

any documents.

aproduction solution and selections. Each TIFF in a production must be referenced in the corresponding image load file. The total number of documents referenced in a production's data load file should match the total number of designated document breaks in the image load file(s) in the production. The total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of TIFF files in the production. All images must be assigned a unique Bates number that is sequential within a given document and across the production sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents' beginning Bates numbers in the data load file. The total number of documents in a production should match the total number of records in the data load file. Load files must not vary in format or structure within a production, or from one production to another except by agreement of the Parties.

4) Metadata Fields. Each of the metadata and coding fields set forth below that can be extracted should be produced for each document, except that if the field contains privileged information, that privileged information may be redacted. However, to the extent that metadata does not exist, is not available for any documents produced, this provision shall not be read to require any Party to extract, capture, collect, manually populate, or produce such metadata, with the exception of the following: (a) BEGBATES, (b) ENDBATES, (c) BEGATTACH, (d) ENDATTACH, (e) PRODVOL, (f) ALL CUSTODIANS, (g) CONFIDENTIALITY, (h) REDACTIONS, (i) REDACTION TYPE, (j) HASHVALUE, (k) NATIVEFILEPATH, and (l) TEXTFILEPATH, which should be populated by the Party or the Party's vendor. The Parties will make reasonable efforts to ensure that metadata fields automatically extracted from the documents correspond directly to the information that exists in the original documents.

Field Name	Field Description
BEGBATES	Beginning Bates number for a particular document as stamped on the first production image for that document
ENDBATES	Ending Bates number as stamped on the last

Field Name	Field Description
	production image for a particular document
BEGATTACH	First production Bates number of the first document in a family
ENDATTACH	Last production Bates number of the last document in a family
ATTACHCOUNT	Number of attachments to an e-mail or embedded parent, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
ATTACHNAMES	Names of each individual Attachment, separated by semicolons.
PRODVOL	Production volume
ALLCUSTODIANS	List of all custodians associated with Document, i.e. "Custodian" + "Other Custodian" values delimited by semicolon.
ALLFILEPATHS	All original path(s) to the individual source file(s) when available in the ordinary course of business to and processable by the parties and their ESI vendors of choice. Includes path up to and including internal path of containers.
CONFIDENTIALITY	Confidentiality designation assigned to the document consistent with the Stipulated Protective Order governing this Litigation
NATIVEFILEPATH	Native File Link (Native Files only)
TEXTFILEPATH	Path to extracted text/OCR file for the document
HASHVALUE	MD5 hash value of the document
DOCUMENT TYPE	Descriptor for the type of document: "E-document" for electronic documents not attached to e-mails; "E-mail" for all e-mails; "E-attachment" for files that were attachment to e-mails; and "Physical" for hard copy physical documents that have been scanned and converted to an electronic image.
AUTHOR	Any value populated in the Author field of th

Field Name	Field Description
	document properties
DOCDATE	Date the document was created according to filesystem information (format: MM/DD/YYYY)
DATELASTMODIFIED	Date when document was last modified according to filesystem information (forma MM/DD/YYYY)
LAST MODIFIED BY	Last person who modified (saved) a document, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
TRACK CHANGES	Y if a document with track changes value, otherwise N or empty, if available separated from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
COMMENTS	Y if a document with comments, otherwise or empty, if available separately from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
HASHIDDENDATA	Y if a document with hidden content value, otherwise N or empty
FILENAME	Filename of an electronic document
TITLE	Any value populated in the Title field of the document properties
DOCEXT	File extension of document pulled from the document properties
FROM	The sender of the email
ТО	All recipients that were included on the "To line of the email
CC	All recipients that were included on the "Coline of the email
BCC	All recipients that were included on the "BCC" line of the email
DATETIMERECEIVED	Date and time email was received (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)
DATETIMESENT	Date and time email was sent (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)

Field Name	Field Description
TIMEZONE	The timezone used to process the document
EMAILSUBJECT	Subject line of email pulled from the document properties
THREADID	If a threading tool is used for emails, the thread id generated by the threading tool.
REDACTION TYPE ¹	General category of redaction reason as agreed to by the parties. For example, PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV. If more than one, separate reasons by semicolons.
REDACTIONS	Y if a document is redacted, otherwise N or empty

- 5) TIFFs. Unless excepted below, single page, black and white, Group IV TIFFs should be provided, at least 300 dots per inch (dpi) for all documents. Each TIFF image must be named according to a unique corresponding Bates number associated with the document. Each image must be branded according to the Bates number and the agreed upon confidentiality designation. Original document orientation should be maintained (i.e., portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape). Where the TIFF image is unreadable or has materially degraded the quality of the original, the Producing Party shall provide a higher quality TIFF image or the native or original file. In addition, the Parties shall take reasonable efforts to process word processing documents (e.g., MS Word) with track changes and/or comments unhidden on the TIFF image.
- 6) Color. Word processing documents containing tracked changes shall be produced in color, as single-page, 300 dpi JPG images with JPG compression and a high quality setting as to not degrade the original image. The Producing Party shall comply with good faith by the Requesting Party to provide replacement color images for documents originally produced in black and white. A Party making such a request shall make the request by individual Bates

¹ The Parties will provide specific information on any privilege(s) asserted in their privilege logs, in an overlay, or the redaction boxes. The "Redaction Reason" field is informational only for redaction type and shall not in any way limit a party's right to assert attorney-client privilege, attorney-work product privilege, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

23

24

25

26

27

28

number(s) and shall limit requests made pursuant to this paragraph to a reasonable number of documents.

- **7**) **Text Files.** A single multi-page text file must be provided for each document, and the filename should match its respective TIFF filename for the first page of that document. Extracted text shall be generated with commercially acceptable technology set to include all comments, revisions, tracked changes, speaker's notes and text from documents with comments or tracked changes, and hidden and very hidden worksheets, slides, columns and rows. When possible, the text of native files should be extracted directly from the native file. Parties will perform optical character recognition ("OCR") on foreign language documents using the appropriate settings for languages reasonably anticipated to be in the production following a meet and confer with the Requesting Party to identify those languages. Text files will not contain the redacted portions of the documents. A commercially acceptable technology for OCR should be used for all scanned, hard copy documents and for documents with redactions other than Excel files and other spreadsheets which shall be redacted in native format. Text extracted from emails should include the following header information where available: (1) the individuals to whom the communication was directed ("To"), (2) the author of the email communication ("From"), (3) who was copied and blind copied on such email ("CC" and "BCC"), (4) the subject line of the email ("RE" or "Subject"), and (5) the date and time of the email. To the extent the text extraction technology the Parties are using can be configured to include the text of any URLs or links, the Parties shall utilize that setting.
- Microsoft PowerPoint,) will be produced in native format to the extent that they are produced in this Litigation, audio, video, and multi-media files will be produced in native format. The Parties will meet and confer on the production of other file types, such as proprietary files, etc. Native files will be produced with a link in the NATIVEFILEPATH field, along with extracted text (where extracted text is available) and applicable metadata fields set forth in paragraph 4 above. A Bates numbered TIFF placeholder indicating that the document was provided in native format must accompany every native file. Where redaction makes production of native-format

files other than spreadsheets or presentations infeasible, the Parties will confer to determine a reasonably usable form for the production, but spreadsheets shall presumptively be redacted in native, and presentations presumptively redacted in image form, in these cases without the need for further conferring.

- hardcopy will be scanned to *.tiff image format and produced in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. Hard copy documents that are not text-searchable shall be made searchable by OCR prior to production. In scanning paper documents, distinct documents should not be merged into a single record, and single documents should not be split into multiple records (i.e., paper documents should be logically unitized). In the case of an organized compilation of separate documents (for example, a binder containing several separate documents behind numbered tabs), the document behind each tab should be scanned separately, but the relationship among the documents in the compilation should be reflected in the proper coding of the beginning and ending document and attachment fields. The Parties will make their best efforts to unitize the documents correctly. If relevant documents are maintained in a file, folder, envelope, binder, notebook or similar container used to store documents, all contents therein shall be reviewed for production and privilege. Document pages which have affixed notes, such as Post-it notes, should be imaged with and without the note attached.
- 10) Confidentiality Designation. All images will be stamped with the appropriate confidentiality designations in accordance with the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this Litigation. Each document produced in native format will have its confidentiality designation identified in the filename of the native file and indicated on its corresponding TIFF placeholder.
- 11) Bates Numbering. Bates numbering should be a consistent length across the production, contain no special characters, and be numerically sequential within a given document. If a Bates number or set of Bates numbers is skipped, the skipped number or set of numbers should be noted with a placeholder. Attachments to documents will be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents to which they were attached.

In addition, wherever possible, each image will have its assigned Bates number electronically "burned" onto the image.

- information is stored in a database, or database management system, or proprietary system or application which has is directed to data storage as one of its primary functions, the Producing Party will identify the database and platform to the Requesting Party. The Producing Party shall produce exports and reports about such responsive information stored in such database, where such exports and reports shall be in a reasonably usable form, and information may be produced in CSV format, tab-delimited text format, Microsoft Excel format, or Microsoft Access format. If there are future disputes over the production of information from a database, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to reach any further agreements (if needed) on the data to be produced and the format and scope of the production. The Producing Party will provide reasonable amounts of information about the databases to facilitate that discussion.
- inside a responsive document (including hyperlinked inside emails) within a Producing Party's custody, possession, or control, do not need to be produced in the first instance as part of the same family group as the Document residing at the location to which that hyperlink points. If there are particular hyperlinks identified by the Requesting Party in produced documents, the Requesting Party may submit a list of hyperlinks to a particular Producing Party for potentially relevant documents by identifying the Bates number and URL or link text for each requested link to the Producing Party, and the Producing Party will engage in reasonable efforts to locate the hyperlinked document at that location and either identify it by Bates number or provide any responsive, non-produced, and non-privileged documents. The number of hyperlinks a Requesting Party may identify to a Producing Party shall not be excessive and shall be reasonable, proportional to the needs of the case, and not unduly burdensome.
- **14) Embedded Objects.** The Parties agree non-substantive embedded objects, including, but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons, and footers, may be culled from a document set (but not a document) and need not be produced as separate documents by a

Producing Party (i.e., such embedded objects will be produced within the document itself, rather than as separate documents). Embedded files, except for images (including but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons), are to be produced as family groups. Embedded files should be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents within which they are embedded.

- **15) Production of Family Groups and Relationships**. If any member of a family group is produced, all members of that group must also be produced or else logged as privileged, and no such member shall be withheld from production as a duplicate.
- **16) Dynamic Fields**. Documents with dynamic fields for file names, dates, and times will be imaged to show the field code (e.g., "[FILENAME]") where possible, rather than the values for such fields existing at the time the file is processed.
- 17) Time Zone. The time zone used to process a document, including its metadata, shall be disclosed in the TIMEZONE metadata field consistent with Appendix 1, and shall be standardized for each Defendant across productions.

18) Redactions.

- a) A Producing Party may redact (i) information subject to the attorney client privilege or the work product protection (PRIV); (ii) information that cannot be disclosed pursuant to the Stored Communications Act (SCA); (iii) source code subject to separate agreement applicable to production of source code (CODE); (iv) personal identifying information (PII) including phone numbers, personal addresses, personal email addresses, the month and day of birth, driver's license numbers, and other PII agreed to by the Parties (for example, the Parties shall complete their meet and confer on whether or not to redact users' ages and years of birth). In any event, there shall be no redaction of illnesses, injuries, and medical diagnoses. To the extent a document or pleading contains PII, the Parties shall designate such documents at the appropriate Confidentiality level under the Protective Order and shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 with regard to filings with the Court.
- b) No redactions for relevance may be made within a produced document or ESI item. If, during the course of discovery, the Parties identify other kinds of information that any STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF ESI & HARD COPY DOCUMENTS 9 3:22-MD-03047-YGR; MDL NO. 3047

Party has a reasonable basis for redacting, the Parties will meet and confer on a case-by-case basis regarding that information before such redactions are made. If the Parties cannot agree, they may seek resolution from the Court.

- c) The Producing Party will indicate, on the face of the redaction, the asserted reason(s) for the redaction (PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV) and the REDACTION TYPE metadata field shall indicate that the document contains redactions and the reason(s) for the redaction.
- d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, this provision shall not be read to prohibit redactions permitted under any applicable U.S. law or Protective Order.
- e) Where a responsive document contains both redacted and non-redacted content, the Parties shall produce the non-redacted portions of the document and the OCR text corresponding to the non-redacted portions.
- f) Native Redactions. Spreadsheet files requiring redaction, including without limitation Microsoft Excel files, shall be redacted and produced natively (unless the Parties agree to production in some other format). In addition, a Producing Party may natively redact other files that cannot be properly imaged for redaction.
- g) All images of redacted files shall be processed to show all comments, revision marks, speaker notes, marks made in track changes, or other user-entered data which are visible in a normal view of the document in its native application, unless such material is redacted and marked as redacted in accordance with this section. Where possible, any occurrences of date/time auto-field items, including in headers and footers, will be removed and replaced with the term AUTODATE to prevent the current date from being printed. Email header information (e.g., date and/or subject line) shall not be redacted unless it is independently privileged. The Parties shall honor reasonable requests for the production of particular redacted documents in other formats where the image is not reasonably usable.
- h) Color. Redacted versions of documents that would have been produced in color in their un-redacted form shall be produced in color as detailed herein.

) **Exception Files**. The Parties will use reasonable efforts to address processing exceptions.

data that can reasonably be extracted and produced in the formats described herein is identified on a mobile or handheld device, that data shall be produced in accordance with the generic provisions of this protocol. To the extent that responsive data identified on a mobile or handheld device is not susceptible to normal production protocols, the Parties will meet and confer to address the identification, production, and production format of any responsive documents and data contained on any mobile or handheld device.

COVINGTON

BEIJING BOSTON BRUSSELS DUBAI FRANKFURT JOHANNESBURG LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK PALO ALTO SAN FRANCISCO SEOUL SHANGHAI WASHINGTON

July 24, 2024

Covington & Burling LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067-4643 T +1 424 332 4800

DELIVERED VIA PROCESS SERVER

Louisiana Department of Education 1201 N. 3rd Street Baton Rouge, LA 70208

Re: <u>People of the State of California</u>, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al., 4:23cv-05448

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find a subpoena being served upon you in connection with the above-captioned litigation currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. I represent Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc. and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, the "Meta Defendants") in this matter.

The document subpoena requests the production of specific documents identified in the attached subpoena (see Attachment A to the subpoena), which are requested to be produced by 10 a.m. on August 23, 2024. We are willing to work with you on the time, date, location, method and manner of document production, as well as answer any questions or concerns you may have regarding subpoena compliance. It is sufficient compliance with this subpoena if you electronically transmit a copy of the requested documents to the undersigned. As such, please contact me at ceppich@cov.com and 424-332-4764, to discuss document production and subpoena compliance, unless you are already represented by counsel in connection with this matter.

The Court is currently considering whether the Louisiana Attorney General's Office has control over Louisiana Department of Education's information for the purposes of discovery in this action. If the Court rules that the Louisiana Attorney General's Office has control over the Louisiana Department of Education's information, the Louisiana Attorney General has pending discovery requests that seek this agency's documents. By serving this subpoena, Meta does not waive its position in the state agency dispute, nor does Meta in any way waive rights to compel the Louisiana Attorney General to produce Louisiana Department of Education information as part of discovery in this action. However, to avoid further delay in the production of information from the Louisiana Department of Education, Meta is serving the attached subpoena to request the timely production of this information.

COVINGTON

Your anticipated time and assistance with this matter is greatly appreciated.

Best regards,

/s/ Christopher K. Eppich

Christopher K. Eppich

Enclosed: Subpoena, Attachment A, and Attachment B

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

United States District Court

for the

Northern Distric	ct of California	
IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL		
INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION)	
THOUSE TROUBLETT ETTORTION	<i>)</i> \	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: People of the State	Civil Action No	4:22-MD-03047-YGR
of California, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al., Case No.) Civii Action No	·
)	MDL No. 3047
4:23-cv-05448)	
)	
SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUME		
OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF	F PREMISES IN A C	CIVIL ACTION
Lauticiana Danasturant of Education		
To: Louisiana Department of Education		
1201 N. 3rd Street, Baton Rouge, LA 70802		
(Name of person to wh	nom this subpoena is direc	ted)
A D. J. C. WOLLANDE COMMANDED	1 1 .	1.1 (0.4.1.1 (1.0.11)
Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produc	e at the time, date, and	d place set forth below the following
documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and	I to permit inspection,	copying, testing, or sampling of the
material: See Attachment A.		
The state of the s	- 1 m!	
Place: Veritext Legal Solutions	Date and Time	2:
9522 Brookline Avenue, Suite 217	Augus	st 23, 2024; 10:00 a.m.
Baton Rouge, LA 70809		
·		
☐ Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANDE		
other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, da	te, and location set fo	orth below, so that the requesting party
may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample th	e property or any des	ignated object or operation on it.
Place:	Date and Time	:
The Cillerine and CE 1 D Cir D 45 and		
The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are at		
Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to		e 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to
respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of r	not doing so.	
Date: 07/24/2024		
Date:07/24/2024		
CLERK OF COURT		
CLEAR OF COURT	OD	
	OR	/a/ Aahlay Cimanaan
		/s/ Ashley Simonsen
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Cler	rk	Attorney's signature
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payment	the attorney represen	ating (name of party)
Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payment	ts, Inc. and	
Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC,		ues or requests this subpoena, are:
Ashley Simonsen, Covington & Burling LLP, 1999 Avenue of the St	tars, Los Angeles, CA 90	0067, 424-332-4782, asimonsen@cov.com

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 4:23-cv-05448

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

(date)	·				
☐ I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows:					
		on (date) ;	or		
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:				
	Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of				
\$	·				
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
I declare under p	penalty of perjury that this information	is true.			
:		Server's signature			
		server s signature			
		Printed name and title			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action(Page 3)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
 - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
 - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) *Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.* These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS TO ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

- 1. The term "communication" means the transmittal of information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise).
- 2. "Document" or "documents" shall have the broadest meaning ascribed to it by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and includes, without limitation electronically stored information ("ESI") (including, without limitation, electronic databases and the data therein, all electronic messages or communications, electronic word processing documents, electronically stored voicemail, webpages, and social media posts) in accordance with any order in this case governing ESI at the time of production. Different versions of the same documents, including, but not limited to, drafts or documents with handwritten notations or marks not found in the original or on other copies are different documents.
 - 3. "You", "you", "Your" and "your" means the Louisiana Department of Education.
- 4. "Relating" or "related to" means and includes referring to, concerning, referencing, mentioning, associated with, constituting, discussing, containing, embodying, recording, reflecting, identifying, summarizing, incorporating, and/or dealing with or pertaining to in any way.
 - 5. "And" includes "or", and vice versa.
 - 6. The singular form of a word or term includes the plural, and vice versa.
 - 7. The present tense of a verb includes the past tense, and vice versa.
- 8. The use of the article "the" shall not be construed as limiting the scope of any request.
 - 9. "Including" means "including without limitation."

- 10. "Any" includes "all", and vice versa.
- 11. The capitalized version of a word or term includes the lower case version of a word or term, and vice versa.
- 12. "Social Media Platforms" or "Platforms" means a digital service that facilitates interactions between two or more distinct but interdependent sets of users, including but not limited to Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, YouTube, Twitter (or "X"), Pinterest, LinkedIn, BeReal, Lapse, Reddit, Threads, VSCO, Goodreads, Quora, Discord, Twitch, and Tumblr.
- 13. "Young Users" means users of Social Platforms who are under 18 years of age when using the Platform(s).

All words, terms, and phrases not specifically defined herein are to be given their normal and customary meaning in the context in which they are used in these Requests.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. These Requests are intended to cover any and all documents in your possession, custody, or control, to the extent not privileged. Documents held by any office, department, board, commission, or instrumentality of the Louisiana Department of Education are within your possession, custody, or control.
- 2. In accordance with ESI Protocol attached hereto as Attachment B, if you object to furnishing any of the documents or information requested by these Requests on the grounds of attorney-client privilege, work product, other privilege, or otherwise then, with respect to each such document:
 - a. state the nature of the privilege claimed;
 - b. state the date of each communication supporting the privilege claim;
 - c. identify all participants in the supporting communication;
 - d. state the specific grounds on which the objection is based; and

- e. identify the specific request calling for such information;
- 2. If you object to any Request on the grounds that it is vague or ambiguous, state: (i) the portions or terms of such Request that you claim to be vague or ambiguous; and (ii) the interpretation of the Request pursuant to which you provide a response.
- 3. Each request shall be deemed continuing, so as to require supplemental or mandatory responses should you obtain additional responsive information subsequent to your initial responses.
- 4. If you cannot fully respond to a particular Request after exercising due diligence to make inquiry and to secure the necessary information, provide a response to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and providing whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the portion not responded to.
- 5. Each page of a produced document shall have a legible, unique page identifier ("Bates Number") and confidentiality legend (where applicable) on the face of the image at a location that does not obliterate, conceal, or interfere with any information from the source document. Redactions should be clearly marked or stamped on the page in such a way that it is clear from review that a portion of the image has been redacted.
- 6. All responsive and non-privileged electronic documents shall be produced in the format ordered by the Court in its ESI order.
- 7. All responsive electronic documents shall be produced in a format agreed upon by the parties, to include at least native versions of Excel spreadsheets and presentation files, with a Bates-stamped placeholder image.
- 8. Unless otherwise specified, the time period for these Requests is January 1, 2012 to the date of production of the Documents.

<u>ATTACHMENT A</u>

- 1. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of persons ages 13 to 18.
- 2. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Louisiana Department of Education to encourage or promote the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 3. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by Louisiana Department of Education to address Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms, including any program, initiative, effort, or action to prevent, limit, encourage, or promote the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users.
- 4. Studies, programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Louisiana Department of Education that sought to provide or promote internet access or phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices to Young Users.
- 5. Policies proposed, recommended, or enacted by the Louisiana Department of Education regarding screen time and acceptable use of cell phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices by Young Users.
- 6. Complaints to the Louisiana Department of Education by teachers or school districts regarding social media or cell phone use by Young Users and/or the need for acceptable use or other policies to address Young Users' use of social media or cell phones.
- 7. Complaints to the Louisiana Department of Education by teachers or school districts regarding budget crises from inflation, underfunding, unfunded mandates, and other causes.
- 8. Documents related to state assessments in Louisiana, including reports and analyses regarding the history of K-12 state assessment or standardized testing scores, performance by schools and/or school districts, and any other measures of school performance.
- 9. Louisiana Department of Education's communications and/or collaboration with any Social Media Platform on any program, initiative or other action related to, or directed at, Young Users.
- 10. Louisiana Department of Education's communications with any third party related to Young Users and/or Social Media Platforms.
- 11. Policies, procedures, and practices applicable to Louisiana Department of Education and Louisiana Department of Education employees regarding the use of Social Media Platforms, including any changes to these policies during the Relevant Period.

- 12. Legislation or policies proposed by, proposed on behalf of, or testified on by the Louisiana Department of Education, regardless of whether such legislation or policies were enacted, regarding Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 13. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms, including the importance of Social Media Platforms and the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users and the potential benefits and harms created by the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 14. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms regarding the impact of social media on the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 15. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to:
 - a. Features of Social Media Platforms that are psychologically or physically harmful to Young Users;
 - b. Features of Social Media Platforms that promote compulsive, prolonged, or unhealthy use by Young Users;
 - c. The impact of features of Social Media Platforms, including:
 - (1) Algorithmic recommendation and sequencing;
 - (2) Image filters;
 - (3) Use of multiple user accounts;
 - (4) Infinite scroll;
 - (5) Ephemeral content features;
 - (6) Autoplay;
 - (7) Quantification and display of likes; or
 - (8) Audiovisual and haptic alerts.
- 16. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users, including those related to the effects of:
 - a. Social Media Platforms usage;
 - b. Video games usage;
 - c. Cell phone usage;
 - d. Messaging usage;
 - e. Usage of other electronics apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - f. COVID-19 and/or remote learning;
 - g. Use of drugs or alcohol;
 - h. The opioid and fentanyl epidemic;
 - i. Poverty, homelessness, lack of health insurance, and/or food insecurity;
 - j. Physical health including nutrition, exercise, weight management, sleeping habits, and sexual activity;
 - k. Family trauma or deaths;
 - 1. Academic pressure;
 - m. Violence, including school violence, domestic violence, gun violence, gun control and/or mass shootings;

- n. Bullying or verbal abuse apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
- o. Political polarization;
- p. Natural disasters;
- q. Climate change;
- r. Discrimination and inequity;
- s. Global warfare and conflict; and
- t. Any other potential causes of harms to the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 17. Youth Risk Behavior Surveys conducted by the Louisiana Department of Education or on its behalf.
- 18. Public or non-public meetings held by the Louisiana Department of Education related to Social Media Platforms and use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users, including notices, meeting notes, PowerPoint presentations, internal memoranda, summaries, personal notes, or attendee lists.
- 19. Mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health services provided by the Louisiana Department of Education to Young Users during the Relevant Period.
- 20. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Louisiana Department of Education during the Relevant Period related to Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 21. Policies, procedures, and practices related to the Louisiana Department of Education's use of Social Media Platforms, including communication of information or promotion of Louisiana Department of Education programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions on Social Media Platforms.
- 22. Louisiana Department of Education's budget and actual expenditures during the Relevant Period, including expenditures on:
 - a. Digital advertisements by the Louisiana Department of Education on Social Media Platforms
 - b. Digital advertisements by the Louisiana Department of Education purchased through Social Media Platforms.

ATTACHMENT B

Ca	se 4a22-1412-203004-70-3004-R -Y OPP OC DOTO e UN 11-1013-05-5 0	Filled 03/1/22/1/24 Pagaeg 4. 365 0 f 436
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	IN THE UNITED STATI FOR THE NORTHERN DIS	
8		
9	IN RE: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability	MDL No. 3047 Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR
10	Litigation	Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
11	This document relates to: ALL ACTIONS	Honorable Peter H. Kang
12	TREE TRETTOTAL	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE
13		PRODUCTION OF
14		ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND HARD COPY
15		DOCUMENTS
16		
17	1. PURPOSE	
18	This Order Governing the Production of Electronically Stored Information and Hard Copy	
19	Documents ("ESI Order") will govern discovery of electronically stored information and any hard	
20	copy documents in this Litigation as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this	
21	District's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other	
22	applicable orders and rules. "This Litigation" includes all actions currently in MDL No. 3047, In	
23	Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability, or hereafter added or	
24	transferred to MDL No. 3047, and all actions later remanded to their respective transferor courts.	
25	2. DEFINITIONS	

a) "Document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and shall include Hard-Copy Documents and ESI.

26

27

28

- 1
- 3
- 5
- 7
- 8
- 10
- 11 12
- 13 14
- 1516
- 17 18
- 19

- 21
- 2223
- 24
- 26

25

- 27
- 28

- b) "Electronically stored information" or "ESI," as used herein has the same meaning as in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34.
- c) "Hard-Copy Document" means Documents existing in paper form at the time of collection.
- d) "Searchable Text" means the native text extracted from an Electronic Document and any Optical Character Recognition text ("OCR text") generated from a Hard-Copy Document or electronic image.

3. COOPERATION

The Parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout this Litigation consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI and this Court's Rules of Professional Conduct. The Parties will endeavor to cooperate in good faith and be reasonably transparent in all aspects of the discovery process.

4. LIAISON

The Parties will identify Discovery Liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Any Party is free to change their designated Discovery Liaison by providing written notice to the other Parties. Each Party's Discovery Liaison must: (a) be prepared to meet and confer on discovery-related matters and to participate in discovery dispute resolution; (b) be knowledgeable about the Party's discovery efforts; (c) be, or have reasonable access to those who are, familiar with the Party's electronic systems and capabilities in order to explain those systems and answer relevant questions; and (d) be, or have reasonable access to those who are knowledgeable about the technical aspects of discovery, including electronic document storage, organization, and format issues, and relevant information retrieval technology, including search methodology.

5. IDENTIFICATION OF CUSTODIANS AND DATA SOURCES

The parties will disclose information about custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources likely to possess relevant or responsive information in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and this District's ESI Guidelines. The Parties will participate in Rule 26(f) discussions guided by this District's Checklist for Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer Regarding Electronically

Stored Information. After responses to Requests for Production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 have been served, the parties will meet and confer regarding those custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources from which Documents and ESI will be collected for search and review for potential production in this litigation. The custodian and data source exchanges will include brief explanations of the rationale for their selections; for example, for custodians, their current job titles and descriptions of their work, and for data sources, location information and description.

6. INACCESSIBLE OR UNUSABLE ESI

2.1

If a Producing Party asserts that certain requested ESI is inaccessible or not "reasonably accessible," otherwise unnecessary, or if the Receiving Party asserts that, following production, certain ESI is not reasonably useable, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss resolving such assertions. The parties will exchange sufficient information to enable the parties to confer in good faith. If the parties cannot resolve any such disputes after such a meet and confer has taken place, the issue shall be presented to the Court for resolution.

7. KNOWN RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS

Documents or ESI identified in a custodial or non-custodial file, or in a discrete folder or collection, that are known to a Producing Party through reasonable investigation to be responsive to a discovery request shall be collected for review without regard to whether the responsive content was located via any search methodology developed in accordance with this Order, and nothing about such review shall prevent the Producing Party from redacting or withholding and logging such documents for applicable privileges.

8. SEARCH METHODOLOGIES

The Parties shall adopt reasonable and proportionate methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce ESI as required under applicable legal standards. The Parties recognize and agree that each Party may use one or more methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-privileged ESI, including the use of keyword search terms and/or the use of technology assisted review ("TAR") as discussed further herein. The Parties further recognize that different data sets may implicate different methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-

2.1

privileged ESI. The Parties therefore agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding any potential disputes over their respective ESI productions.

9. HIT REPORTS AND SEARCH TERMS

If a Producing Party uses search terms to identify, search, or cull potentially responsive ESI, the Producing Party shall disclose the search terms to the Requesting Party. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over the disclosed search terms. In the event that a Producing Party claims burden with respect to modified and/or additional search terms proposed by a Requesting Party, the Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the terms at issue using industry-standard processing tools, such as NUIX or other similar tools. The Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the document collection where the terms were applied, including the following with respect to each proposed or modified search term in the collection:

- a) The number of documents with hits for that term; and
- b) The number of unique documents, i.e., documents which do not have hits for any other term.

If, after reviewing a hit report from a Producing Party, a Requesting Party so chooses, it may reasonably request a further hit report which includes:

- c) The number of family members, including the documents with hits, of the documents with hits for that term; and
- d) The number of unique family members of the documents with hits for that term.

If the ESI tool for the Producing Party is capable without undue burden of providing the number of family members and unique family members, then the Producing Party shall provide such further hit report. The Parties (including the person most knowledgeable about the capabilities of the Producing Party's ESI tool and the Requesting Party's person most knowledgeable about technical issues from its ESI service provider) shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over whether the Producing Party's ESI tool has the capability or not to provider either number of family members or number of unique family members.

The Parties shall meet and confer to resolve disagreements over the search terms or their application. To the extent the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the application of, or

2.1

procedures for, any search or filtering processes, the Parties shall fully comply with the provisions of this Court's Discovery Standing Order regarding the procedure for raising discovery disputes with the Court, including the meet and confer and certification requirements therein.

10. TECHNOLOGY ASSISTED REVIEW (TAR)

A Producing Party may use TAR (technology-assisted review) during the culling and review process of ESI, which may be applied in addition to search terms. If a Producing Party uses TAR to cull, filter out, or exclude documents from that Party's production, that Producing Party shall do the following:

- If a Producing Party chooses to apply both search terms and TAR to a review set, the Producing Party will disclose the search terms to all other Party/Parties.
- Disclose the name of the TAR tool or service used to all other Party/Parties.

11. VALIDATION

Each Producing Party shall take reasonable steps to validate its review process (i.e., using quality control measures to determine whether its production is missing relevant ESI or contains substantial amounts of irrelevant ESI) and make any necessary adjustments or corrections to its process. If, after reviewing a Producing Party's production, a Requesting Party reasonably requests additional information regarding the validation method(s) used by the Producing Party, the Producing Party will disclose the level of end-to-end recall (the percentage of responsive Documents in the collection which were identified as responsive by that Producing Party's methodology). If there remain disputes between the Parties regarding validation, the Parties shall meet and confer to resolve such disputes in good faith, including a reasonable discussion regarding the tool used and the parameters used to obtain or calculate the level of recall.

12. UNSEARCHABLE DOCUMENTS

The Producing Party shall use reasonable efforts to identify and review potentially responsive documents for which text-based search technologies are fundamentally ineffective.

13. SYSTEM FILES

Each Party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application executable files using the national software reference library ("NSRL") NIST hash set list. The

Parties also may filter out stand-alone files identified as zero bytes in size. Additional culling of file types based on file header information may be applied to the following, provided these files are not known to be otherwise attached, embedded in, or included with an otherwise responsive document, or are not themselves reasonably known to contain information responsive or contain responsive data or are used to interface with users or interact with or access individual or aggregated user data: Application Package File, Backup Files, Batch Files, Binary Disc Image, C++ File Formats, Cascading Style Sheet, Configuration File, Database File, Dictionary Files, Dynamic Link Library, Event Log Files, Executable Files, Hypertext Cascading Stylesheet, Java Archive Files, JavaScript Files, JavaScript Source Code and Class Files, Macintosh Resource Fork Files, Package Manager Files, Program Files, Program Installers, Python Script Files, Shell Script Files, System or Temporary Files, Thumbnail Cache Files, Troff Files, Truetype Font Files, Windows Cabinet File, Windows Command Files, Windows File Shortcut, Windows Help Files, Windows Metafiles and Enhanced Metafiles, Windows Spool Files, Windows System File.

14. **DEDUPLICATION**

2.1

Each Producing Party shall make reasonable efforts to globally deduplicate exact duplicate Documents within that Producing Party's ESI data set across all custodial and non-custodial sources at the family level using either MD5 hash values or SHA hash values or any other agreed-upon (and disclosed) industry-standard deduplication technology. The Parties shall reach agreement on such other deduplication technology and shall reach agreement on how their deduplication tools shall identify exact duplicates of documents in a manner that is consistent with the disclosed tools and technologies a Producing Party is using. The Parties shall reach agreement on how to identify exact duplicates of emails using industry-standard commercially available software tools or services, which may for example calculate hash values of emails based on concatenated values of agreed-upon email fields and/or hash values of attachments, or which may use any other method the Parties agree upon. Having further met and conferred in keeping with the previous sentence, the Parties shall calculate deduplication hash values for emails on the concatenated values set forth in and in accordance with the documentation specifications of the disclosed deduplication tools to be used in this Litigation (i.e., RelativityOne, Relativity Server,

and Nuix); however, if Nuix is used, the Producing Party shall select the optional field "Include Bcc" as an additional field to add to the default ones.

The Parties shall not withhold from production near-duplicates without meeting and conferring on this issue.

The names of all custodians who were either identified as custodians for purposes of collection for this matter (or otherwise known by the Producing Party to have been in possession or custody of a document prior to deduplication) will be populated in the ALL CUSTODIANS metadata field for the produced version of a document that has duplicates removed from production. The original file paths (if any exist) of a document prior to deduplication will be populated in the ALL FILE PATHS metadata field of the produced document.

15. EMAIL THREADING

2.1

The Parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and shall produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members. Where multiple email messages are part of a single chain or "thread," a Party is only required to produce the most inclusive copy of an email message(s) ("Last In Time Email(s)") and need not produce earlier, lesser inclusive email messages or "thread members," provided that the tool or software service being used to perform threading is disclosed. A Producing Party may exclude from production lesser inclusive copies of the most inclusive email message in the thread, where the entire body of each of those lesser inclusive copies is included within the Last in Time Email. The Producing Party will honor reasonable requests to produce lesser inclusive copies of a Last In Time Email or other earlier chains of emails otherwise excluded by email thread suppression.

The Parties shall treat new or different email chains or threads pursuant to further agreement on safeguards or guidelines for defining emails threads which reasonably take into account the capabilities of the email threading/dethreading/hyperthreading tools used by each Defendant (which shall be disclosed to Plaintiffs).

16. SOURCE CODE

The Parties will meet and confer to address the production and/or inspection of source code and entering into a separate order governing the same if needed.

17. PRODUCTION FORMATS

2.1

The Parties agree to produce documents and data in the formats described in **Appendix 1** to this ESI Order. If particular documents or categories of documents identified in response to document requests warrant a different format, the Parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The Parties further agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

In addition, the Parties agree that the production and production format of social media and/or user account information is not covered by this agreement and to meet and confer on the production and production format, including metadata, for social media and/or user account information.

18. PHASING

Once the Parties begin propounding discovery requests pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the Parties agree to meet and confer regarding appropriate phasing for the production of ESI.

19. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- a) Production of Plaintiffs ESI and Case-Specific Materials. Subject to any further agreement among the Parties or Order of the Court, the Parties shall produce case-specific documents (i.e., documents specific to the claim of a given Plaintiff, produced by Plaintiffs or Defendants) for any Plaintiff in discovery pools or other selections designed to inform bellwether selection, including those selected for a bellwether trial, in accordance with the production format specified herein, provided, however, that the Producing Party may elect to produce such materials in their native format. To the extent production of case-specific documents for any Plaintiff selected for a bellwether trial presents an issue for any Party, the Parties shall reasonably confer, and may present any disputes to the Court or its designee. The Parties shall further agree to confer concerning the production format and associated matters (e.g., hosting platform) for case-specific documents produced in the cases of other Plaintiffs.

 Nothing herein shall limit Defendant's right to seek discovery from any Plaintiff.
- b) Translations Of Produced Materials. The Producing Party has no obligation to

create a translation of the Documents or any portion thereof. For any foreign-language documents responsive to document requests that a Party reasonably knows as the result of a reasonable investigation have been translated into the English language using human translators or through machine translation for its own purposes, except to the extent such translation is protected by attorney-client or work-product privileges, the Producing Party shall produce the translation of the original document with the original. The parties will meet and confer as necessary concerning procedures for using translations at depositions and at trial. In the event the Parties cannot reach agreement, the matter may be submitted to the Court for determination.

- c) Third-Party Documents. A Party that issues a Non-Party subpoena ("Issuing Party") shall include a copy of this Order with the subpoena and state that (1) the subpoenaed Non-Party should produce Documents in response to the subpoena to all Parties; and (2) the Parties to this Litigation have requested that Third Parties produce Documents in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. If the subpoenaed Non-Party produces Documents to the Issuing Party but does not produce those Documents to other Parties, the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to those other Parties within 14 days of receiving the Documents, except where the Documents are to be used in a deposition, in which case the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to all other Parties no later than three (3) days prior to the deposition, or as soon as reasonably practicable if such production occurs thereafter. Nothing in this Order is intended or may be interpreted to narrow, expand, or otherwise affect the rights of the Parties or Third Parties to object to a subpoena. If the Non-Party production is not Bates-stamped, the Parties will meet and confer to agree upon a format for designating the documents with a unique Bates Number prefix.
- d) <u>Documents Produced by Parties Presumption of Authenticity</u>. In order to reduce the number of requests for admission, this Order establishes a rebuttable presumption that documents produced by the Parties are authentic, if said documents were either created or authored by the producing Party, or any of its employees, agents, or

contractors, so long as the employees', agents', or contractors' work was performed in connection with a project or assignment sponsored by the producing Party. No further evidence to establish authenticity need be provided. Nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to waive any other evidentiary objection a party may have, including but not limited to hearsay, foundation/personal knowledge, or relevance.

- e) Re-productions. Notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary, re-production of discrete sets of documents from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter may be re-produced in the same manner and form as originally produced in the other matter, provided however that a party will re-produce documents in a different format for good cause shown. This provision does not waive the right of a party to object to any requests for reproduction of production files from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter.
- f) <u>Modification</u>. This ESI Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown.
- g) Good Faith. The Parties will act in good faith as required by law and use these procedures to identify and reduce the potential for disputes.
- h) <u>Continuing Obligations</u>. The Parties recognize that discovery shall be an iterative and cooperative process. The Parties will continue to meet and confer regarding any issues as reasonably necessary and appropriate. This Order does not address or resolve any objections to the Parties' respective discovery requests.
- i) <u>Reservation of Rights</u>. The Parties agree that any topic not addressed herein is neither a waiver nor acknowledgement of agreement by either Party.
- IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

1	DATED: March 15, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		<u>/s/ Lexi J. Hazam</u> LEXI J. HAZAM
3		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
4		BERNSTEIN, LLP
5		275 BATTERY STREET, 29 _{TH} FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339
3		Telephone: 415-956-1000
6		lhazam@lchb.com
7		
8		PREVIN WARREN MOTLEY RICE LLC
9		401 9th Street NW Suite 630
		Washington DC 20004
10		T: 202-386-9610
11		pwarren@motleyrice.com
12		Co-Lead Counsel
13		CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
		SEEGER WEISS, LLP
14		55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6TH FLOOR
15		RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660 Telephone: 973-639-9100
		Facsimile: 973-679-8656
16		cseeger@seegerweiss.com
17		
18		Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel
		JENNIE LEE ANDERSON
19		ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
20		155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
21		Telephone: 415-986-1400
22		jennie@andrusanderson.com
23		Liaison Counsel
		MATTHEW BERGMAN
24		GLENN DRAPER
25		SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER 821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100
26		SEATTLE, WA 98104
27		Telephone: 206-741-4862 matt@socialmediavictims.org
28		glenn@socialmediavictims.org

1	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2	By: <u>/s/ Stephanie Schuster</u> Stephanie Schuster (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
3	stephanie.schuster@morganlewis.com 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
4	NW Washington, DC 20004-2541
5	Tel.: 202.373.6595
6	Yardena R. Zwang-Weissman (SBN 247111) yardena.zwang-weissman@morganlewis.com
7	300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
8	Tel.: 213.612.7238
9	Brian Ercole (pro hac vice)
10	<u>brian.ercole@morganlewis.com</u> 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600
11	Miami, FL 33131-3075 Tel.: 305.415.3416
12	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and
13	Google LLC
14	VELIC OPPUPED 4 + 4 - 6 - 1 - 4 - 1
1 1	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Agreement is approved.
15	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Agreement is approved.
	Dated: March 18, 2024
15	
15 16 17 18	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024

CaseC41262-41162203101407310457RYGROdDorocenno 4.1013695 FFFFFeldO3711242244 Patgrag1e932013300f 436

APPENDIX 1: PRODUCTION FORMAT

- 1) **Production Components.** Except as otherwise provided below, ESI must be produced in accordance with the following specifications:
 - a) an ASCII delimited data file (.DAT) using standard delimiters;
 - b) an image load file (.OPT) that can be loaded into commercially acceptable production software (e.g. Concordance);
 - c) single page black-and-white TIFF images, or JPEG images when color is specified herein, or native files with single page placeholder TIFF images depending on the applicable production format for each type of file;
 - d) and document level .TXT files for all documents containing extracted full text or OCR text.
 - e) Family relationships between emails and their attachments, embedded files and their source document, and connected hardcopy documents will be maintained in production. Attachments should be consecutively produced with their parent.
 - f) If a particular document warrants a different production format, the Parties will cooperate in good faith to arrange for a mutually acceptable production format.
- 2) Production Media and Access Controls. Productions must be encrypted and produced through secure electronic means, such as secure file sharing methods (e.g. FTP), or on CD, DVD, flash drive or external hard drive ("Production Media"). Each piece of Production Media must identify a production number corresponding to the production volume (e.g. "VOL001"). Each piece of Production Media must also identify: (a) the case caption; (b) the following label: "This media contains material subject to Court Ordered security measures"; (c) the Producing Party's name; (d) the production date; (e) the Bates Number range of the materials contained on the Production Media.

Nothing in this ESI Order will preclude or impair any and all protections provided the Parties by any Protective Order(s) agreed and entered into by the Parties. Parties will use best efforts to avoid the unnecessary copying or transmittal of produced documents. If questions arise, the Parties will meet and confer to ensure security concerns are addressed prior to the exchange of

any documents.

- aproduction sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents in the image load file should match the image load file must match the corresponding of the production. The total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of TIFF files in the production. All images must be assigned a unique Bates number that is sequential within a given document and across the production sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents' beginning Bates numbers in the data load file. The total number of documents in a production should match the total number of records in the data load file. Load files must not vary in format or structure within a production, or from one production to another except by agreement of the Parties.
- 4) Metadata Fields. Each of the metadata and coding fields set forth below that can be extracted should be produced for each document, except that if the field contains privileged information, that privileged information may be redacted. However, to the extent that metadata does not exist, is not available for any documents produced, this provision shall not be read to require any Party to extract, capture, collect, manually populate, or produce such metadata, with the exception of the following: (a) BEGBATES, (b) ENDBATES, (c) BEGATTACH, (d) ENDATTACH, (e) PRODVOL, (f) ALL CUSTODIANS, (g) CONFIDENTIALITY, (h) REDACTIONS, (i) REDACTION TYPE, (j) HASHVALUE, (k) NATIVEFILEPATH, and (l) TEXTFILEPATH, which should be populated by the Party or the Party's vendor. The Parties will make reasonable efforts to ensure that metadata fields automatically extracted from the documents correspond directly to the information that exists in the original documents.

Field Name	Field Description
BEGBATES	Beginning Bates number for a particular document as stamped on the first production image for that document
ENDBATES	Ending Bates number as stamped on the last

Field Name	Field Description
	production image for a particular document
BEGATTACH	First production Bates number of the first document in a family
ENDATTACH	Last production Bates number of the last document in a family
ATTACHCOUNT	Number of attachments to an e-mail or embedded parent, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
ATTACHNAMES	Names of each individual Attachment, separated by semicolons.
PRODVOL	Production volume
ALLCUSTODIANS	List of all custodians associated with Document, i.e. "Custodian" + "Other Custodian" values delimited by semicolon.
ALLFILEPATHS	All original path(s) to the individual source file(s) when available in the ordinary course of business to and processable by the parties and their ESI vendors of choice. Includes path up to and including internal path of containers.
CONFIDENTIALITY	Confidentiality designation assigned to the document consistent with the Stipulated Protective Order governing this Litigation
NATIVEFILEPATH	Native File Link (Native Files only)
TEXTFILEPATH	Path to extracted text/OCR file for the document
HASHVALUE	MD5 hash value of the document
DOCUMENT TYPE	Descriptor for the type of document: "Edocument" for electronic documents not attached to e-mails; "E-mail" for all e-mails; "E-attachment" for files that were attachments to e-mails; and "Physical" for hard copy physical documents that have been scanned and converted to an electronic image.
AUTHOR	Any value populated in the Author field of the

Field Name	Field Description
	document properties
DOCDATE	Date the document was created according t filesystem information (format: MM/DD/YYYY)
DATELASTMODIFIED	Date when document was last modified according to filesystem information (forma MM/DD/YYYY)
LAST MODIFIED BY	Last person who modified (saved) a document, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
TRACK CHANGES	Y if a document with track changes value, otherwise N or empty, if available separate from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
COMMENTS	Y if a document with comments, otherwise or empty, if available separately from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
HASHIDDENDATA	Y if a document with hidden content value, otherwise N or empty
FILENAME	Filename of an electronic document
TITLE	Any value populated in the Title field of the document properties
DOCEXT	File extension of document pulled from the document properties
FROM	The sender of the email
ТО	All recipients that were included on the "To line of the email
CC	All recipients that were included on the "Coline of the email
BCC	All recipients that were included on the "BCC" line of the email
DATETIMERECEIVED	Date and time email was received (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)
DATETIMESENT	Date and time email was sent (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)

Field Name	Field Description
TIMEZONE	The timezone used to process the document
EMAILSUBJECT	Subject line of email pulled from the document properties
THREADID	If a threading tool is used for emails, the thread id generated by the threading tool.
REDACTION TYPE ¹	General category of redaction reason as agreed to by the parties. For example, PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV. If more than one, separate reasons by semicolons.
REDACTIONS	Y if a document is redacted, otherwise N or empty

- 5) TIFFs. Unless excepted below, single page, black and white, Group IV TIFFs should be provided, at least 300 dots per inch (dpi) for all documents. Each TIFF image must be named according to a unique corresponding Bates number associated with the document. Each image must be branded according to the Bates number and the agreed upon confidentiality designation. Original document orientation should be maintained (i.e., portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape). Where the TIFF image is unreadable or has materially degraded the quality of the original, the Producing Party shall provide a higher quality TIFF image or the native or original file. In addition, the Parties shall take reasonable efforts to process word processing documents (e.g., MS Word) with track changes and/or comments unhidden on the TIFF image.
- 6) Color. Word processing documents containing tracked changes shall be produced in color, as single-page, 300 dpi JPG images with JPG compression and a high quality setting as to not degrade the original image. The Producing Party shall comply with good faith by the Requesting Party to provide replacement color images for documents originally produced in black and white. A Party making such a request shall make the request by individual Bates

¹ The Parties will provide specific information on any privilege(s) asserted in their privilege logs, in an overlay, or the redaction boxes. The "Redaction Reason" field is informational only for redaction type and shall not in any way limit a party's right to assert attorney-client privilege, attorney-work product privilege, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

24

25

26

27

28

number(s) and shall limit requests made pursuant to this paragraph to a reasonable number of documents.

- 7) **Text Files.** A single multi-page text file must be provided for each document, and the filename should match its respective TIFF filename for the first page of that document. Extracted text shall be generated with commercially acceptable technology set to include all comments, revisions, tracked changes, speaker's notes and text from documents with comments or tracked changes, and hidden and very hidden worksheets, slides, columns and rows. When possible, the text of native files should be extracted directly from the native file. Parties will perform optical character recognition ("OCR") on foreign language documents using the appropriate settings for languages reasonably anticipated to be in the production following a meet and confer with the Requesting Party to identify those languages. Text files will not contain the redacted portions of the documents. A commercially acceptable technology for OCR should be used for all scanned, hard copy documents and for documents with redactions other than Excel files and other spreadsheets which shall be redacted in native format. Text extracted from emails should include the following header information where available: (1) the individuals to whom the communication was directed ("To"), (2) the author of the email communication ("From"), (3) who was copied and blind copied on such email ("CC" and "BCC"), (4) the subject line of the email ("RE" or "Subject"), and (5) the date and time of the email. To the extent the text extraction technology the Parties are using can be configured to include the text of any URLs or links, the Parties shall utilize that setting.
- Microsoft PowerPoint,) will be produced in native format to the extent that they are produced in this Litigation, audio, video, and multi-media files will be produced in native format. The Parties will meet and confer on the production of other file types, such as proprietary files, etc. Native files will be produced with a link in the NATIVEFILEPATH field, along with extracted text (where extracted text is available) and applicable metadata fields set forth in paragraph 4 above. A Bates numbered TIFF placeholder indicating that the document was provided in native format must accompany every native file. Where redaction makes production of native-format

files other than spreadsheets or presentations infeasible, the Parties will confer to determine a reasonably usable form for the production, but spreadsheets shall presumptively be redacted in native, and presentations presumptively redacted in image form, in these cases without the need for further conferring.

- hardcopy will be scanned to *.tiff image format and produced in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. Hard copy documents that are not text-searchable shall be made searchable by OCR prior to production. In scanning paper documents, distinct documents should not be merged into a single record, and single documents should not be split into multiple records (i.e., paper documents should be logically unitized). In the case of an organized compilation of separate documents (for example, a binder containing several separate documents behind numbered tabs), the document behind each tab should be scanned separately, but the relationship among the documents in the compilation should be reflected in the proper coding of the beginning and ending document and attachment fields. The Parties will make their best efforts to unitize the documents correctly. If relevant documents are maintained in a file, folder, envelope, binder, notebook or similar container used to store documents, all contents therein shall be reviewed for production and privilege. Document pages which have affixed notes, such as Post-it notes, should be imaged with and without the note attached.
- **10)** Confidentiality Designation. All images will be stamped with the appropriate confidentiality designations in accordance with the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this Litigation. Each document produced in native format will have its confidentiality designation identified in the filename of the native file and indicated on its corresponding TIFF placeholder.
- 11) Bates Numbering. Bates numbering should be a consistent length across the production, contain no special characters, and be numerically sequential within a given document. If a Bates number or set of Bates numbers is skipped, the skipped number or set of numbers should be noted with a placeholder. Attachments to documents will be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents to which they were attached.

In addition, wherever possible, each image will have its assigned Bates number electronically "burned" onto the image.

- information is stored in a database, or database management system, or proprietary system or application which has is directed to data storage as one of its primary functions, the Producing Party will identify the database and platform to the Requesting Party. The Producing Party shall produce exports and reports about such responsive information stored in such database, where such exports and reports shall be in a reasonably usable form, and information may be produced in CSV format, tab-delimited text format, Microsoft Excel format, or Microsoft Access format. If there are future disputes over the production of information from a database, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to reach any further agreements (if needed) on the data to be produced and the format and scope of the production. The Producing Party will provide reasonable amounts of information about the databases to facilitate that discussion.
- inside a responsive document (including hyperlinked inside emails) within a Producing Party's custody, possession, or control, do not need to be produced in the first instance as part of the same family group as the Document residing at the location to which that hyperlink points. If there are particular hyperlinks identified by the Requesting Party in produced documents, the Requesting Party may submit a list of hyperlinks to a particular Producing Party for potentially relevant documents by identifying the Bates number and URL or link text for each requested link to the Producing Party, and the Producing Party will engage in reasonable efforts to locate the hyperlinked document at that location and either identify it by Bates number or provide any responsive, non-produced, and non-privileged documents. The number of hyperlinks a Requesting Party may identify to a Producing Party shall not be excessive and shall be reasonable, proportional to the needs of the case, and not unduly burdensome.
- **14) Embedded Objects.** The Parties agree non-substantive embedded objects, including, but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons, and footers, may be culled from a document set (but not a document) and need not be produced as separate documents by a

Producing Party (i.e., such embedded objects will be produced within the document itself, rather than as separate documents). Embedded files, except for images (including but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons), are to be produced as family groups. Embedded files should be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents within which they are embedded.

- 15) Production of Family Groups and Relationships. If any member of a family group is produced, all members of that group must also be produced or else logged as privileged, and no such member shall be withheld from production as a duplicate.
- **16) Dynamic Fields**. Documents with dynamic fields for file names, dates, and times will be imaged to show the field code (e.g., "[FILENAME]") where possible, rather than the values for such fields existing at the time the file is processed.
- 17) Time Zone. The time zone used to process a document, including its metadata, shall be disclosed in the TIMEZONE metadata field consistent with Appendix 1, and shall be standardized for each Defendant across productions.

18) Redactions.

- a) A Producing Party may redact (i) information subject to the attorney client privilege or the work product protection (PRIV); (ii) information that cannot be disclosed pursuant to the Stored Communications Act (SCA); (iii) source code subject to separate agreement applicable to production of source code (CODE); (iv) personal identifying information (PII) including phone numbers, personal addresses, personal email addresses, the month and day of birth, driver's license numbers, and other PII agreed to by the Parties (for example, the Parties shall complete their meet and confer on whether or not to redact users' ages and years of birth). In any event, there shall be no redaction of illnesses, injuries, and medical diagnoses. To the extent a document or pleading contains PII, the Parties shall designate such documents at the appropriate Confidentiality level under the Protective Order and shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 with regard to filings with the Court.
- b) No redactions for relevance may be made within a produced document or ESI item. If, during the course of discovery, the Parties identify other kinds of information that any STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF ESI & HARD COPY DOCUMENTS 9 3:22-MD-03047-YGR; MDL NO. 3047

Party has a reasonable basis for redacting, the Parties will meet and confer on a case-by-case basis regarding that information before such redactions are made. If the Parties cannot agree, they may seek resolution from the Court.

- c) The Producing Party will indicate, on the face of the redaction, the asserted reason(s) for the redaction (PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV) and the REDACTION TYPE metadata field shall indicate that the document contains redactions and the reason(s) for the redaction.
- d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, this provision shall not be read to prohibit redactions permitted under any applicable U.S. law or Protective Order.
- e) Where a responsive document contains both redacted and non-redacted content, the Parties shall produce the non-redacted portions of the document and the OCR text corresponding to the non-redacted portions.
- f) Native Redactions. Spreadsheet files requiring redaction, including without limitation Microsoft Excel files, shall be redacted and produced natively (unless the Parties agree to production in some other format). In addition, a Producing Party may natively redact other files that cannot be properly imaged for redaction.
- g) All images of redacted files shall be processed to show all comments, revision marks, speaker notes, marks made in track changes, or other user-entered data which are visible in a normal view of the document in its native application, unless such material is redacted and marked as redacted in accordance with this section. Where possible, any occurrences of date/time auto-field items, including in headers and footers, will be removed and replaced with the term AUTODATE to prevent the current date from being printed. Email header information (e.g., date and/or subject line) shall not be redacted unless it is independently privileged. The Parties shall honor reasonable requests for the production of particular redacted documents in other formats where the image is not reasonably usable.
- h) Color. Redacted versions of documents that would have been produced in color in their un-redacted form shall be produced in color as detailed herein.

- **19) Exception Files.** The Parties will use reasonable efforts to address processing exceptions.
- data that can reasonably be extracted and produced in the formats described herein is identified on a mobile or handheld device, that data shall be produced in accordance with the generic provisions of this protocol. To the extent that responsive data identified on a mobile or handheld device is not susceptible to normal production protocols, the Parties will meet and confer to address the identification, production, and production format of any responsive documents and data contained on any mobile or handheld device.

COVINGTON

BEIJING BOSTON BRUSSELS DUBAI FRANKFURT JOHANNESBURG LONDON LOS ANGELES NEW YORK PALO ALTO SAN FRANCISCO SEOUL SHANGHAI WASHINGTON

July 24, 2024

Covington & Burling LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067-4643 T +1 424 332 4800

DELIVERED VIA PROCESS SERVER

Louisiana Department of Health 628 N. 4th Street Baton Rouge, LA 70208

Re: People of the State of California et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al., 4:23-cv-05448

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find a subpoena being served upon you in connection with the above-captioned litigation currently pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. I represent Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc., and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC (collectively, the "Meta Defendants") in this matter.

The document subpoena requests the production of specific documents identified in the attached subpoena (see Attachment A to the subpoena), which are requested to be produced by 10 a.m. on August 23, 2024. We are willing to work with you on the time, date, location, method and manner of document production, as well as answer any questions or concerns you may have regarding subpoena compliance. It is sufficient compliance with this subpoena if you electronically transmit a copy of the requested documents to the undersigned. As such, please contact me at ceppich@cov.com and 424-332-4764, to discuss document production and subpoena compliance, unless you are already represented by counsel in connection with this matter.

The Court is currently considering whether the Louisiana Attorney General's Office has control over Louisiana Department of Health's information for the purposes of discovery in this action. If the Court rules that the Louisiana Attorney General's Office has control over the Louisiana Department of Health's information, the Louisiana Attorney General has pending discovery requests that seek this agency's documents. By serving this subpoena, Meta does not waive its position in the state agency dispute, nor does Meta in any way waive rights to compel the Louisiana Attorney General to produce Louisiana Department of Health information as part of discovery in this action. However, to avoid further delay in the production of information from the Louisiana Department of Health, Meta is serving the attached subpoena to request the timely production of this information.

COVINGTON

Your anticipated time and assistance with this matter is greatly appreciated.

Best regards,

/s/ Christopher K. Eppich

Christopher K. Eppich

Enclosed: Subpoena, Attachment A, and Attachment B

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

United States District Court

for the

Northern District of	California	
IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL		
INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION)		
)		
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: People of the State of	Civil Action No.	4:22-MD-03047-YGR
California, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. et al., Case No. 4:23-	CIVII ACIIOII NO.	MDL No. 3047
cv-05448		MDL NO. 3047
CV-U0446)		
)		
SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS		
OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PRI	EMISES IN A CIV	IL ACTION
Land Comp. Dominator and a fill a 101		
To: Louisiana Department of Health		
628 N 4th St, Baton Rouge, LA 70802		
(Name of person to whom th	is subpoena is directed)	
Duration VOILABE COMMANDED to another at the		and fouth halous the fallowing
✓ Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce at t	ne time, date, and pi	lace set forth below the following
documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to p	ermit inspection, co	pying, testing, or sampling of the
material: See Attachment A.		
Di	D (1.77)	
Place: Veritext Legal Solutions	Date and Time:	
9522 Brookline Avenue, Suite 217	Augus	st 23, 2024; 10:00 a.m.
Baton Rouge, LA 70809		
☐ <i>Inspection of Premises:</i> YOU ARE COMMANDED to		
other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, ar	nd location set forth	below, so that the requesting party
may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the pro	perty or any designa	ated object or operation on it.
		-
Place:	Date and Time:	
1100.	Bate and Time.	
The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached		
Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subje		(e) and (g), relating to your duty to
respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not de	oing so.	
07/04/0004		
Date:07/24/2024		
CLEDY OF COLDE		
CLERK OF COURT		
	OR	
		/s/ Ashley Simonsen
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		Attorney's signature
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The name address e-mail address and telephone number of the	attorney representing	(name of narty)
The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the a Meta Platforms, Inc. f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Instagram, LLC, Meta Payments, Inc.	and	
Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC,	who issues	or requests this subpoena, are:
Ashley Simonsen, Covington & Burling LLP, 1999 Avenue of the Stars, L	os Angeles, CA 9006	7, 424-332-4782, asimonsen@cov.com

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 4:23-cv-05448

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

(date)	·		
☐ I served the s	ubpoena by delivering a copy to the na	med person as follows:	
		on (date) ;	or
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:		
		I States, or one of its officers or agents, I e, and the mileage allowed by law, in the	
\$	·		
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under p	penalty of perjury that this information	is true.	
:		Server's signature	
		server s signature	
		Printed name and title	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action(Page 3)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
 - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
 - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) *Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.* These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- **(A)** *Documents.* A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS TO ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

- 1. The term "communication" means the transmittal of information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise).
- 2. "Document" or "documents" shall have the broadest meaning ascribed to it by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and includes, without limitation electronically stored information ("ESI") (including, without limitation, electronic databases and the data therein, all electronic messages or communications, electronic word processing documents, electronically stored voicemail, webpages, and social media posts) in accordance with any order in this case governing ESI at the time of production. Different versions of the same documents, including, but not limited to, drafts or documents with handwritten notations or marks not found in the original or on other copies are different documents.
 - 3. "You", "you", "Your" and "your" means the Louisiana Department of Health.
- 4. "Relating" or "related to" means and includes referring to, concerning, referencing, mentioning, associated with, constituting, discussing, containing, embodying, recording, reflecting, identifying, summarizing, incorporating, and/or dealing with or pertaining to in any way.
 - 5. "And" includes "or", and vice versa.
 - 6. The singular form of a word or term includes the plural, and vice versa.
 - 7. The present tense of a verb includes the past tense, and vice versa.
- The use of the article "the" shall not be construed as limiting the scope of any 8. request.
 - "Including" means "including without limitation." 9.

- 10. "Any" includes "all", and vice versa.
- 11. The capitalized version of a word or term includes the lower case version of a word or term, and vice versa.
- 12. "Social Media Platforms" or "Platforms" means a digital service that facilitates interactions between two or more distinct but interdependent sets of users, including but not limited to Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, YouTube, Twitter (or "X"), Pinterest, LinkedIn, BeReal, Lapse, Reddit, Threads, VSCO, Goodreads, Quora, Discord, Twitch, and Tumblr.
- 13. "Young Users" means users of Social Platforms who are under 18 years of age when using the Platform(s).

All words, terms, and phrases not specifically defined herein are to be given their normal and customary meaning in the context in which they are used in these Requests.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. These Requests are intended to cover any and all documents in your possession, custody, or control, to the extent not privileged. Documents held by any office, department, board, commission, or instrumentality of the Louisiana Department of Health are within your possession, custody, or control.
- 2. In accordance with ESI Protocol attached hereto as Attachment B, if you object to furnishing any of the documents or information requested by these Requests on the grounds of attorney-client privilege, work product, other privilege, or otherwise then, with respect to each such document:
 - a. state the nature of the privilege claimed;
 - b. state the date of each communication supporting the privilege claim;
 - c. identify all participants in the supporting communication;
 - d. state the specific grounds on which the objection is based; and

- e. identify the specific request calling for such information;
- 2. If you object to any Request on the grounds that it is vague or ambiguous, state: (i) the portions or terms of such Request that you claim to be vague or ambiguous; and (ii) the interpretation of the Request pursuant to which you provide a response.
- 3. Each request shall be deemed continuing, so as to require supplemental or mandatory responses should you obtain additional responsive information subsequent to your initial responses.
- 4. If you cannot fully respond to a particular Request after exercising due diligence to make inquiry and to secure the necessary information, provide a response to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond to the remainder and providing whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the portion not responded to.
- 5. Each page of a produced document shall have a legible, unique page identifier ("Bates Number") and confidentiality legend (where applicable) on the face of the image at a location that does not obliterate, conceal, or interfere with any information from the source document. Redactions should be clearly marked or stamped on the page in such a way that it is clear from review that a portion of the image has been redacted.
- 6. All responsive and non-privileged electronic documents shall be produced in the format ordered by the Court in its ESI order.
- 7. All responsive electronic documents shall be produced in a format agreed upon by the parties, to include at least native versions of Excel spreadsheets and presentation files, with a Bates-stamped placeholder image.
- 8. Unless otherwise specified, the time period for these Requests is January 1, 2012 to the date of production of the Documents.

ATTACHMENT A

1. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of persons ages 13 to 18.

- 2. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to Social Media Platforms, including the importance of Social Media Platforms and the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users and the potential benefits and harms created by the use of Social Media Platforms.
- 3. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses concerning the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users, including those related to the effects of:
 - a. Social Media Platforms usage;
 - b. Video games usage;
 - c. Cell phone usage;
 - d. Messaging usage;
 - e. Usage of other electronics apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - f. COVID-19 and/or remote learning;
 - g. Use of drugs or alcohol;
 - h. The opioid and fentanyl epidemic;
 - i. Poverty, homelessness, lack of health insurance, and/or food insecurity;
 - j. Physical health including nutrition, exercise, weight management, sleeping habits, and sexual activity;
 - k. Family trauma or deaths;
 - 1. Academic pressure;
 - m. Violence, including school violence, domestic violence, gun violence, gun control and/or mass shootings;
 - n. Bullying or verbal abuse apart from usage of Social Media Platforms;
 - o. Political polarization;
 - p. Natural disasters;
 - q. Climate change;
 - r. Discrimination and inequity;
 - s. Global warfare and conflict; and
 - t. Any other potential causes of harms to the mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health of Young Users.
- 4. Youth Risk Behavior Surveys conducted by the Louisiana Department of Health or on its behalf.
- 5. Research, investigations, studies, surveys, reports, evaluations, or analyses related to:
 - a. Features of Social Media Platforms that are psychologically or physically harmful to Young Users;
 - b. Features of Social Media Platforms that promote compulsive, prolonged, or unhealthy use by Young Users;
 - c. The impact of features of Social Media Platforms, including:
 - (1) Algorithmic recommendation and sequencing;
 - (2) Image filters;
 - (3) Use of multiple user accounts;
 - (4) Infinite scroll;
 - (5) Ephemeral content features;

- (6) Autoplay;
- (7) Quantification and display of likes; or
- (8) Audiovisual and haptic alerts.
- 6. Programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Louisiana Department of Health to address Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms, including any program, initiative, effort, or action to prevent, limit, encourage, or promote the use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users.
- 7. Policies proposed, recommended, or enacted by the Louisiana Department of Health regarding screen time and acceptable use of cell phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices by Young Users.
- 8. Complaints to the Louisiana Department of Health by teachers or school districts regarding social media or cell phone use by Young Users and/or the need for acceptable use or other policies to address Young Users' use of social media or cell phones.
- 9. Complaints to the Louisiana Department of Health by teachers or school districts regarding budget crises from inflation, underfunding, unfunded mandates, and other causes.
- 10. Documents related to state assessments in Louisiana, including reports and analyses regarding the history of K-12 state assessment or standardized testing scores, performance by schools and/or school districts, and any other measures of school performance.
- 11. Legislation or policies proposed by, proposed on behalf of, or testified on by the Louisiana Department of Health, regardless of whether such legislation or policies were enacted, regarding Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 12. Mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health services provided by the Louisiana Department of Health to Young Users during the Relevant Period, including:
 - a. Counseling or therapy;
 - b. Psychiatric services;
 - c. Crisis intervention;
 - d. Inpatient short-term and long-term programs;
 - e. Resource centers; and
 - f. Services for Young Users dealing with substance abuse or addiction issues.
- 13. Any and all Louisiana Department of Health grants to address Young Users' mental health, including information about when, where, why, and how any disbursements were paid, any schedules or plans for future disbursements, policies governing evaluation or approval of such disbursements, records of how the disbursements were used, and all agendas, minutes, notes, or recordings from meetings related to such grant.

- 14. Public or non-public meetings held by the Louisiana Department of Health related to Social Media Platforms and use of Social Media Platforms by Young Users, including but not limited to, notices, meeting notes, PowerPoint presentations, internal memoranda, summaries, personal notes, or attendee lists.
- 15. Policies, procedures, and practices related to the Louisiana Department of Health's use of Social Media Platforms, including communication of information or promotion of Louisiana Department of Health's programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions on Social Media Platforms.
- 16. Louisiana Department of Health's communications and/or collaboration with any Social Media Platform on any program, initiative or other action related to, or directed at, Young Users.
- 17. Louisiana Department of Health's communications with any third party related to Young Users and/or Social Media Platforms.
- 18. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Louisiana Department of Health during the Relevant Period related to Young Users' use of Social Media Platforms.
- 19. Budgeted and actual expenditures by the Louisiana Department of Health during the Relevant Period related to treatment of teen mental, social, emotional, or behavioral health issues.
- 20. Policies, procedures, and practices applicable to the Louisiana Department of Health and Louisiana Department of Health employees regarding the use of Social Media Platforms, including any changes to these policies during the Relevant Period.
- 21. Studies, programs, initiatives, efforts, or actions proposed or taken by the Louisiana Department of Health that sought to provide or promote internet access or phones, computers, tablets, or other electronic devices to Young Users.
- 22. Louisiana Department of Health's budget and actual expenditures during the Relevant Period, including expenditures on:
 - a. Digital advertisements by the Louisiana Department of Health on Social Media Platforms;
 - b. Digital advertisements by the Louisiana Department of Health purchased through Social Media Platforms.

ATTACHMENT B

Ca	se 4a22 and 2030 and 1030 a R-Y CEP OC Domenine 08 1050	Filled 03/1/28/1/24 Pagae 4. 40/3/0 f 436
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE NORTHERN DIS	
8		
9	IN RE: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability	MDL No. 3047 Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR
10	Litigation	Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
11	This document relates to: ALL ACTIONS	Honorable Peter H. Kang
12	TREE TRETTOTION	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE
13		PRODUCTION OF
14		ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND HARD COPY
15		DOCUMENTS
16		
17	1. PURPOSE	
18	This Order Governing the Production of Electronically Stored Information and Hard Copy	
19	Documents ("ESI Order") will govern discovery of electronically stored information and any hard	
20	copy documents in this Litigation as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this	
21	District's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other	
22	applicable orders and rules. "This Litigation" incl	ades all actions currently in MDL No. 3047, In
23	Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal	Injury Products Liability, or hereafter added or
24	transferred to MDL No. 3047, and all actions later	remanded to their respective transferor courts.
25	2. DEFINITIONS	

a) "Document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and shall include Hard-Copy Documents and ESI.

26

27

28

- 1 2
- 3

- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 1415
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 2122
- 23
- 24
- 26

25

- 27
- 28

- b) "Electronically stored information" or "ESI," as used herein has the same meaning as in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34.
- c) "Hard-Copy Document" means Documents existing in paper form at the time of collection.
- d) "Searchable Text" means the native text extracted from an Electronic Document and any Optical Character Recognition text ("OCR text") generated from a Hard-Copy Document or electronic image.

3. COOPERATION

The Parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout this Litigation consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI and this Court's Rules of Professional Conduct. The Parties will endeavor to cooperate in good faith and be reasonably transparent in all aspects of the discovery process.

4. LIAISON

The Parties will identify Discovery Liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Any Party is free to change their designated Discovery Liaison by providing written notice to the other Parties. Each Party's Discovery Liaison must: (a) be prepared to meet and confer on discovery-related matters and to participate in discovery dispute resolution; (b) be knowledgeable about the Party's discovery efforts; (c) be, or have reasonable access to those who are, familiar with the Party's electronic systems and capabilities in order to explain those systems and answer relevant questions; and (d) be, or have reasonable access to those who are knowledgeable about the technical aspects of discovery, including electronic document storage, organization, and format issues, and relevant information retrieval technology, including search methodology.

5. IDENTIFICATION OF CUSTODIANS AND DATA SOURCES

The parties will disclose information about custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources likely to possess relevant or responsive information in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and this District's ESI Guidelines. The Parties will participate in Rule 26(f) discussions guided by this District's Checklist for Rule 26(f) Meet and Confer Regarding Electronically

Stored Information. After responses to Requests for Production under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 have been served, the parties will meet and confer regarding those custodians and custodial and non-custodial data sources from which Documents and ESI will be collected for search and review for potential production in this litigation. The custodian and data source exchanges will include brief explanations of the rationale for their selections; for example, for custodians, their current job titles and descriptions of their work, and for data sources, location information and description.

6. INACCESSIBLE OR UNUSABLE ESI

2.1

If a Producing Party asserts that certain requested ESI is inaccessible or not "reasonably accessible," otherwise unnecessary, or if the Receiving Party asserts that, following production, certain ESI is not reasonably useable, the parties shall meet and confer to discuss resolving such assertions. The parties will exchange sufficient information to enable the parties to confer in good faith. If the parties cannot resolve any such disputes after such a meet and confer has taken place, the issue shall be presented to the Court for resolution.

7. KNOWN RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS

Documents or ESI identified in a custodial or non-custodial file, or in a discrete folder or collection, that are known to a Producing Party through reasonable investigation to be responsive to a discovery request shall be collected for review without regard to whether the responsive content was located via any search methodology developed in accordance with this Order, and nothing about such review shall prevent the Producing Party from redacting or withholding and logging such documents for applicable privileges.

8. SEARCH METHODOLOGIES

The Parties shall adopt reasonable and proportionate methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce ESI as required under applicable legal standards. The Parties recognize and agree that each Party may use one or more methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-privileged ESI, including the use of keyword search terms and/or the use of technology assisted review ("TAR") as discussed further herein. The Parties further recognize that different data sets may implicate different methodologies to identify, search, collect, cull, review, and produce responsive and non-

2.1

privileged ESI. The Parties therefore agree to meet and confer in good faith regarding any potential disputes over their respective ESI productions.

9. HIT REPORTS AND SEARCH TERMS

ESI, the Producing Party shall disclose the search terms to the Requesting Party. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over the disclosed search terms. In the event that a Producing Party claims burden with respect to modified and/or additional search terms proposed by a Requesting Party, the Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the terms at issue using industry-standard processing tools, such as NUIX or other similar tools. The Producing Party shall provide a hit report for the document collection where the terms were applied, including the following with respect to each proposed or modified search term in the collection:

- a) The number of documents with hits for that term; and
- b) The number of unique documents, i.e., documents which do not have hits for any other term.

If, after reviewing a hit report from a Producing Party, a Requesting Party so chooses, it may reasonably request a further hit report which includes:

- c) The number of family members, including the documents with hits, of the documents with hits for that term; and
- d) The number of unique family members of the documents with hits for that term.

If the ESI tool for the Producing Party is capable without undue burden of providing the number of family members and unique family members, then the Producing Party shall provide such further hit report. The Parties (including the person most knowledgeable about the capabilities of the Producing Party's ESI tool and the Requesting Party's person most knowledgeable about technical issues from its ESI service provider) shall meet and confer regarding any disputes over whether the Producing Party's ESI tool has the capability or not to provider either number of family members or number of unique family members.

The Parties shall meet and confer to resolve disagreements over the search terms or their application. To the extent the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the application of, or

2.1

procedures for, any search or filtering processes, the Parties shall fully comply with the provisions of this Court's Discovery Standing Order regarding the procedure for raising discovery disputes with the Court, including the meet and confer and certification requirements therein.

10. TECHNOLOGY ASSISTED REVIEW (TAR)

A Producing Party may use TAR (technology-assisted review) during the culling and review process of ESI, which may be applied in addition to search terms. If a Producing Party uses TAR to cull, filter out, or exclude documents from that Party's production, that Producing Party shall do the following:

- If a Producing Party chooses to apply both search terms and TAR to a review set, the Producing Party will disclose the search terms to all other Party/Parties.
- Disclose the name of the TAR tool or service used to all other Party/Parties.

11. VALIDATION

Each Producing Party shall take reasonable steps to validate its review process (i.e., using quality control measures to determine whether its production is missing relevant ESI or contains substantial amounts of irrelevant ESI) and make any necessary adjustments or corrections to its process. If, after reviewing a Producing Party's production, a Requesting Party reasonably requests additional information regarding the validation method(s) used by the Producing Party, the Producing Party will disclose the level of end-to-end recall (the percentage of responsive Documents in the collection which were identified as responsive by that Producing Party's methodology). If there remain disputes between the Parties regarding validation, the Parties shall meet and confer to resolve such disputes in good faith, including a reasonable discussion regarding the tool used and the parameters used to obtain or calculate the level of recall.

12. UNSEARCHABLE DOCUMENTS

The Producing Party shall use reasonable efforts to identify and review potentially responsive documents for which text-based search technologies are fundamentally ineffective.

13. SYSTEM FILES

Each Party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application executable files using the national software reference library ("NSRL") NIST hash set list. The

Parties also may filter out stand-alone files identified as zero bytes in size. Additional culling of file types based on file header information may be applied to the following, provided these files are not known to be otherwise attached, embedded in, or included with an otherwise responsive document, or are not themselves reasonably known to contain information responsive or contain responsive data or are used to interface with users or interact with or access individual or aggregated user data: Application Package File, Backup Files, Batch Files, Binary Disc Image, C++ File Formats, Cascading Style Sheet, Configuration File, Database File, Dictionary Files, Dynamic Link Library, Event Log Files, Executable Files, Hypertext Cascading Stylesheet, Java Archive Files, JavaScript Files, JavaScript Source Code and Class Files, Macintosh Resource Fork Files, Package Manager Files, Program Files, Program Installers, Python Script Files, Shell Script Files, System or Temporary Files, Thumbnail Cache Files, Troff Files, Truetype Font Files, Windows Cabinet File, Windows Command Files, Windows File Shortcut, Windows Help Files, Windows Metafiles and Enhanced Metafiles, Windows Spool Files, Windows System File.

14. **DEDUPLICATION**

2.1

Each Producing Party shall make reasonable efforts to globally deduplicate exact duplicate Documents within that Producing Party's ESI data set across all custodial and non-custodial sources at the family level using either MD5 hash values or SHA hash values or any other agreed-upon (and disclosed) industry-standard deduplication technology. The Parties shall reach agreement on such other deduplication technology and shall reach agreement on how their deduplication tools shall identify exact duplicates of documents in a manner that is consistent with the disclosed tools and technologies a Producing Party is using. The Parties shall reach agreement on how to identify exact duplicates of emails using industry-standard commercially available software tools or services, which may for example calculate hash values of emails based on concatenated values of agreed-upon email fields and/or hash values of attachments, or which may use any other method the Parties agree upon. Having further met and conferred in keeping with the previous sentence, the Parties shall calculate deduplication hash values for emails on the concatenated values set forth in and in accordance with the documentation specifications of the disclosed deduplication tools to be used in this Litigation (i.e., RelativityOne, Relativity Server,

and Nuix); however, if Nuix is used, the Producing Party shall select the optional field "Include Bcc" as an additional field to add to the default ones.

The Parties shall not withhold from production near-duplicates without meeting and conferring on this issue.

The names of all custodians who were either identified as custodians for purposes of collection for this matter (or otherwise known by the Producing Party to have been in possession or custody of a document prior to deduplication) will be populated in the ALL CUSTODIANS metadata field for the produced version of a document that has duplicates removed from production. The original file paths (if any exist) of a document prior to deduplication will be populated in the ALL FILE PATHS metadata field of the produced document.

15. EMAIL THREADING

2.1

The Parties may use analytics technology to identify email threads and shall produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members. Where multiple email messages are part of a single chain or "thread," a Party is only required to produce the most inclusive copy of an email message(s) ("Last In Time Email(s)") and need not produce earlier, lesser inclusive email messages or "thread members," provided that the tool or software service being used to perform threading is disclosed. A Producing Party may exclude from production lesser inclusive copies of the most inclusive email message in the thread, where the entire body of each of those lesser inclusive copies is included within the Last in Time Email. The Producing Party will honor reasonable requests to produce lesser inclusive copies of a Last In Time Email or other earlier chains of emails otherwise excluded by email thread suppression.

The Parties shall treat new or different email chains or threads pursuant to further agreement on safeguards or guidelines for defining emails threads which reasonably take into account the capabilities of the email threading/dethreading/hyperthreading tools used by each Defendant (which shall be disclosed to Plaintiffs).

16. SOURCE CODE

The Parties will meet and confer to address the production and/or inspection of source code and entering into a separate order governing the same if needed.

17. PRODUCTION FORMATS

2.1

The Parties agree to produce documents and data in the formats described in **Appendix 1** to this ESI Order. If particular documents or categories of documents identified in response to document requests warrant a different format, the Parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The Parties further agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

In addition, the Parties agree that the production and production format of social media and/or user account information is not covered by this agreement and to meet and confer on the production and production format, including metadata, for social media and/or user account information.

18. PHASING

Once the Parties begin propounding discovery requests pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the Parties agree to meet and confer regarding appropriate phasing for the production of ESI.

19. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- a) Production of Plaintiffs ESI and Case-Specific Materials. Subject to any further agreement among the Parties or Order of the Court, the Parties shall produce case-specific documents (i.e., documents specific to the claim of a given Plaintiff, produced by Plaintiffs or Defendants) for any Plaintiff in discovery pools or other selections designed to inform bellwether selection, including those selected for a bellwether trial, in accordance with the production format specified herein, provided, however, that the Producing Party may elect to produce such materials in their native format. To the extent production of case-specific documents for any Plaintiff selected for a bellwether trial presents an issue for any Party, the Parties shall reasonably confer, and may present any disputes to the Court or its designee. The Parties shall further agree to confer concerning the production format and associated matters (e.g., hosting platform) for case-specific documents produced in the cases of other Plaintiffs.

 Nothing herein shall limit Defendant's right to seek discovery from any Plaintiff.
- b) Translations Of Produced Materials. The Producing Party has no obligation to

create a translation of the Documents or any portion thereof. For any foreign-language documents responsive to document requests that a Party reasonably knows as the result of a reasonable investigation have been translated into the English language using human translators or through machine translation for its own purposes, except to the extent such translation is protected by attorney-client or work-product privileges, the Producing Party shall produce the translation of the original document with the original. The parties will meet and confer as necessary concerning procedures for using translations at depositions and at trial. In the event the Parties cannot reach agreement, the matter may be submitted to the Court for determination.

- c) Third-Party Documents. A Party that issues a Non-Party subpoena ("Issuing Party") shall include a copy of this Order with the subpoena and state that (1) the subpoenaed Non-Party should produce Documents in response to the subpoena to all Parties; and (2) the Parties to this Litigation have requested that Third Parties produce Documents in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. If the subpoenaed Non-Party produces Documents to the Issuing Party but does not produce those Documents to other Parties, the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to those other Parties within 14 days of receiving the Documents, except where the Documents are to be used in a deposition, in which case the Issuing Party shall produce such Documents to all other Parties no later than three (3) days prior to the deposition, or as soon as reasonably practicable if such production occurs thereafter. Nothing in this Order is intended or may be interpreted to narrow, expand, or otherwise affect the rights of the Parties or Third Parties to object to a subpoena. If the Non-Party production is not Bates-stamped, the Parties will meet and confer to agree upon a format for designating the documents with a unique Bates Number prefix.
- d) <u>Documents Produced by Parties Presumption of Authenticity</u>. In order to reduce the number of requests for admission, this Order establishes a rebuttable presumption that documents produced by the Parties are authentic, if said documents were either created or authored by the producing Party, or any of its employees, agents, or

contractors, so long as the employees', agents', or contractors' work was performed in connection with a project or assignment sponsored by the producing Party. No further evidence to establish authenticity need be provided. Nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to waive any other evidentiary objection a party may have, including but not limited to hearsay, foundation/personal knowledge, or relevance.

- e) Re-productions. Notwithstanding any provisions to the contrary, re-production of discrete sets of documents from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter may be re-produced in the same manner and form as originally produced in the other matter, provided however that a party will re-produce documents in a different format for good cause shown. This provision does not waive the right of a party to object to any requests for reproduction of production files from another litigation, arbitration, government inquiry, or other matter.
- f) <u>Modification</u>. This ESI Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the Parties or by the Court for good cause shown.
- g) Good Faith. The Parties will act in good faith as required by law and use these procedures to identify and reduce the potential for disputes.
- h) <u>Continuing Obligations</u>. The Parties recognize that discovery shall be an iterative and cooperative process. The Parties will continue to meet and confer regarding any issues as reasonably necessary and appropriate. This Order does not address or resolve any objections to the Parties' respective discovery requests.
- i) <u>Reservation of Rights</u>. The Parties agree that any topic not addressed herein is neither a waiver nor acknowledgement of agreement by either Party.
- IT IS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.

PRODUCTION OF ESI & HARD COPY DOCUMENTS

1	DATED: March 15, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		<u>/s/ Lexi J. Hazam</u> LEXI J. HAZAM
3		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
4		BERNSTEIN, LLP
5		275 BATTERY STREET, 29 _{TH} FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339
3		Telephone: 415-956-1000
6		lhazam@lchb.com
7		
8		PREVIN WARREN MOTLEY RICE LLC
9		401 9th Street NW Suite 630
		Washington DC 20004
10		T: 202-386-9610
11		pwarren@motleyrice.com
12		Co-Lead Counsel
13		CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
		SEEGER WEISS, LLP
14		55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6TH FLOOR
15		RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660 Telephone: 973-639-9100
		Facsimile: 973-679-8656
16		cseeger@seegerweiss.com
17		
18		Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel
		JENNIE LEE ANDERSON
19		ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
20		155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
21		Telephone: 415-986-1400
22		jennie@andrusanderson.com
23		Liaison Counsel
		MATTHEW BERGMAN
24		GLENN DRAPER
25		SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER 821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100
26		SEATTLE, WA 98104
27		Telephone: 206-741-4862 matt@socialmediavictims.org
28		glenn@socialmediavictims.org

1	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2	By: <u>/s/ Stephanie Schuster</u> Stephanie Schuster (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
3	stephanie.schuster@morganlewis.com 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
4	NW Washington, DC 20004-2541
5	Tel.: 202.373.6595
6	Yardena R. Zwang-Weissman (SBN 247111) yardena.zwang-weissman@morganlewis.com
7	300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
8	Tel.: 213.612.7238
9	Brian Ercole (pro hac vice)
10	<u>brian.ercole@morganlewis.com</u> 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600
11	Miami, FL 33131-3075 Tel.: 305.415.3416
12	Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and
13	Google LLC
14	MING OPPERED 4 + 4 C · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 1	IT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Agreement is approved.
15	TT IS ORDERED that the foregoing Agreement is approved.
	Dated: March 18, 2024
15	
15 16 17 18	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated: March 18, 2024
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: March 18, 2024

CaseC41262-411162293101407310457RYGROdDorocenno 4.10136950 FFFFibelc003712842244 Patgragute94215300f 436

APPENDIX 1: PRODUCTION FORMAT

- 1) **Production Components.** Except as otherwise provided below, ESI must be produced in accordance with the following specifications:
 - a) an ASCII delimited data file (.DAT) using standard delimiters;
 - b) an image load file (.OPT) that can be loaded into commercially acceptable production software (e.g. Concordance);
 - c) single page black-and-white TIFF images, or JPEG images when color is specified herein, or native files with single page placeholder TIFF images depending on the applicable production format for each type of file;
 - d) and document level .TXT files for all documents containing extracted full text or OCR text.
 - e) Family relationships between emails and their attachments, embedded files and their source document, and connected hardcopy documents will be maintained in production. Attachments should be consecutively produced with their parent.
 - f) If a particular document warrants a different production format, the Parties will cooperate in good faith to arrange for a mutually acceptable production format.
- 2) Production Media and Access Controls. Productions must be encrypted and produced through secure electronic means, such as secure file sharing methods (e.g. FTP), or on CD, DVD, flash drive or external hard drive ("Production Media"). Each piece of Production Media must identify a production number corresponding to the production volume (e.g. "VOL001"). Each piece of Production Media must also identify: (a) the case caption; (b) the following label: "This media contains material subject to Court Ordered security measures"; (c) the Producing Party's name; (d) the production date; (e) the Bates Number range of the materials contained on the Production Media.

Nothing in this ESI Order will preclude or impair any and all protections provided the Parties by any Protective Order(s) agreed and entered into by the Parties. Parties will use best efforts to avoid the unnecessary copying or transmittal of produced documents. If questions arise, the Parties will meet and confer to ensure security concerns are addressed prior to the exchange of

any documents.

aproduction's data load file should match the total number of designated document breaks in the image load file should match the total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of pages referenced in a production's image load file should match the total number of TIFF files in the production. All images must be assigned a unique Bates number that is sequential within a given document and across the production sets. The Bates Numbers in the image load file must match the corresponding documents' beginning Bates numbers in the data load file. The total number of documents in a production should match the total number of records in the data load file. Load files must not vary in format or structure within a production, or from one production to another except by agreement of the Parties.

4) Metadata Fields. Each of the metadata and coding fields set forth below that can be extracted should be produced for each document, except that if the field contains privileged information, that privileged information may be redacted. However, to the extent that metadata does not exist, is not available for any documents produced, this provision shall not be read to require any Party to extract, capture, collect, manually populate, or produce such metadata, with the exception of the following: (a) BEGBATES, (b) ENDBATES, (c) BEGATTACH, (d) ENDATTACH, (e) PRODVOL, (f) ALL CUSTODIANS, (g) CONFIDENTIALITY, (h) REDACTIONS, (i) REDACTION TYPE, (j) HASHVALUE, (k) NATIVEFILEPATH, and (l) TEXTFILEPATH, which should be populated by the Party or the Party's vendor. The Parties will make reasonable efforts to ensure that metadata fields automatically extracted from the documents correspond directly to the information that exists in the original documents.

Field Name	Field Description
BEGBATES	Beginning Bates number for a particular document as stamped on the first production image for that document
ENDBATES	Ending Bates number as stamped on the last

Field Name	Field Description
	production image for a particular document
BEGATTACH	First production Bates number of the first document in a family
ENDATTACH	Last production Bates number of the last document in a family
ATTACHCOUNT	Number of attachments to an e-mail or embedded parent, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
ATTACHNAMES	Names of each individual Attachment, separated by semicolons.
PRODVOL	Production volume
ALLCUSTODIANS	List of all custodians associated with Document, i.e. "Custodian" + "Other Custodian" values delimited by semicolon.
ALLFILEPATHS	All original path(s) to the individual source file(s) when available in the ordinary course of business to and processable by the parties and their ESI vendors of choice. Includes path up to and including internal path of containers.
CONFIDENTIALITY	Confidentiality designation assigned to the document consistent with the Stipulated Protective Order governing this Litigation
NATIVEFILEPATH	Native File Link (Native Files only)
TEXTFILEPATH	Path to extracted text/OCR file for the document
HASHVALUE	MD5 hash value of the document
DOCUMENT TYPE	Descriptor for the type of document: "Edocument" for electronic documents not attached to e-mails; "E-mail" for all e-mails; "E-attachment" for files that were attachments to e-mails; and "Physical" for hard copy physical documents that have been scanned and converted to an electronic image.
AUTHOR	Any value populated in the Author field of the

Field Name	Field Description
	document properties
DOCDATE	Date the document was created according t filesystem information (format: MM/DD/YYYY)
DATELASTMODIFIED	Date when document was last modified according to filesystem information (forma MM/DD/YYYY)
LAST MODIFIED BY	Last person who modified (saved) a document, as generated by commercially available discovery processing tools.
TRACK CHANGES	Y if a document with track changes value, otherwise N or empty, if available separate from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
COMMENTS	Y if a document with comments, otherwise or empty, if available separately from the HASHIDDENDATA flag
HASHIDDENDATA	Y if a document with hidden content value, otherwise N or empty
FILENAME	Filename of an electronic document
TITLE	Any value populated in the Title field of the document properties
DOCEXT	File extension of document pulled from the document properties
FROM	The sender of the email
ТО	All recipients that were included on the "To line of the email
CC	All recipients that were included on the "Coline of the email
BCC	All recipients that were included on the "BCC" line of the email
DATETIMERECEIVED	Date and time email was received (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)
DATETIMESENT	Date and time email was sent (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM)

Field Name	Field Description
TIMEZONE	The timezone used to process the document
EMAILSUBJECT	Subject line of email pulled from the document properties
THREADID	If a threading tool is used for emails, the thread id generated by the threading tool.
REDACTION TYPE ¹	General category of redaction reason as agreed to by the parties. For example, PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV. If more than one, separate reasons by semicolons.
REDACTIONS	Y if a document is redacted, otherwise N or empty

- 5) TIFFs. Unless excepted below, single page, black and white, Group IV TIFFs should be provided, at least 300 dots per inch (dpi) for all documents. Each TIFF image must be named according to a unique corresponding Bates number associated with the document. Each image must be branded according to the Bates number and the agreed upon confidentiality designation. Original document orientation should be maintained (i.e., portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape). Where the TIFF image is unreadable or has materially degraded the quality of the original, the Producing Party shall provide a higher quality TIFF image or the native or original file. In addition, the Parties shall take reasonable efforts to process word processing documents (e.g., MS Word) with track changes and/or comments unhidden on the TIFF image.
- 6) Color. Word processing documents containing tracked changes shall be produced in color, as single-page, 300 dpi JPG images with JPG compression and a high quality setting as to not degrade the original image. The Producing Party shall comply with good faith by the Requesting Party to provide replacement color images for documents originally produced in black and white. A Party making such a request shall make the request by individual Bates

¹ The Parties will provide specific information on any privilege(s) asserted in their privilege logs, in an overlay, or the redaction boxes. The "Redaction Reason" field is informational only for redaction type and shall not in any way limit a party's right to assert attorney-client privilege, attorney-work product privilege, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection.

24

25

26

27

28

number(s) and shall limit requests made pursuant to this paragraph to a reasonable number of documents.

- 7) **Text Files.** A single multi-page text file must be provided for each document, and the filename should match its respective TIFF filename for the first page of that document. Extracted text shall be generated with commercially acceptable technology set to include all comments, revisions, tracked changes, speaker's notes and text from documents with comments or tracked changes, and hidden and very hidden worksheets, slides, columns and rows. When possible, the text of native files should be extracted directly from the native file. Parties will perform optical character recognition ("OCR") on foreign language documents using the appropriate settings for languages reasonably anticipated to be in the production following a meet and confer with the Requesting Party to identify those languages. Text files will not contain the redacted portions of the documents. A commercially acceptable technology for OCR should be used for all scanned, hard copy documents and for documents with redactions other than Excel files and other spreadsheets which shall be redacted in native format. Text extracted from emails should include the following header information where available: (1) the individuals to whom the communication was directed ("To"), (2) the author of the email communication ("From"), (3) who was copied and blind copied on such email ("CC" and "BCC"), (4) the subject line of the email ("RE" or "Subject"), and (5) the date and time of the email. To the extent the text extraction technology the Parties are using can be configured to include the text of any URLs or links, the Parties shall utilize that setting.
- Microsoft PowerPoint,) will be produced in native format to the extent that they are produced in this Litigation, audio, video, and multi-media files will be produced in native format. The Parties will meet and confer on the production of other file types, such as proprietary files, etc. Native files will be produced with a link in the NATIVEFILEPATH field, along with extracted text (where extracted text is available) and applicable metadata fields set forth in paragraph 4 above. A Bates numbered TIFF placeholder indicating that the document was provided in native format must accompany every native file. Where redaction makes production of native-format

files other than spreadsheets or presentations infeasible, the Parties will confer to determine a reasonably usable form for the production, but spreadsheets shall presumptively be redacted in native, and presentations presumptively redacted in image form, in these cases without the need for further conferring.

- hardcopy will be scanned to *.tiff image format and produced in accordance with the specifications set forth herein. Hard copy documents that are not text-searchable shall be made searchable by OCR prior to production. In scanning paper documents, distinct documents should not be merged into a single record, and single documents should not be split into multiple records (i.e., paper documents should be logically unitized). In the case of an organized compilation of separate documents (for example, a binder containing several separate documents behind numbered tabs), the document behind each tab should be scanned separately, but the relationship among the documents in the compilation should be reflected in the proper coding of the beginning and ending document and attachment fields. The Parties will make their best efforts to unitize the documents correctly. If relevant documents are maintained in a file, folder, envelope, binder, notebook or similar container used to store documents, all contents therein shall be reviewed for production and privilege. Document pages which have affixed notes, such as Post-it notes, should be imaged with and without the note attached.
- 10) Confidentiality Designation. All images will be stamped with the appropriate confidentiality designations in accordance with the Stipulated Protective Order entered in this Litigation. Each document produced in native format will have its confidentiality designation identified in the filename of the native file and indicated on its corresponding TIFF placeholder.
- 11) Bates Numbering. Bates numbering should be a consistent length across the production, contain no special characters, and be numerically sequential within a given document. If a Bates number or set of Bates numbers is skipped, the skipped number or set of numbers should be noted with a placeholder. Attachments to documents will be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents to which they were attached.

In addition, wherever possible, each image will have its assigned Bates number electronically "burned" onto the image.

- information is stored in a database, or database management system, or proprietary system or application which has is directed to data storage as one of its primary functions, the Producing Party will identify the database and platform to the Requesting Party. The Producing Party shall produce exports and reports about such responsive information stored in such database, where such exports and reports shall be in a reasonably usable form, and information may be produced in CSV format, tab-delimited text format, Microsoft Excel format, or Microsoft Access format. If there are future disputes over the production of information from a database, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to reach any further agreements (if needed) on the data to be produced and the format and scope of the production. The Producing Party will provide reasonable amounts of information about the databases to facilitate that discussion.
- inside a responsive document (including hyperlinked inside emails) within a Producing Party's custody, possession, or control, do not need to be produced in the first instance as part of the same family group as the Document residing at the location to which that hyperlink points. If there are particular hyperlinks identified by the Requesting Party in produced documents, the Requesting Party may submit a list of hyperlinks to a particular Producing Party for potentially relevant documents by identifying the Bates number and URL or link text for each requested link to the Producing Party, and the Producing Party will engage in reasonable efforts to locate the hyperlinked document at that location and either identify it by Bates number or provide any responsive, non-produced, and non-privileged documents. The number of hyperlinks a Requesting Party may identify to a Producing Party shall not be excessive and shall be reasonable, proportional to the needs of the case, and not unduly burdensome.
- **14) Embedded Objects.** The Parties agree non-substantive embedded objects, including, but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons, and footers, may be culled from a document set (but not a document) and need not be produced as separate documents by a

Producing Party (i.e., such embedded objects will be produced within the document itself, rather than as separate documents). Embedded files, except for images (including but not limited to, logos, icons, emoticons), are to be produced as family groups. Embedded files should be assigned Bates numbers that directly follow the Bates numbers on the documents within which they are embedded.

- 15) Production of Family Groups and Relationships. If any member of a family group is produced, all members of that group must also be produced or else logged as privileged, and no such member shall be withheld from production as a duplicate.
- **16) Dynamic Fields**. Documents with dynamic fields for file names, dates, and times will be imaged to show the field code (e.g., "[FILENAME]") where possible, rather than the values for such fields existing at the time the file is processed.
- 17) Time Zone. The time zone used to process a document, including its metadata, shall be disclosed in the TIMEZONE metadata field consistent with Appendix 1, and shall be standardized for each Defendant across productions.

18) Redactions.

- a) A Producing Party may redact (i) information subject to the attorney client privilege or the work product protection (PRIV); (ii) information that cannot be disclosed pursuant to the Stored Communications Act (SCA); (iii) source code subject to separate agreement applicable to production of source code (CODE); (iv) personal identifying information (PII) including phone numbers, personal addresses, personal email addresses, the month and day of birth, driver's license numbers, and other PII agreed to by the Parties (for example, the Parties shall complete their meet and confer on whether or not to redact users' ages and years of birth). In any event, there shall be no redaction of illnesses, injuries, and medical diagnoses. To the extent a document or pleading contains PII, the Parties shall designate such documents at the appropriate Confidentiality level under the Protective Order and shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 with regard to filings with the Court.
- b) No redactions for relevance may be made within a produced document or ESI item. If, during the course of discovery, the Parties identify other kinds of information that any STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER GOVERNING THE PRODUCTION OF ESI & HARD COPY DOCUMENTS 9 3:22-MD-03047-YGR; MDL NO. 3047

Party has a reasonable basis for redacting, the Parties will meet and confer on a case-by-case basis regarding that information before such redactions are made. If the Parties cannot agree, they may seek resolution from the Court.

- c) The Producing Party will indicate, on the face of the redaction, the asserted reason(s) for the redaction (PII, SCA, CODE, and/or PRIV) and the REDACTION TYPE metadata field shall indicate that the document contains redactions and the reason(s) for the redaction.
- d) Notwithstanding the foregoing, this provision shall not be read to prohibit redactions permitted under any applicable U.S. law or Protective Order.
- e) Where a responsive document contains both redacted and non-redacted content, the Parties shall produce the non-redacted portions of the document and the OCR text corresponding to the non-redacted portions.
- f) Native Redactions. Spreadsheet files requiring redaction, including without limitation Microsoft Excel files, shall be redacted and produced natively (unless the Parties agree to production in some other format). In addition, a Producing Party may natively redact other files that cannot be properly imaged for redaction.
- g) All images of redacted files shall be processed to show all comments, revision marks, speaker notes, marks made in track changes, or other user-entered data which are visible in a normal view of the document in its native application, unless such material is redacted and marked as redacted in accordance with this section. Where possible, any occurrences of date/time auto-field items, including in headers and footers, will be removed and replaced with the term AUTODATE to prevent the current date from being printed. Email header information (e.g., date and/or subject line) shall not be redacted unless it is independently privileged. The Parties shall honor reasonable requests for the production of particular redacted documents in other formats where the image is not reasonably usable.
- h) Color. Redacted versions of documents that would have been produced in color in their un-redacted form shall be produced in color as detailed herein.

- **19) Exception Files.** The Parties will use reasonable efforts to address processing exceptions.
- data that can reasonably be extracted and produced in the formats described herein is identified on a mobile or handheld device, that data shall be produced in accordance with the generic provisions of this protocol. To the extent that responsive data identified on a mobile or handheld device is not susceptible to normal production protocols, the Parties will meet and confer to address the identification, production, and production format of any responsive documents and data contained on any mobile or handheld device.